

Original Research

Robotics in Oral Surgery: Elevating Accuracy Beyond Human Limits

Praveen Kumar Singh¹, Srinivasa T.S.², Shailesh Kumar³, Sonam Sah⁴, Monika Singh⁵, Saurabh Pratap Singh⁶

^{1,4,5}Senior Resident, ²Professor &Head, ³Assistant Professor, ⁶Junior Resident, Department of Dentistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Robotic technology is transforming oral and maxillofacial surgery by enhancing precision, consistency, and safety beyond conventional human capabilities. By integrating preoperative imaging, three-dimensional visualization, motion scaling, and tremor filtration, robotic systems enable millimeter-level accuracy in procedures such as dental implant placement, orthognathic surgery, TMJ interventions, and tumor resections. These platforms reduce intraoperative errors, minimize trauma, shorten recovery times, and improve esthetic and functional outcomes, while also facilitating minimally invasive and remote surgical approaches. Despite challenges including high costs, training requirements, and regulatory considerations, the evolving integration of artificial intelligence, navigation, and haptic feedback positions robotics as a transformative tool that elevates the standard of care in oral surgery

Received: 26 August, 2025

Acceptance: 29 September, 2025

Published: 02 October, 2025

Corresponding Author: Praveen Kumar Singh, Senior Resident, Department of Dentistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Singh PK, TS Srinivasa, Kumar S, Sah S, Singh M, Singh SP. Robotics in Oral Surgery: Elevating Accuracy Beyond Human Limits. *J AdvMed Dent Scie Res* 2025; 13(10):8-13.

INTRODUCTION

Robotic technology is reshaping the landscape of oral surgery by elevating surgical accuracy far beyond what can be consistently achieved through traditional manual techniques. Unlike conventional approaches that depend heavily on a surgeon's experience and dexterity, robotics introduces a new level of precision through automated, real-time guidance and adaptive control—making even the most complex dental procedures more predictable and reliable. The integration of robotic systems in oral surgery not only minimizes human error but also enables millimeter-level accuracy for tasks such as implant placement, bone grafting, and tumor resections, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes and reducing complications. As this technology continues to evolve, robotics holds the promise of setting a new standard of care in oral surgery by consistently surpassing human limitations for accuracy and safety.¹⁻⁴

Perspective of Robotics in Surgery

The integration of robotics into surgery represents one of the most significant technological milestones in modern healthcare. Initially introduced in general

surgery and urology to overcome the limitations of human dexterity, robotics has gradually expanded into oral and maxillofacial surgery, reshaping the possibilities of precision-driven interventions. This transition reflects the broader trend of medicine moving towards minimally invasive, highly accurate, and patient-specific approaches.⁵⁻⁷

From a surgeon's perspective, robotic systems provide enhanced visualization, superior ergonomics, and refined control that extend beyond the natural capabilities of the human hand. The magnification, tremor filtration, and three-dimensional operative field allow surgeons to perform complex procedures with remarkable precision, reducing risks associated with human error. This level of accuracy is particularly valuable in oral surgery, where millimeter deviations can significantly affect esthetic and functional outcomes.

On the patient side, robotic surgery offers the promise of smaller incisions, reduced trauma, faster recovery times, and fewer postoperative complications. These benefits align with the growing patient demand for minimally invasive solutions and improved quality of life after surgery. Moreover, robotics opens

opportunities for treatment in cases once considered too complex or high-risk for traditional techniques.⁹⁻¹¹ Looking ahead, the perspective on robotics in surgery extends beyond current capabilities to the integration of artificial intelligence, real-time navigation, and machine learning. These innovations could lead to semi-autonomous or fully autonomous surgical systems capable of planning and executing procedures with minimal human intervention. While cost, training requirements, and ethical considerations remain as challenges, the overall perspective suggests that robotics will not merely support surgeons but may redefine the standards of oral surgical practice.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE OF ROBOTICS IN SURGERY

Medical Robotics

The first use of medical robotics dates back to 1985, when the Puma 200 robot was employed for CT-guided brain biopsy in Los Angeles, marking the beginning of robotic applications in medicine. Over nearly 40 years, robotics has expanded across surgery, nursing, and rehabilitation, demonstrating precision, efficiency, and safety.

