

## Original Research

### Clinico-pathological study of soft tissue tumors

Tanvi Agarwal

Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Gouri Devi Institute of Medical Sciences, Durgapur, West Bengal, India

#### ABSTRACT:

**Aim:** The main objectives of the present prospective study are to determine the overall incidence of soft tissue tumours and their frequency of distribution in relation to age, sex and various sites in the body, and to study the histomorphological features which would help in classification and subclassification of soft tissue tumours. **Material and Methods:** This retrospective study was carried out in the department of pathology. Total 100 patients of all the soft tissue tumors, both benign and malignant were included in this study. **Results:** Benign soft tissue tumours formed 85% of all soft tissue tumours while malignant soft tissue tumours constituted 15%. The male to female ratio among the benign soft tissue tumours was 1.29:1 and among the malignant soft tissue tumours was 1.5:1. The commonest benign tumour was lipoma (34%) of all benign tumours of soft tissue followed by vascular tumours (25%) peripheral nerve sheath tumours (22%), fibrous tumours (5%), fibrohistiocytic tumours (4%) smooth muscle tumours (4%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation (2%). There is a highly significant association between the type of tumours and the category of tumours. The benign adipocytic tumours accounted for the majority of benign soft tissue tumours (26%) followed by vascular tumours (20%). Benign tumours of smooth muscle (2%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation (1%) are encountered. The malignant tumours of adipose tissue accounted for majority of malignant soft tissue tumours (8%) followed by tumours of skeletal muscle, blood vessels (5%) and peripheral nerve (3%). Benign soft tissue tumours were seen in extremities followed by head and neck and for the malignant soft tissue tumours mainly lower extremities (10%) followed by trunk and abdomen (7%). **Conclusion:** The diagnosis and management of soft tissue tumors require a team perspective. Even though soft tissue sarcomas are rare and usually present just as painless mass, the clinician must be able to diagnose it early for better management. A careful gross examination of the specimen and adequate sampling of the tumour is essential. Immunohistochemistry and Special stains are helpful in addition to the routine Haematoxylin and eosin for the proper diagnosis of soft tissue tumours. Availability of a modern, more logical histopathologic classification and standard nomenclature now offers a better clinico pathological co-relation.

**Keywords:** soft tissue tumors, clinicopathological, adipose

Received: 27-08-2021

Accepted: 30-09-2021

**Corresponding author:** Tanvi Agarwal, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Gouri Devi Institute of Medical Sciences, Durgapur, West Bengal, India

**This article may be cited as:** Agarwal T. Clinico-pathological study of soft tissue tumors. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2021;9(10):171-175.

#### INTRODUCTION

Soft tissue is defined as nonepithelial extraskelatal tissue of the body exclusive of the reticuloendothelial system, glia and supporting tissue of various parenchymal organs. Soft tissue tumours (STS) are a heterogeneous group of tumours classified according to the line of differentiation of adult soft tissues, and the pathogenesis most of which is not known.<sup>1</sup> These are usually classified as benign, intermediate or malignant lesions which can occur in any age group, and which usually present as a painless mass.<sup>2</sup> The overall incidence of soft tissue tumours is relatively high in case of benign soft tissue tumours with the

annual incidence being 3000 per million populations while the incidence of malignant soft tissue tumours is 30 per million populations.<sup>3,4</sup> Many risk factors such as genetic factors, environmental factors, irradiation, viral infections and immune deficiency have been found to be associated with malignant soft tissue tumours and some reports of certain soft tissue sarcomas arising at the site of surgical procedures or fracture sites and in the vicinity of plastic or metal prosthetic or implants as also due to thermal or acid burns after a latent period of several years are found in literature.<sup>5</sup> Depending on the biological behaviour, soft tissue tumours are classified into benign and

