

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

To assess the impact of performance on patients with proximal humerus fractures who undergo PHILOS plate fixation

¹Mukesh Chander Pokhariyal, ²Mukesh Kumar Soni

¹Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Major S D Singh Medical College & Hospital, Farukhabad, Uttar Pradesh, India;

²Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine, Major S D Singh Medical College & Hospital, Farukhabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Aim: To assess the impact of performance on patients with proximal humerus fractures who undergo PHILOS plate fixation.

Materials and Methods: This is a prospective study, conducted in the Department of Orthopedics and 50 patients who were admitted with displaced fracture of Proximal Humerus. All skeletally mature patients presenting with proximal humerus fractures according to NEER two- and three-part fracture, Patients with associated dislocation of the shoulder and Patients undergoing revision surgery for failure of other implants were included in this study. Pathologic fractures from primary or metastatic tumours, Poly trauma, Failure of conservative treatment and Undisplaced fracture were excluded from the study.

Results: The fracture of all 50 patients were classified using NEER'S Classification. Out of 50 patients, 37 were had Neer's 3-part fracture, 10 were had Neer's 2-part fracture in which 3 had surgical neck fracture and 3 had greater tuberosity fracture. Out of the 50 patients followed up, 9 patients had excellent scores, 21 had good scores, 13 had moderate scores and 27 had poor outcome scores. Mean constant score for Neer two-part fracture was 78.11, for Neer's three parts fracture was 71.55. **Conclusion:** Our findings indicate that patients who do early range of motion exercise with stable fixation via PHILOS enjoy reduced stiffness in the surrounding joint and are able to live a pain-free and healthy life.

Keywords: Proximal Humerus, Philos, Constant Score, Neer Classification

Corresponding author: Mukesh Kumar Soni, Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine, Major S D Singh Medical College & Hospital, Farukhabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Pokhariyal MC, Soni MK. To assess the impact of performance on patients with proximal humerus fractures who undergo PHILOS plate fixation. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2015;3(3):220-224.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of close humerus rupture is approximately 4% of all fractures and 26% of humerus fractures [1]. The proximal humerus includes head, greater tuberosity, lesser tuberosity and one-fourth proximal on the shaft. It is most common in older patients due to osteoporosis and more often in adults due to high-strength trauma [2]. These fractures challenge the orthopedic surgeon because of its osteoporotic quality in the elderly and the impaired muscle strength of the attached muscle. Most proximal fracture of humerus, small and in older patients are stable and small or have not moved anywhere, they can be treated without operation [3]. Treatment of complex humeral 3- or 4-part fractures presents a challenge. The surgeon should obtain a direct reduction and a stable formation, and at the same time reduce the risk of iatrogenic penetration of the screw and avascular necrosis of the humeral head by significantly protecting the temporary soft tissues. The side effects of these complex fractures are due to the following causes:

- 1) Insufficient reduction of fractures especially the internal cortex
- 2) Unstable repair
- 3) Improper positioning of fixation devices

There is consensus in the literature that, no matter how the procedure and implant is selected, the optimal

effective end result depends largely on the anatomical reduction of the fracture combined with stable correction, and the initial onset of shoulder function regeneration. But in this study, the age of the patient, a small fraction of the fracture and the initial repair of the fracture, directly increases the functional outcomes. Over the past decade, internal correction of fractures has been widely used in surgical care for proximal humeral fractures. In addition to initial treatment and safe operation after surgery, it was believed that this implant would reduce the risk of second loss of osteoporotic patients. In spite of an early and secure functional postoperative therapy, it was believed that these implants would reduce the risk of secondary reduction loss in osteoporotic patients. The proximal humerus with poor cancellous bone quality especially in older patients, results in high risk of failure of fixation with conventional plating system [4-6]. The Proximal Humerus Internal Locking System (PHILOS) plate has been introduced to reduce these complications especially in older osteoporotic individual. Even minimally displaced fracture can be treated with PHILOS plate to early mobilize the fracture thereby to avoid shoulder stiffness. To achieve better and more productive results, AO/ ASIF has developed a special locking pressure plate (PHILOS) for fractures of the proximal humerus [7]. Patients with good bone quality were previously

successfully treated with standard plate osteosynthesis [8].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study, conducted in the Department of Orthopedics and 50 patients who were admitted with displaced fracture of Proximal Humerus. All skeletally mature patients presenting with proximal humerus fractures according to NEER two and three part fracture, Patients with associated dislocation of the shoulder and Patients undergoing revision surgery for failure of other implants were included in this study. Pathologic fractures from primary or metastatic tumours, Poly trauma, Failure of conservative treatment and Undisplaced fracture were excluded from the study.

