Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com

doi: 10.21276/jamdsr

UGC approved journal no. 63854

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599;

(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Case Report

Fibre Reinforced Composite Resin- A New Innovation for Anterior Tooth Replacement

Neelam Mittal¹, Vijay Parashar², Kaushal Pati Tripathi³, Prasad Suresh Patel⁴

¹Professor, ²Senior Resident, ³Ph.D Scholar, ⁴Junior Resident,

Faculty of Dental Sciences, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, (U.P.), India

ABSTRACT:

One of the most serious apprehension in most of the Indian cultures is missing teeth in anterior region. Most common treatment of choice are crown and bridge or dental implants. Instead, fiber-reinforced composite (FRC) resins can be another treatment modality that is more conservative and economic for replacement of single or multiple teeth. The present case shows how missing anterior tooth can successfully be restored by fibre reinforced composite technology (FRC Technology) to reestablish its function and esthetics. **Key words:** Fibre reinforced composite, Fixed partial denture, Esthetics.

Received: 4 February, 2019

Revised: 25 February, 2019

Accepted: 26 February, 2019

Corresponding author: Dr. Vijay Parashar, Faculty of Dental Sciences, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, (U.P.), India

This article may be cited as: Mittal N, Parashar V, Tripathi KP, Patel PS. Oral Cancer and Precancerous Lesions: A Review. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2019;7(3):8-10.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most common form of dental injury in children and adolescents is Loss of anterior teeth, whereasaged peopleretaining their teeth for longer spanof time, often have advanced carious or periodontal diseasesthat can lead to teeth removal. These patients with loss ofanterior teeth need immediate restoration of teeth for the sake of esthetics and function. Development of fiber reinforced composite (FRC) has offered a good choice to the dental professionals as well as to the patients for the possibility of single visit restoration of missing teeth by fabricating resin bonded adhesiveand metal-free tooth replacements that is esthetically comparable to other tooth replacement methods. FRC-fixed partial denture (FPD) can be considered as an alternative to metal-ceramic FPD and sometimes also to full coverage crown retained FPD.^{1,2} Many studies have focused on the improvement of FRC FPD's strength.³⁻⁵ FRC, made of glass fibers, is the only existingesthetically acceptable material, which can be processed inmouth in he shape of a bridge that adheres to the remaining tooth structure, and reachesparamount

strength to withstand masticatory forces. The most admitted theory to fabricate FRC FPDs rests on the use of continuousglass fibers unidirectionally in dimethacrylate resin matrix as a substructure for the FPD.⁶ There are two approaches on the use of fibers withFRCprostheses: one conventional tooth preparation based on and laboratoryprocessed restorations while another is based on use of fibers in minimally invasive conservative restoration by direct or indirect fabrication.⁷ In the dental literature, there are presently a limited number ofclinical studies on the fiber-reinforced FPDs; however, basedon those results, it is reasonable to expect FRC prosthesesto have good longevity, especially with those made by directtechnique.^{6,7} This case report presents a case with minimally invasive direct restoration with FRC.

CASE REPORT

Twenty two -year-old female patient had a chiefcomplaint of esthetics because of a gap of missing upper left lateral incisor (Fig.1). The patient has normal overbite and overjet. After discussing all the treatment options with the patient, directly made FRC FPDs wasdecided as the final treatment protocol because of maintaining esthetics, conservation of tooth structure and single visit completion of the process.

After isolating the tooth, the resin impregnated fibres were cut according to the distancebetween central incisor and canine. After application of etchant (37% phosphoric acidgel), the gel was rinsed thoroughly and then air dried.Adhesive resin was applied according to the manufacturer's instructions (Scotch bond multipurpose adhesive, 3M ESPE, USA). Flowable composite resin (Stick Flow, Stick Teck Ltd, Turku, Finland) was then applied onto thebonding surfaces before placement of resin impregnated fibers (ever Stick). The flowable composite waslight cured only after thefibers were pressed onto the tooth surface by using a transparent silicone package (mold) of the fibers. The resin impregnated fibers were cured through the silicone mold. The flow composite provided to seal the space between the fiber and the tooth surface. The fiber meshworkwascuredtwicefor40 seconds (Fig.4 &5). Fiber framework was coveredcompletely by a thin flowable composite layer and missing tooth was builded by adding layers of hybrid particulatecomposite resin that was cured step by step(Fig.4).Tooth was checked for occlusal clearance and final finishing and polishing was done (Fig.5).



