(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

To study the characterization of the cutaneous adverse medication responses caused by carbamazepine

¹Ashok Kumar Singh, ²Rohit Garg

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, India; ²Assistant Professor, Department of Skin & VD, Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, India

ABSTRACT:

To study the characterization of the cutaneous adverse medication responses caused by carbamazepine. **Material and methods:** This study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital as a retrospective analysis of patient case records in the departments of dermatology based on adverse drug reactions reported in adverse reactions monitoring centre. Both benign and severe cutaneous reactions reported after taking Carbamazepine were included for the study. **Results:** Of these patients 41 (82%) were prescribed carbamazepine for seizure disorders, 3 for neuralgia, 5 for bipolar illness and 1 for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Among the total 50 ADRs reported, 19 (38%) were classified as benign and 31 (62%) as severe reactions. The protocol of Pharmaco vigilance Program of India was applied to describe the seriousness of the reactions and out of 50 reactions reported 82% of the reactions were classified as serious and 18% as non-serious. **Conclusion:** It is found to be effective for specific types of epilepsy and hence finding alternate drugs is difficult, even with the advent of newer AED's. Carbamazepine is also used for other indications like neuralgia, bipolar disorders etc. Adverse drug reactions to carbamazepine are common and are usually benign in character, but severe reaction like SJS/TEN are reported.

Keywords: Cutaneous, Adverse medication, Carbamazepine

Corresponding author: Rohit Garg, Assistant Professor, Department of Skin & VD, Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad, India

This article may be cited as: Singh AK, Garg R. To study the characterization of the cutaneous adverse medication responses caused by carbamazepine. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2015;3(2):319-322.

INTRODUCTION

Carbamazepine, one of the commonly prescribed antiepileptic drugs is also prescribed for other diseases like neuralgia, schizophrenia and bipolar illness and is known to produce drowsiness, vertigo, ataxia, diplopia, blurred vision, serious haematological toxicities like aplastic anaemia, agranulocytois and hypersensitivity reactions including dangerous skin reactions, eosinophilia, lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly.¹ Carbamezepine is associated with more serious, immune mediated adverse drug cutaneous reactions (ADR) including hypersensitivity reactions such as drug induced hypersensitivity syndrome (DHS), Stevens Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN).² SJS and TEN are severe cutaneous reactions with potential for elevated morbidity and mortality that require immediate intervention and timely management.3 The FDA released a warning to health professionals and patients that serious and potentially fatal skin reactions may occur with the administration of carbamazepine in patients positive for the HLA-B*1502 allele This allele occurs almost exclusively in patients with ancestry across broad areas of Asia, including South Asian Indians.⁴ Cutaneous reactions are regularly reported by the Department of Dermatology and various other departments to the adverse drug reactions monitoring centre. Many Cutaneous reactions after Carbamazepine have been reported from the

departments of Neurology, Psychiatry and Dermatology.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital as a retrospective analysis of patient case records in the departments of dermatology based on adverse drug reactions reported in adverse reactions centre. Both benign and monitoring severe cutaneous reactions reported after taking Carbamazepine were included for the study. Cutaneous reactions due to other drugs (other than carbamazepine) and other adverse drug reactions carbamazepine (other than cutaneous after reactions) were excluded. According to Pharmacovigilance Programme, a reaction is said to be serious if it results in any one of the following events like death, life threatening, hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization, disability, congenital anomaly or required intervention to prevent permanent impairment / damage.⁵ The age, gender, diagnosis, type of cutaneous ADR, duration of treatment, seriousness of reaction and outcome were recorded in a format. The data were analysed for quantitative and descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Records analysed show 50 cutaneous adverse drug reactions due to Carbamazepine reported during the study period. Of these 50 patients, 26 patients were between the age of 20-30 years, 6 patients were above 60 years and 3 patient was below 10 years. In the study group of 50 patients, 23 were male and 27 were female (Table 1).

Of these patients 41 (82%) were prescribed carbamazepine for seizure disorders, 3 for neuralgia, 5 for bipolar illness and 1 for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Among the total 50 ADRs reported, 19 (38%) were classified as benign and 31 (62%) as severe reactions. The protocol of Pharmaco vigilance Program of India was applied to describe the seriousness of the reactions and out of 50 reactions reported 82% of the reactions were classified as serious and 18% asnon-serious (Table 2).

