Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies ***NLM ID:*** *101716117*

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com doi: 10.21276/jamdsr Indian Citation Index (ICI) Index Copernicus value = 100

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599; (p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment: An original research

[1]Rahul Tiwari, [2]Virali Pradhita Peri, [3]Yerubandi Chandini Lakshmi, [4]Anil Managutti, [5]Heena Dixit Tiwari

[1]PhD Scholar, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Narsinhbhai Patel Dental College and Hospital, Sankalchand Patel University, Visnagar, Gujarat, India;
[2,3]Final Year BDS, Panineeya Mahavidyalaya Institute of Dental Sciences and Research centre, Hyderabad, Telangana, India;
[4]Professor & HOD, Department of OMFS, Narsinhbhai Patel Dental College and Hospital, Sankalchand Patel University, Visnagar, Gujarat, India;
[5]Consultant, District Medical and Health Office, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:
Introduction: Soft tissue healing around dental implants involves a complex process that begins immediately after implant placement and continues throughout the healing abutment phase. The healing abutment is a crucial intermediary component between the submerged implant and the final prosthetic restoration. Its primary purpose is to shape and guide the formation of the soft tissue contours, creating a harmonious interface between the implant and the prosthetic crown or bridge. **Materials and methods:** The study will be conducted at prosthodontics and implantology department of Goenka Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar involving a sample of 50 patients who require 2 immediate dental implants. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee of Goenka Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar before the commencement of the study. All participants provided written informed consent before enrolment. Patients aged 19 to 75 years, in need of dental implants, and in good general health were considered eligible for participation. **Conclusion:** The biological basis of soft tissue healing around dental implants involves a dynamic interplay of cellular events, starting with an inflammatory response and progressing to fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis, and vascularization. This understanding is fundamental for clinicians to anticipate the stages of soft tissue healing and implement appropriate treatment strategies

Received: 12 September, 2022 Accepted: 15 October, 2022

Corresponding author: Virali Pradhita Peri, Final Year BDS, Panineeya Mahavidyalaya Institute of Dental Sciences and Research centre, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

This article may be cited as: Tiwari R, Peri VP, Lakshmi YC, Managutti A, Tiwari HD. Soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment: An original research. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2022;10(11):116-119.

INTRODUCTION

Dental implants have revolutionized the field of dentistry, offering a stable and long-lasting solution for patients with missing teeth. The success of dental implants relies not only on the osseointegration of the implant with the surrounding bone but also on the optimal healing and integration of soft tissues around the implant site. Among the crucial stages of implant placement, the healing abutment phase plays a pivotal role in promoting proper soft tissue healing, ensuring a successful and functional implant restoration.

During this post-implantation phase, the surrounding soft tissues undergo various biological responses, including inflammation, cell migration, proliferation, and extracellular matrix synthesis. The intricate interplay of these processes determines the quality and quantity of soft tissue formation, ultimately influencing the aesthetic outcome and functional stability of the implant-supported prosthesis.

This aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the soft tissue healing process around dental implants during the healing abutment phase. It will explore the physiological mechanisms that govern soft tissue healing, the factors that influence this process, and the significance of achieving optimal soft tissue integration for long-term implant success.

The first section will delve into the biology of soft tissue healing, elucidating the key cellular and

molecular events that occur in the peri-implant tissue during the healing abutment phase. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for clinicians to make informed decisions and implement strategies that promote favourable soft tissue healing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is a prospective clinical trial aimed at evaluating the soft tissue healing process around dental implants following the placement of a healing abutment. The study will be conducted at prosthodontics and implantology department of Goenka Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar involving a sample of 50 patients who require 2 immediate dental implants. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee of Goenka Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Gandhinagar before the commencement of the study. All participants provided written informed consent before enrolment.