METHODOLOGY

The age, gender of the patient, the nature of the injury, the severity of the injury, the associated injury, the time from the injury and their functional requirement are recorded. The intra-articular scale of fracture geometry was assessed with a small piece of CT scan in questionable cases. The classification was subdivided using NEER'S classification and was organized prior to operation according to it. The patient was treated with analgesics, U-slab until surgery. Co-morbidities are treated fairly. Intra-operative events, difficulties and complications, radiological post examination and bony union were noted. Patients were followed for 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 6 months and 1 year with radiographical examination and clinical examination and outcome. All patients at their final examination, were radiated radiology and function using CONSTANT scores.

FRACTURE CLASSIFICATION [9,10]

The Neer separation system is based on a 1 cm relocation process or a 45 ° fragment angulation. The type of fracture is then divided into categories. Four stages can occur, including the articular segment, the lesser tuberosity, the greater tuberosity, and the surgical neck. These four segments are separated by epiphyseal lines (bone growth plates) during the early years of development. When the proximal humerus is broken, the fracture line clearly predicts following one or more of these planes. Recently, displacement of greater tuberosity more than 5 mm is an indication of fixation.

Classification Based on Fracture Displacement Surgical Technique for Plate Osteosynthesis – Deltopectoral Approach[11]

With the patient in a supine position at the fracture table with a 30 -45° angulation at the end of the head, and a sandbag behind the operating scapula, a deltopectoral method was used. Start the incision at coracoid process, then extend farther away from the deltopectoral canal until the deltoid is about 15 cm long. Improve the skins to reveal a deeper fascia. Open

the fascia above the deltopectoral groove with dull scissors, facing the cephalic artery. This artery serves as an important sign of the avascular interval between the deltoid and pectoralis muscles. Bluntly develop this interval, and retract the deltoid laterally and the pectoralis major medially. The vein can be ligated or retracted with the deltoid laterally. The anterior circumflex artery lies in the middle of the wound, just as high as the pectoralis muscle; they may need to be isolated, clamped, and coagulated. Wider exposure is possible if the muscle origins from the coracoid are transected. If more proximal exposure is needed, it may be necessary to transect the origin of the pectoralis minor muscle. In such cases, release the origins of the coracobrachialis and the short head of the biceps from the tip of the coracoid, leaving a cuff on the tip of the coracoid for repair. It is better to avoid devascularization of the fracture fragment by meticulous dissection of tendino osseous attachments. The osseous attachments of the rotator cuff are pulled together to reduce the fracture. If the lowering is difficult, insert the k-wire as a play stick on the humeral head to rotate the head into a reduced position. or placing sutures under the rotator cuff (supraspinatus) tendon can also help to stimulate and reduce. For 3 - part fractures or osteoporotic fragments, place sutures into the rotator cuff tendons attached to fractured fragments to aid in reduction. Place the plate onto the greater tuberosity, just posterior to the biceps tendon, and temporarily fix it with Kirschner wires; confirm correct plate position in c-arm both in ap view in adduction and abduction. If plate placement is too proximally, it may cause impingement and If plate placement too close to the biceps tendon may damage the anterior humeral circumflex artery. If there is fractures with medial comminution, first fix the plate to the head with screws, and reduce the shaft segment to the plate. This helps avoid varus malposition, which is associated with higher failure rates. Screw insertion into the inferomedial humeral head adds stability for fractures without medial calcar support. Confirmation with c-arm on anteroposterior and lateral views is necessary for reduction and screw placement.

POST-OPERATIVE PROTOCOL[12,13]

Postoperatively, the arm was immobilized in a sling. The drain was removed on 2nd post operative day. The time for commencement of shoulder rehabilitation was determined by stability of fixation, quality of bone, and compliance of patient. Passive ROM exercises (ie, pendulums, passive forward elevation, external rotation) generally were begun on the first postoperative day provided that a stable reduction was achieved. Active ROM of the elbow, wrist, and hand was also begun immediately after surgery. The patient then progressed through a three-phase rehabilitation program, consisting of passive assisted exercises early, active exercises starting at approximately 6 weeks postoperatively, and

strengthening or resisted exercises beginning 10 to 12 weeks after surgery. Early passive assisted exercises help to avoid adhesion formation. No limitation of exercises within the pain-free ROM was necessary during this time provided that bone stock was good and medial buttressing adequate. Shoulder strengthening and resistance exercises were initiated only after bony consolidation was confirmed on plain radiographs and adequate coordination of the extremity had been achieved.

Standard AP, axillary, and scapular Y radiographic views were taken immediately after surgery. Routine follow-up radiographs were taken 2, 6 weeks, 6 months & 1 year postoperatively to ensure that no screw has migrated, no loss of reduction has occurred, evidence of callus formation and consolidation of fracture. Plate removal was generally not necessary.