Yang has classified medical robotics into six autonomy levels, from level 0 (no autonomy, e.g., da Vinci system) to level 5 (full autonomy, not yet realized due to ethical and regulatory concerns). Based on function, the International Federation of Robotics (IFR) categorizes medical robotics into five groups: surgical, rehabilitation, diagnostic, laboratory automation, and other medical robotics.¹²⁻¹⁵

Surgical Robotics

Surgical robots enhance minimally invasive procedures by offering 3D visualization, augmented reality, and precise robotic arms that surpass human dexterity. They integrate imaging, navigation, and artificial intelligence to improve surgical accuracy, reduce trauma, shorten recovery, and even allow remote surgery. The da Vinci system remains the most well-known, successfully applied in complex surgeries. In dentistry, robotic applications are growing, with da Vinci used in cleft palate repair, OSAHS treatment, and oral/oropharyngeal tumor resections. Flexible robots like The Flex address anatomical limitations in oropharyngeal surgery. Research since 2001 has advanced robotic-assisted dental implant placement, including zygomatic implants, with China and the U.S. leading in publications.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

Rehabilitation Robotics

Rehabilitation robotics are divided into therapeutic (used in functional recovery for paralysis, physical training, and autism therapy) and assistive (aimed at improving mobility and daily function in patients with musculoskeletal or neuromuscular impairments). Examples include *Handy1* for severely disabled patients, *ReWalk* exoskeleton for spinal cord injuries,

and Japan's *HAL* prosthesis, which detects bioelectrical signals to control movement.²⁰⁻²²

Diagnostic Robotics

Diagnostic robotics assist in examinations and disease detection with improved accuracy and non-invasiveness. A key innovation is capsule endoscopy, where patients swallow a pill-sized camera to visualize the gastrointestinal tract. Wearable robotic devices are also being developed to monitor health indicators and aid in early diagnosis.

Laboratory Robotics

Laboratory robotics automate sample handling and analysis, ensuring high accuracy, efficiency, and cost reduction. They are widely used in hospital laboratories, such as at the University of Virginia, for continuous blood gas and electrolyte analysis. Systems like the Dobot Magician in Germany have shown comparable accuracy to manual experiments while offering affordability and consistency.²³

Other Medical Robotics

Beyond clinical surgery and diagnostics, robotics supports nursing tasks, hospital logistics, cleaning, disinfection, and home care. They also provide companionship for the elderly, simulate trauma scenarios for training, and aid in medical education through VR, 3D-printed models, and live simulations. During pandemics such as COVID-19, sampling robots reduced infection risks. Emerging fields include soft robotics, bionic robotics, and nanorobotics, focusing on specialization, personalization, intelligence, and remote functionality.²⁴

PRINCIPLES OF ROBOTIC-ASSISTED SURGERY

Robotic-assisted surgery is founded on the principle of enhancing a surgeon's capabilities through advanced technological interfaces that translate human commands into precise surgical actions. Unlike traditional manual techniques, robotic systems are designed to overcome the limitations of hand dexterity, visual access, and stability, thereby enabling a higher degree of accuracy in delicate surgical procedures.

The core principle involves surgeon-robot interaction, where the operator controls robotic arms through a console or computer interface. These systems are equipped with motion scaling, which translates large hand movements into micromovements, and tremor filtration, which eliminates unintended hand shakiness. This ensures surgical actions are smoother, steadier, and more precise than the human hand alone could achieve.

Another important component is enhanced visualization. Robotic platforms often integrate high-definition, three-dimensional imaging, allowing surgeons to view the operative field with magnified

clarity. This not only improves the identification of anatomical structures but also reduces the risk of damage to surrounding tissues—an essential requirement in oral and maxillofacial surgery where critical structures lie in close proximity.