malignant tumours, which arise nearly everywhere in the body. Benign tumours, which closely resemble normal tissues from which they arise, have limited capacity for autonomous growth. Benign soft tissue tumours are usually slow growing, superficial, well-defined, wellencapsulated, painless and any soft tissue tumour is considered malignant if they increase in size with size>5cm, are deep to deep fascia and painful.<sup>6,7</sup> The mainstay of diagnosis of soft tissue tumour depends on the use of characteristic diagnostic techniques employed in diagnosis of soft tissue tumours with various sampling techniques being excisional, incisional and core biopsy with preferred technique for diagnosing the soft tissue masses over the extremities persistently remaining open biopsy which is considered as gold standard.<sup>8-10</sup> Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) plays an important role in diagnosing the soft tissue lesions and CT-guided FNAC can be of particular help in diagnosis of intraabdominal and retroperitoneal lesions.<sup>11</sup> Biopsy of soft tissue tumours, particularly of suspicious malignant soft tissue lesion, is quintessential part of preoperative investigations, which helps in diagnosing the biological behaviour and outcome of tumours including poorly differentiated high grade tumours, which is complimented by latest diagnostic techniques

such as immunohistochemistry, cytogenetic and molecular methods. This has led to a more logical histogenetic classification and standard nomenclature which has enhanced better chances of clinico-pathological correlation.<sup>12</sup>

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This retrospective study was carried out in the department of pathology, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. After taking informed consent detailed history was taken from the patient or the relatives if the patient was not in good condition. Total 100patients of all the soft tissue tumors, both benign and malignant were included in this study. Detailed clinical data including history, clinical features, USG, Radiological findings and gross findings was taken from histopathology record section. The blocks were recut and stained by routine H&E stain.The tissue were fixed in 10% formalin and processed through standard paraffin embedding technique. Sections of approximately 5 was taken and stained by routine hematoxylin and eosin. Special stains like PAS and reticulin, PTAH were also done wherever necessary in studies. They were further examined microscopically and grading was done according.

**RESULTS**

**Table 1: Relative incidence of benign & malignant soft tissue tumours**

Type	No. of soft tissue tumours	Percentage
Benign	86	86%
Malignant	14	14%
Total	100	100%

**Table 2: Age & Sex incidence in soft tissue tumours**

Age in yrs	Sex		Total
	Male	Female	
Below 10	3	4	7
10-20	10	6	16
20-30	5	4	9
30-40	6	5	11
40-50	11	7	18
50-60	10	8	18
above 61	12	9	21
Total	57	43	100

**Table 3: Sex Incidence of All SSTS**

Category	Sex		Total
	Male	Female	
Benign	48	37	85
Malignant	9	6	15
Total	57	43	100

**Table 4: Incidence of Benign & Malignant Soft Tissue Tumors**

Type	Category of Soft tissue tumors		Total (%)
	Benign (%)	Malignant (%)	
Adipocytic	26 (26%)	8(8%)	34 (34%)
Fibrous	3 (3%)	2(2%)	5 (5%)
Fibrohistiocytic	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	4 (4%)

Smooth Muscle	2(2%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)
Skeletal Muscle	2(2%)	2 (2%)	4 (4%)
Blood Vessels	20 (20%)	5 (5%)	25 (25%)
Peripheral nerve sheath tumors	19 (19%)	3 (3%)	22 (22%)
Tumors of uncertain differentiation	1(1%)	1 (1%)	2(2%)
Total	76 (76%)	24 (24%)	100 (100%)

**Table 5: Site distribution of Benign and Malignant Soft Tissue tumours**

Site	Benign	Malignant	Total
Extremities	26	10	36
Head and Neck	22	5	27
Back and Shoulder	19	2	21
Trunk and Abdomen	8	7	15
Others	1	0	1
Total	76	24	100

Total 100 cases of soft tissue tumours were include in this study. Its slightly male preponderance with a male to female ratio was 1.3:1 .Benign soft tissue tumours formed 85% of all soft tissue tumours while malignant soft tissue tumours constituted 15% . Malignant soft tissue tumours had a peak above 65 years age group . The male to female ratio among the benign soft tissue tumours was 1.29:1 and among the malignant soft tissue tumours was 1.5:1.On detailed histomorphological examination, the single most common histological group was the adiposetumours. The commonest benign tumour was lipoma (34%) of all benign tumours of soft tissue followed by vascular tumours(25%) peripheral nerve sheath tumours (22%), fibrous tumours (5%), fibrohistiocytic tumours (4%) smooth muscle tumours (4%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation (2%). There is a highly significant association between the type of tumours and the category of tumours. The benign adipocytic tumours accounted for the majority of benign soft tissue tumours (26%) followed by vascular tumours (20%). Benign tumours of smooth muscle (2%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation (1%) are encountered.The malignant tumours of adipose tissue accounted for majority of malignant soft tissue tumours (8%) followed by tumours of skeletal muscle, blood vessels(5%) and peripheral nerve(3%). benign soft tissue tumours were seen in extremities followed by head and neck and for the malignant soft tissue tumours mainly lower extremities(10%) followed by trunk and abdomen(7%).