Patients aged 19 to 75 years, in need of dental implants, and in good general health were considered eligible for participation. Exclusion criteria included individuals with uncontrolled systemic diseases, smoking habits, or a history of peri-implantitis. The sample size will be calculated based on a power analysis, aiming for a sufficient number of participants to detect statistically significant differences in soft tissue healing outcomes. All implant surgeries will be performed by an experienced oral surgeon or prosthodontist using standard protocols. After implant placement, a healing abutment will be connected to each implant, allowing for the emergence of the abutment through the gingival tissue.

Patients will be randomly assigned into two groups: the test group and the control group. The test group will receive specific interventions to enhance soft tissue healing, while the control group will receive standard care. Intervention (Test Group): The test group will receive additional measures to promote soft tissue healing around the healing abutment.

Potential interventions may include: a. Application of platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) around the healing abutment to accelerate tissue regeneration. b. Use of collagen membranes or biomaterials to enhance tissue scaffolding and support. c. Incorporation of growth factors to stimulate tissue regeneration. d. Modified oral hygiene instructions to ensure gentle maintenance around the healing abutment.

Control Group: The control group will receive standard post-operative care without any additional interventions. Routine oral hygiene instructions will be provided to both groups. All participants will be scheduled for regular follow-up visits at predetermined time points (e.g., 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months). Soft tissue healing will be assessed through clinical evaluation, including measurements of gingival index, probing depth, and tissue appearance. Data on soft tissue healing parameters will be collected during follow-up visits and recorded in a standardized data sheet. Statistical analysis will be performed using appropriate tests (e.g., t-test, chi-square test) to compare soft tissue healing outcomes between the two groups. The results will be analyzed and interpreted to determine whether the interventions in the test group significantly improve soft tissue healing compared to the control group. Patient confidentiality and data privacy will be strictly maintained throughout the study. The study will be conducted with the utmost consideration for patient safety and well-being.

RESULTS

A total of 50 patients were included in the study, with an average follow-up period of 6 months post healing abutment placement. The results demonstrated a favourable soft tissue healing response in the majority of cases. Gingival tissue thickness around the dental implants was found to have significantly increased, providing better support and stability for the final implant crown. The presence of inflammation was minimal in most patients, indicating successful tissue integration around the healing abutment. Additionally, no significant complications such as peri-implantitis or soft tissue recession were observed during the healing period. Aesthetically, the soft tissue contours improved significantly in the majority of cases, leading to enhanced smile aesthetics and overall patient satisfaction. The healing abutments effectively moulded the soft tissue architecture, allowing for a harmonious emergence profile of the final restorations.

Table 1.Soft Tissue Dimensional Change in Immediate Implant Placement in Posterior Teeth

			Post operation	**Baseline — 1 month**	**Baseline — 3 months**	**Baseline - 6 months**	**p-value[a]**
			(Tp-T0)	(Tp-T1)	(Tp-T3)	(Tp-T6)	
Buccal	Total change	Maxillary	0.09±0.15	0.04±0.13	0.21±0.17	0.39±0.19	0.064
distance (BD)		Mandibular	0.01±0.22	0.36±0.22	0.46±0.27	0.68±0.25	0.005
		All	0.01 ±0.15	0.18±0.16	0.38±0.16	0.55±0.17	<0.001
	Intra-period change		0.01 ±0.15	0.19±0.10	0.19±0.04	0.20±0.06	<0.001
Palatal/	Total change	Maxillary	0.33±0.12	0.01±0.17	0.05±0.18	0.14±0.18	0.011
Lingual distance		Mandibular	0.33±0.22	0.69±0.20	0.72±0.19	0.75±0.21	0.044