Additionally, feedback mechanisms form an integral principle. While current systems primarily provide visual feedback, newer designs are incorporating haptic (tactile) feedback to restore a sense of touch, helping surgeons gauge resistance and tissue properties during procedures. This evolution bridges the gap between machine precision and human sensory input.²⁵⁻²⁷

Overall, the principles of robotic-assisted surgery revolve around augmenting human skill with machine precision, ensuring minimally invasive interventions, and striving for better functional and esthetic outcomes. As these systems continue to advance, the underlying principles remain constant: to enhance safety, accuracy, and surgical efficiency beyond conventional human limits.

TYPES OF ROBOTIC SYSTEMS IN ORAL SURGERY

The evolution of robotics in oral and maxillofacial surgery has led to the development of different types of robotic systems, each with unique levels of surgeon involvement, autonomy, and application. These systems can broadly be classified into teleoperated systems, semi-autonomous systems, and autonomous systems, with some incorporating navigation-assisted platforms.

1. Teleoperated Systems

Teleoperated systems rely on a surgeon who controls robotic arms through a console or workstation. The surgeon's hand movements are translated into micromovements by the robotic instruments with motion scaling and tremor filtration. These systems provide enhanced visualization through 3D high-definition imaging and greater stability for delicate tasks such as implant placement or bone cutting. While highly effective, they are expensive and require extensive training.

2. Semi-Autonomous Systems

Semi-autonomous systems combine surgeon input with computer guidance. Here, the robot performs specific pre-programmed tasks based on surgical planning data, while the surgeon supervises and intervenes when needed. For example, in dental implantology, semi-autonomous robots can prepare the osteotomy site with pre-planned precision, ensuring accurate angulation and depth. These systems enhance accuracy while maintaining human oversight, reducing the risk of deviation during critical steps.

3. Autonomous Systems

Autonomous robotic systems are capable of executing surgical tasks independently once programmed. Using preoperative imaging and planning, these robots can carry out procedures such as drilling or implant site preparation without continuous surgeon control. Although still in early stages within oral surgery, they offer the potential for consistent outcomes by minimizing human error. However, ethical, legal, and safety concerns limit their widespread adoption at present.

4. Navigation-Assisted Robotic Platforms

These systems integrate real-time imaging and surgical navigation with robotic guidance. They allow for dynamic adjustments during surgery based on intraoperative data. In oral surgery, navigation-assisted robots are particularly useful for implant placement, tumor resection, and orthognathic procedures, where millimeter-level accuracy is essential. By combining robotic precision with navigational adaptability, these platforms represent a hybrid between fully manual and autonomous approaches.²⁸

APPLICATIONS IN ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL SURGERY

Robotic-assisted systems have found increasing applications in oral and maxillofacial surgery (OMFS), where precision, visualization, and minimally invasive approaches are of paramount importance. The confined operative fields, anatomical complexity, and proximity to vital structures such as nerves and blood vessels make robotics an attractive tool to enhance safety and accuracy.

1. Dental Implant Placement

Robotics has been most widely applied in implantology. Robotic-assisted systems can pre-plan implant positions based on CBCT data and transfer the plan directly into the surgical field, minimizing deviation in angulation and depth. Compared to freehand or static guides, robots significantly reduce errors, ensure prosthetically driven implant placement, and improve long-term functional and esthetic outcomes.²⁹

2. Orthognathic Surgery

Corrective jaw surgery requires millimeter-level accuracy for repositioning skeletal structures. Robotic systems assist by translating virtual surgical plans into real-time execution, ensuring symmetry and functional stability. Robots can also enhance osteotomy precision and reduce intraoperative variability caused by surgeon fatigue or manual errors.

3. Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) Surgery

The delicate anatomy of the TMJ region makes robotic assistance highly valuable. Robots improve visualization and instrument stability during

arthroplasty, ankylosis release, or prosthetic joint replacement. With navigation support, robotic systems can reduce complications such as damage to adjacent nerves and vessels.