## DISCUSSION

Soft tissue is a nonepithelial extra skeletal tissue of the body exclusive of reticuloendothelial system, glia and supporting tissue of the various parenchymal organs. It is represented by the voluntary muscles, adipose tissue and fibrous tissue along with the vessels serving these tissues. They are classified according to the tissue they recapitulate (muscle fat, fibrous tissue, vessels and nerves). Some soft tissue tumors have no normal tissue counterpart but have consistent clinicopathologic features warranting their designation as distinct entities.In present study the

frequency of benign tumour was 86% and malignant tumours was 14% which is in between study of Myher Jensen *et al.*<sup>13</sup> and Lazximet *al.*<sup>14</sup> whereas M.J. Kransdorf*et al.*<sup>15</sup>, reported 60.2% benign and 39.8% malignant soft tissue tumour in their study. In other study of soft tissue tumors of head and neck by Makino,<sup>16</sup> stated 96% tumors as benign and 45 % as malignant. In all there studies benign tumours predominated over malignant tumours.The relative frequency of benign to malignant soft tissue tumours is difficult to estimate accurately since many of the benign tumours cause not much problems and patients do not report to the clinicians and also most benign lesions are not removed.

All around the world many workers analyzed various aspects of soft tissue tumours like age and sex distribution, site, clinical features etc. which have been published in many literature.Specific sarcomas tend to appear in certain age groups.The male preponderance in almost all soft tissue tumour. In the present study there were 57 males and 43female out of total 100 causes of soft tissue tumour with male to female ratio 1.3:1 which is equal to the study of M.S. Kransdorf *et al.*<sup>17</sup>. Our study is also comparable with studies of Mynes Jensen *et al.*<sup>13</sup>. and Beg<sup>18</sup>. where M:F were 1:1 and 1.8:1 respectively. In present study peak incidence is in age above 65 years followed by age group 50-60 years. Lazim *et al.*<sup>14</sup>. studied 213 cases of soft tissue tumours in one year and reported a male preponderance in all soft tissue tumour with M:F ratio of 1.7:1.Mandong *et al.*<sup>19</sup>. done tenyears retrospective study of soft tissue sarcomas and reported male to female ratio 2: 1., which is very close to study of Abudu *et al.*<sup>20</sup>. where male to female ratio was 1.9:1. Agravatet *al.*<sup>21</sup>. studied 100 cases of soft tissue tumors. Of these 86% were benign,.6% malignant ,2% borderline and 6% were tumor like lesions.The adipocytic tumour (34%) are most common soft tissue tumours followed by vascular tumours (25%) and peripheral nerve sheath tumours (22%). There is a highly significant association between the type of tumours and the category of tumours. The benign adipocytic tumours accounted for the majority of benign soft tissue

tumours (26%) followed by vascular tumours (20%). Benign tumours of smooth muscle (2%) and tumours of uncertain differentiation are nil encountered. The malignant tumours of adipose tissue accounted for majority of malignant soft tissue tumours (8%) followed by tumours of skeletal muscle, blood vessels(5%) and peripheral nerve(3%). Myhre-Jensen [5] reported most common benign soft tissue tumours were of adipocytic (48.1%) constitute majority of lipoma followed by benign fibrohistiocytic tumours (15.8%). Regarding the site of soft tissue tumours in fair number of studies commonest site was extremities. Soft tissue tumors may arise in any location although approximately 37.5% occur in lower extremities.