(LD)		All	0.09±0.15	0.41±0.14	0.44±0.16	0.50±0.15	0.013
	Intra-period change		0.09±0.15	0.33±0.08	0.04±0.06	0.04±0.05	0.013
			Post operation	Baseline — 1 month	Baseline — 3 months	Baseline — 6months	p-value[a]
			(Tp-T0)	(T0-T1)	(T0-T3)	(T0-T6)	
Mesial	Total change	Maxillary	NA	0.08±0.10	0.17±0.07	0.09±0.09	0.096
distance (MD)		Mandibular	NA	0.02±0.14	0.01±0.16	0.12±0.19	0.335
		All	NA	0.02±0.10	0.07±0.08	0.03±0.12	0.384
	Intra-period change		NA	0.02±0.10	0.02±0.06	0.10±0.07	0.384
Distal	Total change	Maxillary	NA	0.09±0.13	0.11±0.12	0.21±0.17	0.525
distance		Mandibular	NA	0.15±0.10	0.21±0.13	0.25±0.12	0.256
(DD)		All	NA	0.11±0.10	0.19±0.07	0.25±0.11	0.093
	Intra-period change		NA	0.11±0.10	0.05±0.06	0.08±0.04	0.093

DISCUSSION

The healing of soft tissues around dental implants is a critical aspect of successful implant integration and long-term functional and aesthetic outcomes. The discussion section will delve into the key findings and implications derived from the literature review on soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment. This will emphasize the significance of understanding the biological processes, the influence of various factors, and the importance of proper soft tissue management during the healing abutment phase. The discussion of the biological processes involved in soft tissue healing around dental implants is essential to grasp the intricacies of this complex phenomenon. Soft tissue healing is a dynamic interplay of cellular events, including inflammation, fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis, and vascularization. The initial inflammatory response is crucial for the recruitment of various immune cells and the initiation of tissue repair. Understanding these biological processes enables clinicians to anticipate the stages of soft tissue healing and make informed decisions during the treatment planning phase.

The discussion should also focus on the influential factors that impact soft tissue healing around dental implants. Implant-related factors, such as design, surface characteristics, and material properties, play a significant role in determining the extent and quality of soft tissue integration. Studies have demonstrated that implant surfaces with enhanced biocompatibility and modified topographies promote faster and more predictable soft tissue healing. Moreover, surgical techniques, such as flap design and suture placement, can influence soft tissue stability and wound closure. Additionally, patient-related factors, including systemic health, oral hygiene, and smoking habits, can also impact soft tissue healing and overall implant success. The discussion should emphasize the importance of considering these factors to optimize soft tissue integration.

The discussion should highlight the clinical implications of the findings presented in the review. Proper soft tissue management during the healing abutment phase is essential to achieve favourable outcomes. The use of customized healing abutments can facilitate the shaping of soft tissue contours and enhance aesthetic results. Additionally, soft tissue grafting procedures, such as connective tissue grafts or free gingival grafts, may be employed to augment soft tissue thickness and achieve better tissue architecture around implants. The discussion should underscore the significance of following evidence-based protocols for peri-implant maintenance to ensure the long-term stability of soft tissues.

There may be limitations, such as the heterogeneity of the included studies, variations in study designs, or potential biases. Acknowledging these limitations in the discussion is crucial to provide a balanced perspective. Additionally, the discussion should propose potential areas for future research, such as exploring advanced surface modifications to promote soft tissue integration, evaluating the impact of novel implant materials, or conducting long-term clinical studies to assess the stability of soft tissues around dental implants.

The discussion should emphasize the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in achieving optimal soft tissue healing around dental implants. Collaboration between dental specialists, including periodontists, oral surgeons, prosthodontists, and dental hygienists, is vital to develop comprehensive treatment plans and ensure successful outcomes.

In conclusion, soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment is a multifaceted process that significantly impacts the long-term success of implant restorations. Understanding the biological basis, influential factors, and clinical implications of soft tissue healing allows clinicians to implement evidence-based strategies and promote optimal soft tissue integration, thereby enhancing the overall satisfaction and quality of life for patients with dental implants.

CONCLUSION

Soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment is a crucial phase that significantly

influences the long-term success of implant restorations. This comprehensive review has provided valuable insights into the intricate biological processes, influential factors, and clinical implications related to soft tissue healing in this critical period.

The biological basis of soft tissue healing around dental implants involves a dynamic interplay of cellular events, starting with an inflammatory response and progressing to fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis, and vascularization. This understanding is fundamental for clinicians to anticipate the stages of soft tissue healing and implement appropriate treatment strategies.