EVALUATION

A physical examination was performed, the Constant score was calculated, and radiographs of the proximal part of the humerus were made and evaluated for bony healing, signs of malunion, nonunion or avascular necrosis. The Constant score assigns points for Pain, Range of movements, Power and Activities of daily living. Muscle strength was measured with use of a 1 kg weight in the patient’s hand and the shoulder in 90°

of abduction, or, if 90° could not be reached, in maximum active abduction as described by Constant[14]

RESULTS

The study contained a sample of 50 patients, of whom 17 were female and 33 were male. The age distribution varied from 18 years to 68 years with an average age of 46.65±4.69 yrs. Of the 50 patients, 27 patients fell victim to a road accident, 13 patients fell, 7 patients fell from a height (minimum of 10 meters) and 3 became the victim of an animal attack. The longest follow-up period was 18 months with an average follow-up of 12 months. 37 patients had a fracture of the right proximal humerus and 13 patients had a fracture of the left proximal humerus (Table 1).

The fracture of all 50 patients were classified using NEER'S Classification. Out of 50 patients, 37 were had Neer's 3-part fracture, 10 were had Neer's 2-part fracture in which 3 had surgical neck fracture and 3 had greater tuberosity fracture (table 1).

Out of the 50 patients followed up, 9 patients had excellent scores, 21 had good scores, 13 had moderate scores and 7 had poor outcome scores (table 2). Mean constant score for Neer's two-part fracture was 78.11, for Neer's three parts fracture was 71.55 (table 3).

Table 1: Parameters of Patients

Parameters	No. of patients=50	Percentage
Age(yrs)		
18-40yrs	13	26
41-60yrs	33	66
>60yrs	4	8
Gender		
Male	33	66
Female	17	34
Side involvement		
Right	37	74
Left	13	26
Mode of Injury		
Road traffic accident	27	54
Self fall	13	26
Fall from height	7	14
Animal attack	3	6
Type of Fracture(Neer's Classification)		
Two part-surgical neck	10	20
Two part-greater tuberosity	3	6
Three Part Fracture	37	74

Table 2: Evaluation

Result–Outcome	Numbers=50	Percentage(%)
Excellent	9	18
Good	21	42
Moderate	13	26
Poorer	7	14

Table3:Constant Score v/s Neer's parts of Fracture

Neer's Classification	Constant Score	Number
Two Part	78.11	13
Three Part	71.55	37

Table4:Complication of Philos Plate

Complications	No. of Patients
Perforation of screw	2
Chronic Osteomyelitis	2
Failure of Fixation	2
Osteonecrosis	2

COMPLICATIONS

2 with Neer 3-part fracture later leads to osteonecrosis of the humeral head. 2 who with 3-partfracture encountered backing out of screw with failure of fixation and finally leads to Osteonecrosis who undergone implant exit and planned for hemiarthroplasty of shoulder. Unfortunately, patient not willing for further procedureAvascular necrosis is not in itself a clinical problem. However, it may end up in partial or total collapse of the humeral head with incongruity. This may result in malfunction and pain, although the x- ray appearance frequently does not correlate with the clinical picture.Postoperative wound or bone infection is one of the common complications. It can be classified as acute (<20 days), intermediate (between 20 and 55 days) or chronic (>55 days). Once the purpose of implant is over, it can be removed. 2 patient with Neer 3 parts open fracture leads to chronic osteomyelitis for which iv antibiotic followed by oral antibiotic according to culture & sensitivity, waited till bone union and finally undergone for implant exit.

DISCUSSION

Open loops and internal adjustments (ORIF) provide features for the reduction of fractures, solid correction and the possibility of bone grafting. In the growing humerus fracture, the PHILOS plate provides a good performance and context effect on the initial integration and strong fracture repair.In the very old age group with osteoporosis, functional outcome after conventional plate osteosynthesis was poor[15]In order to obtain better and reproducible results, the AO/ASIF has developed a special locking compression plate (PHILOS) for fractures of the proximal humerus[7].Patients with good bone quality have previously been treated successfully with the conventional plate osteosynthesis[8].The study contained a sample of 50 patients, of whom 17were female and 33 were male. The age distribution varied from 18 years to 68 years with an average age of 46.65±4.69 yrs. These findings consistent with Guptaetal[16] founded that 42 patients age group range between 20 and 80 years 61.9% are male and 38.1% are female treated with philos plate.The fracture of all 50 patients were classified using NEER'S Classification. Out of 50 patients, 37 were had Neer's3-part fracture, 10 were had Neer's2-part

fracture in which 3 had surgical neck fracture and 3 had greater tuberosity fracture. Gupta et al[16] founded 21 patients (50%) were two-part fracture 15 patients (35.7%) were three-part fracture, 6 patients (14.3%) were four-part fracture.Out of the 50 patients followed up, 9 patients had excellent scores, 21 had good scores, 13 had moderate scores and 27 had poor outcome scores. Guptaetal[16]founded excellent 1 (2.4%), good 13 (31%), fair 19 (45.2%) outcome and 9 (21.4%) poor outcome noted.