In present study 26% benign soft tissue tumours were seen in extremities followed by head and neck 22% which is comparable with Beg *et al.* studies<sup>18</sup>. The studies by Lazim, Beg and Zhi *et al.*<sup>19,22,23</sup>, state commonest site was extremities for the malignant soft tissue tumours mainly lower extremities followed by trunk and abdomen. Whereas in case of Madong *et al.*<sup>19</sup> extremities followed by head and neck. Meis-Kindblom *et al.*<sup>24</sup> studied eighty cases of angiosarcoma and found most common site was extremities. A study of MPNST from 200 soft tissue sarcomas by Kar *et al.*<sup>25</sup> reported extremities as most common site followed by chest wall and trunk, pelvis and head and neck.

The malignant soft tissue tumours were observed to have a strong predilection for extremities 10% specifically lower extremities, followed by trunk and abdomen 7%. The predilection is confirmed by the studies of Kransdorf<sup>15,17</sup>. Gebhard *et al.*<sup>26</sup> studied clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical features of pleomorphic liposarcomas and found lower extremities as most common site of occurrence. Studies by Olivera *et al.*<sup>27</sup> and Weiss *et al.*<sup>28</sup> on extra skeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma and MFH respectively also reported extremities as most common site that too lower extremities more than upper extremities. Accurate histologic classification contributes significantly to establishing the prognosis of sarcoma. Important diagnostic features are cell morphology and architectural arrangement; often these features are not sufficient to distinguish one sarcoma from another, particularly with poorly differentiated aggressive tumors. Whatever the type, the grade of a soft tissue sarcoma is important in predicting its behavior. Grading is largely based on degree of differentiation, average number of mitosis per high power field, cellular pleomorphism and extent of necrosis. In general tumors arising in superficial locations have better prognosis than deep seated lesions.

## CONCLUSION

The diagnosis and management of soft tissue tumors require a team perspective. Even though soft tissue sarcomas are rare and usually present just as painless

mass, the clinician must be able to diagnose it early for better management. A careful gross examination of the specimen and adequate sampling of the tumour is essential. Immunohistochemistry and Special stains are helpful in addition to the routine Haematoxylin and eosin for the proper diagnosis of Soft tissue tumours. Availability of a modern, more logical histopathologic classification and standard nomenclature now offers a better clinico pathological co-relation. The clinicopathological evaluation is still the gold standard for the proper diagnosis of soft tissue tumors

## REFERENCE

1. Athulya KKKT, Ariya S. Soft tissue neoplasms: a clinicopathological study. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2020;8:3591-5
2. Begum S, Kathirvelu S, Vaithy K A, Srinivasan S. Clinico-pathological study of soft tissue tumors. *Indian J Pathol Oncol* 2020;7(2):259-265.
3. Jain P, Shrivastava A, Malik R. Clinicomorphological Assessment of Soft Tissue Tumors. *Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS)*. 2014; 2(2D):886-890.
4. GoGi AM, Ramanujam R. Clinicopathological study and management of peripheral soft tissue tumours. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2013 Nov;7(11):2524.
5. Chakrabarti PR, Chakrabarti S, Pandit A, Agrawal P, Dosi S, Jain MR. Histopathological study of soft tissue tumors: A three year experience in tertiary care centre. *Indian Journal of Pathology and Oncology*. 2015 Jul;2(3):141-9.
6. Bharti G Ramnani, Ashutosh Kumar, Shruti Chandak, Amar Ranjan, Mehul Kumar Patel. Clinicopathological Profile of Benign Soft Tissue Tumours: A Study in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Western India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014 Oct, Vol-8(10): FC01-FC04
7. Singh HP, Grover S, Garg B, Sood N. Histopathological Spectrum of Soft-Tissue Tumors with Immunohistochemistry Correlation and FNCLCC grading: A North Indian Experience. *Niger Med J*. 2017 Sep-Oct;58(5):149-155.
8. Gogoi G, Borgohain M, Saikia P, Patel B, Hazarika RK (2017) Histomorphological Study of Soft Tissue Tumors and Review of Literature of Rarer Types. *Int Clin Pathol J* 4(6): 00113.
9. VaniTellapuram, Sirisha Ommini, Vijay Sreedhar Veldurthy, Charan Paul, Narsing Rao. M. Spectrum of soft tissue tumours in rural area of Telangana. *International Journal of Research in Health Sciences*. Oct - Dec 2016 Volume-4, Issue-4: 81-86
10. Deepak MB, Suchitha S, Manjunath GV, Ira Bharadwaj. Clinico-pathological study of benign soft tissue tumors: a study from tertiary teaching hospital. *Trop J Path Micro* 2016;2(3):134-141
11. Reily Ann Ivan, Shameema S. and Sarada V. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 2015, 5(3): 34-38
12. Baste B D, Swami SY, Narhire V V, Dhamecha M P, D'Costa G. A clinico-pathologic study of soft tissue neoplasms: An experience from a rural tertiary care hospital. *Ann Trop Med Public Health [serial online]* 2017 [cited 2017 Oct 22];10:348-52.
13. Myhre-Jensen O; A consecutive 7-year series of 1331