4. Oncologic Surgery and Reconstruction

In cases of oral and oropharyngeal tumors, robotic platforms (e.g., transoral robotic surgery, TORS) provide minimally invasive access to otherwise difficult-to-reach areas. This reduces the need for mandibulotomies or large incisions, thereby minimizing morbidity and improving postoperative function. In reconstructive surgery, robots assist with flap harvesting and microsurgical suturing, enhancing accuracy and reducing operative time.

5. Trauma and Craniomaxillofacial Surgery

Robotic systems are being explored for fracture reduction and fixation in complex maxillofacial trauma cases. With navigation-guided assistance, robots can help achieve precise alignment of bone fragments and accurate screw placement, reducing operative time and improving stability.³⁰⁻³³

CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND ACCURACY ENHANCEMENT

Robotic-assisted oral and maxillofacial surgery has shown significant improvements in precision, consistency, and clinical outcomes. By integrating preoperative imaging, navigation, and advanced motion control, robotic systems reduce variability compared to conventional freehand approaches and lead to more predictable results.

In dental implantology, robotic guidance greatly enhances accuracy by minimizing deviations in angulation, depth, and position. This allows for optimal prosthetic alignment, reduces the risk of nerve injury or sinus perforation, and supports long-term implant success. The consistency achieved through robotic systems is also valuable in complex procedures such as orthognathic surgery, trauma management, and temporomandibular joint interventions, where tremor filtration and motion scaling ensure reproducible bone alignment and functional restoration.

The precision of robotic systems translates into reduced intraoperative trauma and fewer postoperative complications. By limiting unnecessary bone or soft tissue removal and protecting adjacent anatomical structures, robotic surgery helps shorten operative times, reduce blood loss, and promote faster healing. Patients often benefit from less discomfort, quicker recovery, and superior esthetic outcomes, which enhance overall satisfaction.

Enhanced visualization further strengthens these advantages, as three-dimensional imaging and robotic articulation enable surgeons to access and treat deep or difficult-to-reach regions with greater ease. This is particularly important in oncologic surgery, where achieving clear margins while minimizing tissue

damage is essential. Clinical studies consistently demonstrate measurable improvements, with implant placement deviations often reduced to under 1 mm and angulation errors to less than 3°, which are significantly lower than those reported with freehand techniques.³⁴⁻⁴⁰

Altogether, robotic systems extend the capabilities of oral and maxillofacial surgeons by combining accuracy, safety, and efficiency, leading to improved patient-centered outcomes and setting a higher standard for modern surgical practice.

ADVANTAGES OF ROBOTIC INTEGRATION

Robotic integration in oral and maxillofacial surgery offers multiple advantages that extend beyond the capabilities of conventional surgical techniques. By providing enhanced precision and stability, robotic systems allow surgeons to perform delicate procedures with greater accuracy, reducing the risk of injury to critical anatomical structures. This level of control is particularly valuable in confined operative fields where millimeter-level precision is essential.

The enhanced visualization provided by robotic platforms, including three-dimensional high-definition imaging and augmented reality overlays, improves the surgeon's ability to identify and preserve vital structures while performing complex interventions. Motion scaling and tremor filtration further support precise instrument manipulation, minimizing errors associated with hand fatigue or unsteady movements.⁴¹⁻⁴⁴

Robotic assistance also facilitates minimally invasive surgery, leading to smaller incisions, reduced tissue trauma, less postoperative pain, and faster recovery for patients. In addition, these systems improve ergonomics for surgeons, allowing for more comfortable operating positions during prolonged procedures and reducing physical strain.