Fazal et al concluded PHILOS plate fixation provided stable fixation, minimal metal work problem and enabled early range of motion exercises to achieve acceptable functional[17].The average clinical result obtained in our study, with a mean Constant-Murley score of74.43 points is satisfactory. A meticulous anatomical reduction with appropriate plate positioning led to a significantly better result. The Constant-Murley score was significantly lower if anatomical reconstruction did not succeed or a nonanatomical reconstruction was accepted intraoperatively, and/or when the plate was not correctly positioned on the shaft at the proper height to avoid subacromial impingement. Guptaetal[16] founded after 1 year, a mean constant score of 72 points (87% of the contralateral non-injured side), a mean Neer's score of 76 points, and mean disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand score of 16 points were achieved.In a study Koukakis et al[18] founded the plate design provides stable fixation with a good functional outcome and eliminates most hardware problems such as failure and impingement.The functional results after rigid fixation of two and three part fractures using a locking plate were shown to be better than conservative treatment or semi- rigid fixation without anatomical reduction of the head fragment. Shoulder function continued to improve as the strength and function of the muscles increased.

CONCLUSION

Our findings indicate that patients who do early range of motion exercise with stable fixation via PHILOS enjoy reduced stiffness in the surrounding joint and are able to live a pain-free and healthy life.

REFERENCES

1. Yüksel HY, Yılmaz S, Akşahin E, Celebi L, Muratlı HH, Bıçimoğlu A. Results of Nonoperative treatment for

- Three- and Four-Part Fractures of the Proximal humerus in Low-Demand Patients. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2011;25:588–95.
2. Flatow EL. Fractures of the proximal humerus. In: Bucholz RW, Heckman JD, eds. *Rockwood and Greens fractures in adults*. Vol. 1. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins, 2001, 997-1035.
 3. Young TB, Wallace WA. Conservative treatment of fractures and fracture-dislocations of the upper end of the humerus. *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]*. 1985;67-B:373-7.
 4. Neviasser AS, Hettrich CM, Beamer BS, Dines JS, Lorch DG. Endosteal Strut Augment Reduces Complications Associated With Proximal Humeral Locking Plates. *Clin OrthopRelat Res*. 2011;469:3300–6.
 5. Hawkins RJ, Bell RH, Gurr K. The three-part fracture of the proximal part of the humerus. Operative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1986;68-A:1410-14.
 6. Kristiansen B, Christensen SW. Plate fixation of proximal humeral fractures. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1986; 57:320-3.
 7. Frigg R. Development of the locking compression plate. *Injury (Suppl 2)*. 2003;34:6-10.
 8. Wijnman AJ, Roolker W, Patt TW, Raaymakers EL, Marti RK. Open reduction and internal fixation of three and four-part fractures of the proximal part of the humerus. *J Bone Joint Surg (Am)*. 2002;84:1919-25.
 9. Mathison C, Chaudhary R, Beaupre L, Reynolds M, Adeeb S, Bouliane M. Biomechanical analysis of proximal humeral fixation using locking plate fixation with an intramedullary fibular allograft. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2010;25:642–6.
 10. Monga P, Verma R, Sharma VK. Closed reduction and external fixation for displaced proximal humeral fractures. *J OrthopSurg (Hong Kong)* 2009;17:142–5.
 11. Leonard M, Mokotedi L, Alao U, Glynn A, Dolan M, Fleming P. The use of locking plates in proximal humeral fractures: Comparison of outcome by patient age and fracture pattern. *Int J Shoulder Surg*. 2009;3:85–9.
 12. Hodgson S. Proximal humerus fracture rehabilitation. *Clin OrthopRelat Res*. 2006, 131-8.
 13. Bertoft ES, Lundh I, Ringqvist I. Physiotherapy after fracture of the proximal end of the humerus. Comparison between two methods. *Scand J Rehabil Med*. 1984;16:11-1
 14. Constant C, Murley A. A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder. *ClinOrthopRelat Res*. 1987, 160-4.
 15. Cordasco FA, Bigliani LU. Complications of proximal humerus fractures. *Tech Orthop*. 1997; 12:50.
 16. Gupta AK, Gupta M, Sengar G, Nath R. Functional outcome of closed fractures of proximal humerus managed by Joshi's external stabilizing system. *Indian J Orthop*. 2012;46:216–20.
 17. Fazal MA, Haddad FS. Philos plate fixation for displaced proximal humeral fractures. *J OrthopSurg (Hong Kong)*. 2009;17(1):15-8.
 18. Koukakis A, Apostolou CD, Taneja T, Korres DS, Amini A. Fixation of proximal humerus fractures using the PHILOS plate: early experience. *Clin OrthopRelat Res*. 2006;442:115- 20.