- benign soft tissue tumours. Clinicopathologic data. Comparison with sarcomas. *Acta Orthop Scand.*, 1981; 52(3):287-293.
14. Lazim AF, Bedoor AK, Al-Irhayim; Soft tissue sarcomas in Mosul: a pathologic evaluation. *Ann Coll Med Mosul.*, 2008; 34(2):152-160.
  15. Kransdorf MJ; Malignant soft tissue tumours in a large referral population: Distribution of specific diagnosis by age, sex and location, *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1995; 164(1):129-134.
  16. Makino Y; A clinico pathological study on soft tissue tumours of the head and neck. *Acta Pathol Jpn.*, 1979; 29(3):389-408.
  17. Kransdorf MJ; Benign soft-tissue tumours in a large referral population: distribution of specific diagnoses by age, sex, and location. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.*, 1995; 164(2):395-402.
  18. Beg S, Vasenwala SM, Haider N, Ahmad SS, Maheshwari V, Khan MA; A comparison of cytological and histopathological findings and role of immunostains in the diagnosis of soft tissue tumours. *J Cytol.*, 2012; 29(2): 125-130.
  19. Mandong BM, Kidmas AT, Manasseh AN, Echejoh GO, Tanko, Madaki AJ; Epidemiology of soft tissue sarcomas in Jos, North Central Nigeria. *Niger J Med.*, 2007; 16(3):246-249.
  20. Abudu EK, Akinde OR, Oyebadejo TO, Efunshile AM, Musa OA, Banjo AA; Histopathological study of soft tissue malignancies in a teaching hospital, Sagamu, South-West Nigeria. *Nig Q J Hosp Med.*, 2010; 20(1):42-45.
  21. Agravat AH, Dhruva GA, Parmar SA; Histopathology study of human soft tissue tumours And tumour like lesions. *Journal of Cell and Tissue Research*, 2010; 10(2):2287-2292
  22. Kasraeian S, Allison DC, Ahlmann ER, Fedenko AN, Menendez LR; A Comparison of Fine-needle Aspiration, Core Biopsy, and Surgical Biopsy in the Diagnosis of Extremity Soft Tissue Masses. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*, 2010; 468:2992-3002.
  23. Zhi-wei F, Jing C, Sheng T, Yong C, Rui-feng X; Analysis of soft tissue sarcomas in 1118 cases. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 2009; 122(1):51-53.
  24. Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG; Angiosarcoma of soft tissue; a study of 80 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.*, 1998; 22(6):683.
  25. Kar M, Suryanarayana Deo SV, Shukla NK, Malik A, Dutta S, Gupta S *et al.*; Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours (MPNST) - Clinicopathological study and treatment outcome of twenty-four cases. *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 2006, 4:55.
  26. Gebhard S, Coindre JM, Michels JJ, Terrier P, Bertrand G, Trassard M *et al.*; Pleomorphic liposarcoma: clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and follow-up analysis of 63 cases: a study from the French Federation of Cancer Centres Sarcoma Group. *Am J Surg Pathol.*, 2002; 26(5):601-616.
  27. Oliveira AM, Sebo TJ, McGrory JE, Gaffey TA, Rock MG, Nascimento AG; Extraskelatal Myxoid Chondrosarcoma: A Clinicopathologic, Immunohistochemical, and Ploidy Analysis of 23 Cases. *Mod Pathol.*, 2000; 13(8):900-908.
  28. Weiss SW, Enzinger FM; Malignant fibrous histiocytoma: An Analysis of 200 Cases. *Cancer*, 1978; 41(6):2250-2266.