Another significant advantage is the potential for remote surgery, where experts can operate on patients from distant locations, expanding access to specialized care. Robotic systems can also operate continuously without fatigue, reduce the workload on medical staff, and minimize occupational exposure to hazards, such as radiation.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

Overall, robotic integration enhances surgical accuracy, patient safety, and procedural efficiency, while also supporting surgeon performance and broadening access to advanced surgical care.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATION

Despite the numerous advantages, robotic-assisted oral and maxillofacial surgery faces several challenges and limitations. High acquisition and maintenance costs remain a significant barrier, limiting widespread adoption, particularly in smaller clinics or developing regions. The complexity of robotic systems also requires extensive training and a steep learning curve, which can initially increase operative times and dependency on specialized

personnel. In addition, the bulk and rigidity of some robotic platforms can restrict access in confined oral and oropharyngeal spaces, potentially limiting their application in certain procedures. Ethical, legal, and regulatory considerations further complicate the use of high-autonomy or semi-autonomous systems, particularly regarding patient safety, liability, and informed consent. Finally, while robotics can enhance precision, current systems still rely heavily on surgeon supervision and planning, meaning that errors in preoperative imaging or software guidance can translate into intraoperative complications.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰

FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN ROBOTIC ORAL SURGERY

The future of robotic oral surgery is promising, with several technological advancements on the horizon. Integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning is expected to enable semi-autonomous decision-making, real-time adaptive adjustments, and improved surgical planning, potentially reducing human error even further. Haptic feedback and tactile sensing will enhance the surgeon's sense of touch, allowing for more delicate manipulation of tissues and improved intraoperative judgment. The development of smaller, more flexible robotic arms and endoscopes will facilitate access to confined regions such as the posterior oral cavity and deep oropharyngeal spaces. Telesurgery and remote consultation capabilities will expand access to specialized care, particularly in underserved regions, while real-time imaging and navigation integration will support highly accurate, patient-specific interventions. Additionally, ongoing research into nanorobotics, soft robotics, and fully automated systems holds potential for less invasive, faster, and more precise procedures in the future. As these technologies mature, robotic oral surgery is likely to become increasingly integrated into routine clinical practice, setting new standards for precision, efficiency, and patient-centered care.

CONCLUSION

Robotic-assisted oral and maxillofacial surgery represents a paradigm shift in surgical practice, combining enhanced precision, improved patient outcomes, and expanded procedural possibilities. By overcoming the limitations of human dexterity and visualization, robotic systems reduce complications, improve reproducibility, and allow for minimally invasive interventions in complex anatomical regions. Future advancements in artificial intelligence, autonomous systems, flexible robotics, and real-time navigation are poised to further elevate surgical accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility. As these technologies continue to mature, robotics is expected to become an integral component of routine oral surgical practice, establishing new benchmarks for safety, effectiveness, and patient-centered care.