Table 1: Age and gender distribution in patients reported with CADR after Carbamazepine.

Demographic details	Number	Percentage
Age range in years		
Below 10	3	6
10-20	3	6
20-30	26	52
30-40	6	12
40-50	3	6
50-60	3	6
Above 60	6	12
Gender		
Male	23	46
Female	27	54

Table	2: T	he	indica	ation	of	Carba	mazepine,	type	of	Cutaneo	us reac	tion	and	seri	ousness	of read	ction

Indication of carbamazepine and details of reaction	Number	Percentage
Indication of prescription		
Seizure	41	82
Neuralgia	3	6
Bipolar disorder	5	10
Cervical spondylotic myelopathy	1	2
Type of cutaneous reaction		
Benign Reactions	19	38
Urticaria	7	36.84
Maculo papular eruption	10	52.63
Pruritus	2	10.53
Severe	31	62
Exfoliative dermatitis	14	45.16
DHS	2	6.45
TEN	13	41.94
SJS	2	6.45
Seriousness of ADR		
Serious	41	82
Non serious	9	18

DISCUSSION

Adverse drug reaction is an undesirable response evoked by a medicinal substance of which cutaneous adverse drug reaction is the most common. Most drugs produce variable types of reactions, while some have a singular pattern. Cutaneous adverse reactions to drugs occur in up to 8% of the global general population and in 2-3% of hospitalized patients. Cutaneous ADR is reported in 3% of individuals receiving anticonvulsant drugs.⁶ A paradoxical fact is that many drug reactions mimic dermatoses like exanthematous reactions, urticaria, photosensitive eruptions, fixed drug eruptions, erythema multiforme etc. Drug induced cutaneous ADRs are broadly classified as benign or severe. Reactions like exanthem, pruritus, eczema, urticaria, lichenoid drug eruptions, fixed drug eruptions, erythema nodosumand acneiform eruptions are classified as benign, while acute exanthematous pustulosis, drug reactions with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS), drug induced exfoliative dermatitis, Stevens Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) are classified as severe.^{7,8}

Out of 50 cutaneous ADRs reported after taking Carbamazepine, 62% were severe reactions and 38% were benign reactions. Maculopapular or morbilliform eruptions are exanthematous eruptions that typically start on the trunk and spread peripherally in a systematic fashion. Among the benign reactions in this study, maculopapular eruptions (52.63%) were the most common followed by urticaria (36.84%) and simple pruritus. This is in consonance with Knowles SR et al, who reported that the common cutaneous adverse reactions to medications included generalized morbilliform rashes or maculopapular eruptions (50-95%) and urticaria (5-22%).⁹ Out of 50 patients, 7 had urticaria and these patients required withdrawal of the drug. Pruritus presented with eruptions within 1 week of initiation of the drug and resolved within 7-14 days of the withdrawal. Studies have shown that the drug specific T cells play a major role in these cutaneous reactions.¹⁰

Among the 31 patients who were diagnosed with severe cutaneous reactions 45.16% of the patients had exfoliative dermatitis or toxic epidermal necrolysis each, while one of the patients had SJS and another drug hypersensitivity syndrome (DHS). had Generalized exfoliative dermatitis (GED) is a severe reaction to carbamezepine characterized by erythema and scaling affecting more than 90% of the body surface associated with increased epidermal turnover, decreased transit time and increased mitotic activity. The exact underlying mechanisms, through which these immunological pathways drive, are not clear while a complex interaction of cytokines, chemokines and adhesion molecules is hypothesized. There are no specific genetic markers for drug induced GED and withdrawal of the drug is the treatment while topical/systemic steroids may be indicated.8

Drug induced hypersensitivity syndrome (DHS) is sometimes called Drug Reaction with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS). This is characterized by drug induced rash accompanied bv multi organ involvement, eosinophilia, lymphocytosis and elevated liver function tests. DHS begins 30-40 days after starting the drug. Low grade fever and pharyngitis may precede the eruptions. The eruptions are morbilliform initially and associated with oedema of face and neck. The eruptions begin on the trunk and face and spread centrifugally.11 A 21 year old male was admitted with both skin and mucosal lesions and jaundice. While eliciting history it was revealed that he developed rashes 10 days after taking carbamazepine for his seizure disorder. The drug was withdrawn. His serum bilirubin, SGOT, SGPT and total WBCs were markedly raised. This could be a DHS/TEN overlap syndrome. The patient was referred to higher centre and the outcome is not known. The presence of the HLA-A3101 allele was associated with Carbamazepine induced hypersensitivity reactions among subjects of Northern European ancestry.¹²

Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens Johnson syndrome are acute life threatening mucocutaneous reactions characterized by extensive necrosis and detachment of the epidermis.¹³ These reactions are reported to be associated with keratinocyte apoptosis at the level of the FAS receptor and its ligand. It is also hypothesized SJS/TEN are related to perforin released from lymphocytes, in low concentration that activates apoptosis and causes skin epidermis necrosis.¹⁴ In SJS/TEN influenza like symptoms often precede the eruptions by a few days. Initially the skin lesions are usually macular and appear on the face spreading rapidly usually within 4 days. This is followed by desquamation or may form atypical targets with the purpuric centres that coalesce to form bullae and then slough. The skin lesions are inflammatory. In SJS always 2 or more mucosal surfaces are eroded and the oral mucosa and the conjunctiva are the most commonly affected. The patient may have photophobia, difficulty in swallowing, rectal erosions, painful urination and cough due to the mucosal involvement of the respective system. If it involves more than 10% of the skin surface it is SJS/TEN overlap and if more than 30% of the skin surface is involved it is TEN.¹³ These two terms describe phenotypes within a severity spectrum and both the names are used to describe the syndrome like SJS/TEN.8 Incidence of TEN is 0.4-1.2 per million person years and 1.2 to 6 per million person years for SJS. More than 100 medications are known to cause SJS/TEN. The incidence of SJS/TEN in patients taking Carbamazepine is 14 per 1, 00,000.¹⁵ Latent period between the drug initiation and onset of SJS/TEN is about 7-10 days but it may range from 5 to 28 days.8 In this study the latent period varied from 2 weeks to 9 weeks. According to a study conducted in Germany more than 90% of SJS and TEN cases occurred in the first 63 days of AED use.¹⁶ Out of 50 total reported ADRs approximately one third of the reactions were SJS/TEN (Figure 1). All those patients required hospitalisation for 2 to 6 weeks and they were treated with systemic steroids and antibiotics. There was no mortality. Among the 8 patients with SJS or TEN only one patient developed symblepharon and was surgically managed by the ophthalmologist. 1 patient diagnosed with TEN had increased total count, elevated liver enzymes and histopathological examination confirmed TEN. That patient was a known case of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) with neuropsychiatric symptoms and seizure for which carbamazepine had been prescribed. She developed TEN 2 weeks after commencing the drug and she had elevated liver enzymes which might be due to the disease or drug. Chung et al, proved that there is a strong association in Han Chinese between a genetic marker, the human leukocyte antigen HLA-B*1502, and Stevens-Johnson syndrome induced by carbamazepine.¹⁷ The relatively high incidence of HLA-B*1502 in many Asian populations has resulted in the FDA's decision to recommend testing for all Asians. Several reports have implicated carbamazepine as among the most common causes for SJS/TEN in Indians too.18,19

According to the Pharmaco vigilance programme an ADR is said to be serious if it resulted in death, life threatening events, hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization, disability, congenital-anomaly or required intervention to prevent permanent impairment / damage. Among the 50 CADRs reported after CBZ, 82% were labelled serious and life threatening and required hospitalization and acute intervention.

CONCLUSION

Carbamazepine is a common drug used for certain types of epilepsy like the Complex Partial Seizures. It is found to be effective for specific types of epilepsy and hence finding alternate drugs is difficult, even with the advent of newer AED's. Carbamazepine is also used for other indications like neuralgia, bipolar disorders etc. Adverse drug reactions to carbamazepine are common and are usually benign in character, but severe reaction like SJS/TEN are reported. These reactions could lead to high morbidity and mortality. Hence early identification of the ADR is essential to save life. Prevention of ADR due to Carbamazepine requires prediction of predisposition which requires special studies of HLA or genomic assessment. These are the issues of interest for future research.