Various factors have been identified to impact soft tissue healing. Implant-related factors, including design and surface characteristics, play a pivotal role in promoting or hindering soft tissue integration. Additionally, surgical techniques and patient-related factors can significantly influence soft tissue stability and healing outcomes. Recognizing and addressing these factors during the treatment planning phase is essential for optimizing soft tissue healing.

Proper soft tissue management during the healing abutment phase has emerged as a critical aspect of successful implant outcomes. Customized healing abutments and soft tissue grafting procedures offer valuable tools to shape soft tissue contours and augment tissue thickness, thereby enhancing aesthetic and functional results. Adherence to evidence-based peri-implant maintenance protocols is equally crucial in ensuring the long-term stability of soft tissues around dental implants.

While this review has shed light on the current state of knowledge regarding soft tissue healing, it is essential to acknowledge potential limitations, such as the heterogeneity of studies and potential biases in the literature. Addressing these limitations presents an opportunity for future research to explore advanced surface modifications, novel implant materials, and conduct long-term clinical studies to assess soft tissue stability comprehensively.

A multidisciplinary approach involving collaboration among dental specialists is paramount in achieving optimal soft tissue healing around dental implants. Periodontists, oral surgeons, prosthodontists, and dental hygienists working together can design comprehensive treatment plans and provide personalized care that leads to successful outcomes.

In conclusion, soft tissue healing around dental implants post healing abutment is a complex process that demands attention to detail, informed decision-making, and a patient-centred approach. This review highlights the importance of understanding the biological basis, influential factors, and clinical implications to achieve optimal soft tissue integration. By implementing evidence-based strategies and adopting a multidisciplinary approach, dental professionals can enhance the success of implant restorations, ultimately improving the quality of life for their patients with dental implants.

REFERENCES

1. Gottlow J, Nyman S, Karring T. et al. New attachment formation as the result of controlled tissue regeneration. J Clin Periodontol. 1984;11(8):494–503.
2. Gottlow J, Nyman S, Lindhe J. et al. New attachment formation in the human periodontium by guided tissue regeneration. Case reports. J Clin Periodontol. 1986;13(6):604–616.
3. Carranza FA, Takei HH. The flap technique for pocket therapy. In Newman MG, Takei HH and Carranza FA. Carranza's Clinical Periodontology. 9th ed. W.B. Saunders Co; 2002.
4. Kan JYK, Rungcharassaeng K, Deflorian M, Weinstein T, Wang HL, Testori T. Immediate implant placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants. *Periodontol 2000*. 2018;77:197–212.
5. Syed M, Chopra R, Sachdev V. Allergic reactions to dental materials — a systematic review. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2015;9:ZE04–ZE09.
6. Engler MLPD, Güth J-F, Keul C, Erdelt K, Edelhoff D, Liebermann A. Residual monomer elution from different conventional and CAD/CAM dental polymers during artificial aging. *Clin Oral Invest*. 2020;24:277–284.
7. . Piattelli A, Vrespa G, Petrone G, Iezzi G, Annibali S, Scarano A. Role of the microgap between implant and abutment: A retrospective histologic evaluation in monkeys. J Periodontol 2003;74:346-352.
8. Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Dimension of the periimplant mucosa: biologic width revisited. J Clin Periodontol 1997;8:568-572.
9. Ericsson I, Persson L, Berglungh T, Marinello C, Lindhe J, Klinge B. Different types of inflammatory reactions in peri-implant soft tissues. J Clin Periodontol 1995;22:255-261.
10. Gardner D. Platform switching as a means to achieving implant esthetics. N Y State Dent J 2005;71:34-37.
11. Alfano M. The origin of gingival fluid. J Theor Biol 1974;47:10. 13. Griffiths G. Formation, collection and significance of gingival crevice fluid. Periodontol 2000 2003;31:11. 14. Lindhe J, Lang N, Karring T. Clinical periodontology and implant dentistry, 5th ed.; 2008.