REFERENCES

1. William F (2024) Robotics in Oral Surgery: Revolutionizing Precision, Efficiency, and Patient Care. *J Dent Sci Med* 7: 254
2. Aazam H, Rassouli M, Jahani S, Elahi N, Shahram M (2022) Scope of Iranian community health nurses 'services from the viewpoint of the managers and nurses: a content analysis study. *BMC Nursing* 21: 1.
3. Shi X, Zhou Y, Li Z (2021) Bibliometric analysis of the Doctor of Nursing Practice dissertations in the ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. *J Adv Nurs* 3: 776-786.
4. Panahi O, Farrokh S, Amirloo A. Robotics in implant dentistry: current status and future prospects. *Scientific Archives of Dental Sciences*. 2025;7(9):55-60.
5. Schwab LM, Renner LM, King H, Miller P, Forman D, et al. (2021) "They're very passionate about making sure that women stay healthy": a qualitative examination of women's experiences participating in a community paramedicine program. *BMC* 21: 1167.
6. Cai D, Lai X, Zang Y (2022) Nursing Students' Intention to Work as Community Health Nurse in China and Its Predictors. *J Comm Health* 39: 170-177.
7. Panahi O. The evolving partnership: Surgeons and robots in the maxillofacial operating room of the future. *J Dent Sci Oral Care*. 2025;1(1):1-7.
8. Pal H. Advancements and limitations in integrating robotics into medicine: A comprehensive review. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*. 2024 Jul 20;7(11):2024248-.
9. Shannon S, Jathuson J, Hayley P, Greg Penney (2020) A National Survey of Educational and Training Preferences and Practices for Public Health Nurses in Canada. *J Contin EducNurs* 51: 25-31.
10. Tuba B, İrem Nur O, Abdullah B, İlknur Y, Hasibe K (2021) Validity and Reliability of Turkish Version of the Scale on Community Care Perceptions (Scope) for Nursing Students. *Clin Exp Health Sci* 12: 162 – 168.
11. Liu, H. H., Li, L. J., Shi, B., Xu, C. W. & Luo, E. Robotic surgical systems in maxillofacial surgery: a review. *Int. J. Oral. Sci.* 9, 63–73 (2017).
12. Kwok, Y. S., Hou, J., Jonckheere, E. A. & Hayati, S. A robot with improved absolute positioning accuracy for CT guided stereotactic brain surgery. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 35, 153–160 (1988).
13. Troccaz, J., Dagnino, G. & Yang, G. Z. Frontiers of medical robotics: from concept to systems to clinical translation. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 21, 193–218 (2019).
14. Dupont, P. E. et al. A decade retrospective of medical robotics research from 2010 to 2020. *Sci. Robot.* 6, eabi8017 (2021).
15. Yang, G. Z. et al. Medical robotics—regulatory, ethical, and legal considerations for increasing levels of autonomy. *Sci. Robot.* 2, eaam8638 (2017).
16. Wang, T. M. et al. Medical Surgical Robotics. China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. <http://find.nlc.cn/search/showDocDetails?docId=-9060319075756851951&dataSource=ucs01> (2013).
17. Liu, Y. et al. Fully automatic AI segmentation of dental implant surgery related tissues based on cone beam computed tomography images. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* (2024) (Accepted for publication).
18. Alemzadeh, K. & Raabe, D. Prototyping artificial jaws for the robotic dental testing simulator. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part H* 222, 1209–1220 (2008)