REFERENCES

- 1. James O. McNamara. Pharmacotherapy of epilepsies Goodman & Gillman's The Pharmacological basis ofTherapeutics 12th Edition; Laurence Brunton McGra w Hill; 2011:583-607.
- Sekula P, Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, Naldi L, Bouwes Bavinck JN, Halevy S, et al. Regi SCAR study group. Comprehensive survival analysis of a cohort of patients with StevensJohnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis. J Investig Dermatol. 2013;133:1197-204. [PubMed]
- Garcia JB, Ferro LS, Carvalho AB, da Rocha RM, de Souza LM, James W. Patterson Cutaneous drug reactions. Patterson Weedon's skin Pathology. 4th Edition; 596-610.
- B"aszczyk B, Szpringer M, Czuczwar SJ, Lasoń W. Single centre 20 year survey of antiepileptic druginduced hypersensitivity reactions. Pharmacol Rep. 2013;65(2):399–409
- Verrue C, Mehuys E, Boussery K, Remon JP, Petrovic M. Tabletsplitting: a common yet not so innocent practice. J Adv Nurs 2011; 67:26-32.
- Elias A, Madhusoodanan S, Pudukkadan D, Antony JT. Angioedema and maculopapular eruptions associated with carbamazepine administration. CNS Spectr. 2006 May;11(5):352-4.
- Michael R. Ardern-Jone, Haur YL. Benign Cutaneous Adverse Reactions to drugs. Rook's Text book of Dermatology Volume 4 Editors. Christopher Griffith s , Jonathan Barker, Tanya Bleiker, Robert Chalmers , Daniel Creamer. 9th Edition; 2010:118.1-118.17.
- Walsh S, Haur YL, Creamer D. Severe Cutaneous Adverse Reactions to Drugs. Rook's Text book of Dermatology Volume 4 Editors. Christopher Griffith s , Jonathan Barker, Tanya Bleiker, Robert Chalmers ,

Daniel Creamer. 9th Edition; 2010:119.1-119.23.

- 9. Knowles SR, Shapiro LE, Shear NH. Anticonvulsant hypersensitivity syndrome: Incidence, prevention and management. Drug Saf. 1999;21:489-501.
- Shear NH, Sandra R. Knowles. Cutaneous reactions to drugs. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine Lowell A. Goldsmith, Stephen I. Katz, Barbara A. Gilchrest, Army S. Paller, David J. Leffell, Klaus Wolff. 8th Edition. 2008;1:449-457.
- Kaniwa N, Saito Y. The risk of cutaneous adverse reactions among patients with the HLA-A* 31:01 allele who are given carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine or eslicarbazepine: a perspective review. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2013;4(6):246-53. doi: 10.1177/2042098613499791. PMID: 25114785; PMCID: PMC4125310.
- 12. Mark McCormack. HLA-A3101 and Carbamazepine- Induced Hypersensitivity Reactions in Europeans. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(12):1134-43.
- Valeyrie-Allanore L, Jean-Claude R. Chapter 40 Epidermal necrolysis and Toxic epidermal necrolysis. Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in General Medicine. Lowell A. Goldsmith, Stephen I. Katz, Barbara A. Gilchret, Amy S. Paller, David J. Leffell, Klaus Wolff 8th Edition; 2012:439-448.
- Viard I, Wehrli P, Bullani R, Schneider P, Holler N, Salomon D, et al. Inhibition of toxic epidermal necrolysis by blockade of CD95 with human intravenous immunoglobulin, Science. 1998;282(5388):490-3.
- B"aszczyk B, Szpringer M, Czuczwar SJ, Lasoń W. Single centre 20 year survey of antiepileptic druginduced hypersensitivity reactions. Pharmacol Rep. 2013;65(2):399–409.
- Mockenhaupt M, Messenheimer J, Tennis P, Schlingmann J. Risk of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in new users of antiepileptics. Neurology. 2005;64(7):1134-8.
- Chung WH, Hung SI, Hong HS, Hsih MS, Yang LC, Ho HC, et al. Medical genetics: a marker for Stevens -Johnson syndrome. Nature. 2004;428(6982):486.
- Mehta TY, Prajapati LM, Mittal B, Joshi CG, Sheth JJ, Patel DB, et al. Association of HLA-B*1502 allele and carbamazepine-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome among Indians. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol. 2009;75(6):579-82.
- Chang CC, Too CL, Murad S, Hussein SH. Association of HLA-B*1502 allele with carbamazepine-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johnson syndrome in the multi-ethnic Malaysian population. Int J Dermatol. 2011;50(2):221-4