Fig.1: Preoperative photograph



Fig.2: Appearance of the FRC framework with a layer of flow composite between FRC and tooth(Labial view)



Fig.3: Palatal view



Fig.4: After Final Restoration



Fig.5: After Final Finishing

DISCUSSION

There are various treatment modalities by which congenitally or traumatically missing permanent anterior tooth can be replaced. Fixed FRC bridges are one of these options, that hasseveral advantages whether it is bonding ability, reparability, ease of fabrication or relative longevity. Its main advantage is its minimal or noninvasiveness with little or almost no tooth reduction. Compared to traditional prosthetic options, a fiber-reinforced composite bridge is generally less costly and labor intensive.⁷

Metal prostheses that are laboratory-made surface-retained resin-bonded prosthesesmade of metals are commonly supported and bonded fromone end to reduce the number of debondings, whereas surface-retained FRC prostheses may be supported from both ends rendering to its better bondingcharacteristics and biomechanical flexibility.⁴. The flexibility permits movementof abutment teethwithout inducing stress to the cement-framework interface infunction resulting in loosening of the prostheses. However, the cases whereabutment has increased mobility, it is advised also to support resin-bonded FRC FPD from one end only.Cantilever designs need special care toensure sufficient design-based rigidity of the FRC frameworkto withstand bending forces by masticatory function. By cross-sectional increasing the diameter of the connector, sufficient rigidity can be obtained. Frame work fibres need to cover as much surface as possible on the abutments in the anterior area and preferably be placed near to incisal edge to abolish dislodging forces⁸

The use of different dentin and enamel composites to build up the intermediate tooth according to the anatomical layering technique provides a vital final aspect, with natural opalescence, translucency, and opacity.Instead of direct fabrication of the missing tooth, denture tooth can also be used. This method is easier, faster, and, in some cases, more esthetically acceptable than the direct fabrication of a tooth. The shape and the incisal color of denture teeth are, in some cases, however, difficult to match to the adjacent teeth. Moreover, the interface between the restorative composite covering the beam and artificial tooth could weaken the bridge and lead to fracture in this region.

Long term clinical research of FRC prostheses is lacking from clinical point of view. However, the longitudinal studies reported general failure rates between 5% and 16% over periods up to 4-5 years.⁹ Van Heumen et al. showed a 64% survival rate after 5 years of follow-up of anterior 3unit FRC prostheses in late 1990.¹⁰ One study reported a much higher failure rate of 40% over a 3-year period.¹¹The clinical data using semi-IPN resin matrix FRC FPDs directly made in patients mouth have suggested high

Source of support: Nil

survival percentages (>96% at five years), that reflects development in material and learning of fabrication of FRC FPDs.¹² Most common cause of failure in FRC FPDs is delamination of veneering composite at pontic area, that can be easily repaired in patients mouth. These factors that can cause failure are being taken care of in newer designing principles.

CONCLUSION

FRC bridgetechnique has come up with a new treatment option for the replacement of a missing anterior tooth. This technique efficiently restores esthetic and function of the tooth. It is more hygienic and less irritating compared to removable appliance. Generally, it does not require any tooth reduction and could be repaired, modified, or removed from teeth without any iatrogenic problem. It can be considered a permanent treatment or a long-lasting provisional treatment if implant therapy is used at a later date.

REFERENCES

- 1. Butterworth C, Ellakwa AE, Shortall A. Fiber-reinforced composites in restorative dentistry.DentUpdate,2003;30:300-306.
- Vallittu PK, Sevelius C. Resin-bonded, glass fiberreinforcedcomposite fixed partial dentures: a clinical study. J Prosthet Dent 2000; 84:413-418
- 3. Vallittu PK. Flexural properties of acrylic resin polymers reinforced with unidirectional andwovenfibers.J.Prosthet.Dent,1999;81:318-326.
- 4. Kim SH, Watts DC. Effect of glass-fiber reinforcement and water storage on fracture toughness (KIC) of polymer-based provisional crown and FPD materials. Int J Prosthodont2004; 17:318-322.
- Kangasniemi I, Vallittu PK, Meiers J, Dyer SR, Rosentritt M. Consensus statement on fiber-reinforced polymers: current status, future directions, and how they can be used to enhance dental care. Int J Prosthodont 2003; 16:209.
- 6. P. K. Vallittu, "Interpenetrating polymer networks (IPNs) in dental polymers and composites," Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology, vol. 23, no. 7-8, pp. 961–972, 2009.
- C. Monaco, M. Ferrari, G. P. Miceli, and R. Scotti, "Clinical evaluation of fiber-reinforced composite inlay FPDs," International Journal of Prosthodontics, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 319–325, 2003.
- 8. R. V. Curtis and T. F. Watson, Dental Biomaterials: Imaging, Testing and Modelling, chapter 9, Woodhead Publishing Company, 2008, Valittu PK, Fiber-reinforced composites for dental applications
- M. A. Freilich, J. C. Meiers, J. P. Duncan, K. A. Eckrote, and A. J. Goldberg, "Clinical evaluation of fiber-reinforced fixedbridges," Journal of the American Dental Association, vol. 133,no. 11, pp. 1524–1534, 2002.
- C. C. van Heumen, J. W. van Dijken, J. Tanner et al., "Five year survival of 3-unit fiber-reinforced composite fixed partialdentures in the anterior area," Dental Materials, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 820–827, 2009.
- F.Bohlsen and M. Kern, "Clinical outcome of glass-fiber reinforced crowns and fixed partial dentures: a three-yearretrospective study," Quintessence International, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 493–496, 2003.
- M. Ozcan, "Direct, Inlay-Retained, Fiber-Reinforced-Composite Restorations with Two Pontics : 5-Year Clinical Follow-Up,IADR, Toronto, Canada, 2008, Abstract 108664.

Conflict of interest: None declared

This work is licensed under CC BY: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.