19. Kazanzides, P. et al. Surgical and interventional robotics: core concepts, technology, and design. *IEEE Robot. Autom. Mag.* 15, 122–130 (2008).
20. Saleh, M. A., Hanapiah, F. A. & Hashim, H. Robot applications for autism: a comprehensive review. *Disabil. Rehabil. Assist. Technol.* 16, 580–602 (2021).
21. Chen, X. P. Advancement and challenges of medical robots from an interdisciplinary viewpoint. *Chin. Bull. Life Sci.* 34, 965–973 (2022).
22. Winchester, P. et al. Changes in supraspinal activation patterns following robotic locomotor therapy in motor-incomplete spinal cord injury. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair* 19, 313–324 (2005).
23. Tegally, H., San, J. E., Giandhari, J. & de Oliveira, T. Unlocking the efficiency of genomics laboratories with robotic liquid-handling. *BMC Genomics* 21, 729 (2020)
24. Gao, A. et al. Progress in robotics for combating infectious diseases. *Sci. Robot.* 6, eabf1462 (2021)
25. Tal, H. & Moses, O. A comparison of panoramic radiography with computed tomography in the planning of implant surgery. *Dentomaxillofac. Radiol.* 20, 40–42 (1991).
26. Tattan, M., Chambrone, L., Gonzalez-Martin, O. & Avila-Ortiz, G. Static computeraided, partially guided, and free-handed implant placement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin. Oral. Implant. Res.* 31, 889–916 (2020).
27. Vercruyssen, M., Fortin, T., Widmann, G., Jacobs, R. & Quirynen, M. Different techniques of static/dynamic guided implant surgery: modalities and indications. *Periodontology 2000* 66, 214–227 (2014).
28. Liu C, Liu Y, Xie R, Li Z, Bai S, Zhao Y. The evolution of robotics: research and application progress of dental implant robotic systems. *International Journal of Oral Science.* 2024 Apr 8;16(1):28.
29. Dziegielewski PT, Kang SY, Ozer E. Transoral robotic surgery (TORS) for laryngeal and hypopharyngeal cancers. *J Surg Oncol* 2015; 112(7): 702–706.
30. Dutta SR, Passi D, Sharma S, Singh P. Transoral robotic surgery: a contemporary cure for future maxillofacial surgery. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medicine, and Pathology.* 2016 Jul 1;28(4):290-303.
31. Peng X, Acero J, Yu GY. Application and prospects of computer-assisted surgery in oral and maxillofacial oncology. *Sci. Bull.* 2023 Jan 20;68:236-9.
32. Hassfeld S, Raczkowski J, Bohner P, Hofele C, Holler C, Mühling J, Rembold U. Robotics in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Possibilities, chances, risks. *Mund-, Kiefer-und Gesichtschirurgie: MKG.* 1997 Nov 1;1(6):316-23.
33. Navalesi P, Oddo CM, Chisci G, Frosolini A, Gennaro P, Abbate V, Prattichizzo D, Gabriele G. The use of tactile sensors in oral and maxillofacial Surgery: An overview. *Bioengineering.* 2023 Jun 26;10(7):765.
34. Mouret P. How I developed laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1996; 25(5): 744–747.
35. Steiner W. *Endoscopic laser surgery of the upper aerodigestive tract.* New York: Georg Thieme, 2000.
36. Mcleod IK, Melder PC. Da Vinci robot-assisted excision of a vallecular cyst: a case report. *Ear Nose Throat J* 2005; 84(3): 170–172.
37. Dean NR, Rosenthal EL, Carroll WR et al. Robotic-assisted surgery for primary or recurrent oropharyngeal carcinoma. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2010; 136(4): 380–384
38. Rosen J, Hannaford B, Satava et al. *Surgical robotics.* Philadelphia: Springer US, 2011.
39. Weinstein Jr GS, O'Malley BW, Magnuson JS et al. Transoral robotic surgery: a multicenter study to assess feasibility, safety, and surgical margins. *Laryngoscope* 2012; 122(8): 1701–1707
40. Park YM, Kim WS, Byeon HK et al. Clinical outcomes of transoral robotic surgery for head and neck tumors. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2013; 122(2): 73–84.
41. Terris DJ, Singer MC, Seybt MW. Robotic facelift thyroidectomy: patient selection and technical considerations. *SurgLaparoscEndosc Percutan Tech* 2011; 21(4): 237–242.
42. Terris DJ, Singer MC. Qualitative and quantitative differences between 2 robotic thyroidectomy techniques. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2012; 147(1): 20–25.
43. Terris DJ, Singer MC. Robotic facelift thyroidectomy: facilitating remote access surgery. *Head Neck* 2012; 34(5): 746–747.
44. Singer MC, Terris DJ. Robotic facelift thyroidectomy. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2014; 47(3): 425–431. 85 Kandil E, Saeed A, Mohamed SE et al. Modified robotic-assisted thyroidectomy: an initial experience with the retroauricular approach. *Laryngoscope* 2015; 125(3): 767–771.
45. Mohamed SE, Saeed A, Moulthrop T et al. Retroauricular robotic thyroidectomy with concomitant neck-lift surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015; 22(1): 1.
46. Genden E, Desai S, Sung CK. Transoral robotic surgery for the management of head and neck cancer: a preliminary experience. *Head Neck* 2009; 31(3): 283–289.
47. Moore EJ, Olsen KD, Kasperbauer JL. Transoral robotic surgery for oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: a prospective study of feasibility and functional outcomes. *Laryngoscope* 2009; 119(11): 2156–2164
48. Iseli TA, Kulbersh BD, Iseli CE et al. Functional outcomes after transoral robotic surgery for head and neck cancer. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2009; 141(2): 166–171.
49. Mardrossian VA, Zoccoli MC, AlphiElackattu MD et al. A pilot study to evaluate the use of the da Vinci surgical robotic system in transoral surgery for lesions of the oral cavity and pharynx. *Laryngoscope* 2009; 119(S1): 7.
50. Park YM, Kim WS, Byeon HK et al. A novel technique for the resection of the symptomatic lingual thyroid: transoral robotic surgery. *Thyroid* 2013; 23(4): 466–471