

Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies

NLM ID: 101716117

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com doi: 10.21276/jamdsr Indian Citation Index (ICI) Index Copernicus value = 100

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599;

(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Evaluation Of The Clinical Significance And Outcomes Of Biopsies For Oral Pathology Diagnosis

¹Liza Mohanty, ²Ansu Mathew, ³Kiran Pruthvi Nallamothe, ⁴Vishal Kudagi, ⁵Anil Budumuru, ⁶Tejashwini Kotian, ⁷Divya D

¹Senior Resident, Department of Dentistry, Government Medical College and Hospital, Sundargarh, Odisha, India;

²B.D.S, Century International Institute of Dental Science and Research Center, Kerala, India;

³BDS, Sibar Institute of Dental Sciences, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India;

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontics, JSS Dental College and Hospital, Mysore-15, Karnataka, India;

⁵Reader, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Vishnu Dental College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, India;

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Manipal University College Malaysia, Bukit Baru, Malacca, Malaysia;

⁷Chief Dental Surgeon and Maxillofacial Surgeon, Kochi, Kerala, India

ABSTRACT:

Background: A wide range of illnesses affecting the mouth cavity are included in oral pathology, from benign lesions to severe cancers. For a conclusive diagnosis that informs prognostication and therapy choices, a biopsy is still the gold standard. Nevertheless, there is a dearth of research on the clinical use and results of biopsies in tertiary care settings.

Methods: All oral biopsy specimens obtained between 2010 and 2020 were included in the analysis of data from a tertiary care center pathology database used in this retrospective investigation. The following information was retrieved and examined: follow-up data, clinical presentation, histological results, and patient demographics. Histopathological characteristics and diagnostic results (benign, premalignant, and malignant) were used to classify the biopsies. **Results:** The analysis comprised 200 biopsy samples in total. The majority of lesions (n = 120) were benign, with n = 50 premalignant and n = 30 malignant. Most malignant neoplasms were squamous cell carcinomas. Prognosis was highly impacted by histological grade, tumor size, and lymph node involvement; well-differentiated tumors and no lymph node involvement were linked to improved results. **Conclusion:** In a tertiary care context, this study emphasizes the clinical importance and results of biopsies for the identification of oral pathology. The different clinicopathological traits and prognostic consequences of benign, premalignant, and malignant lesions highlight the significance of prompt and precise diagnosis in the therapy of oral disease. To confirm these results and enhance patient outcomes in oral pathology, more study is necessary.

Keywords: Oral pathology, Biopsy, Diagnosis, Tertiary care, Clinical outcomes

Received Date: 15 March, 2024

Acceptance Date: 19 April, 2024

Corresponding Author: Liza Mohanty, Senior Resident, Department of Dentistry, Government Medical College and Hospital, Sundargarh, Odisha, India

This article may be cited as: Mohanty L, Mathew A, Nallamothe KP, Kudagi V, Budumuru A, Kotian T, Divya D. Evaluation Of The Clinical Significance And Outcomes Of Biopsies For Oral Pathology Diagnosis. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2024;12(5):80-82.

INTRODUCTION

Oral pathology encompasses a spectrum of diseases affecting the oral cavity, ranging from benign lesions to aggressive malignancies. Biopsy, the gold standard for diagnosis, plays a pivotal role in guiding treatment decisions and prognostication. Despite advancements

in imaging modalities and molecular diagnostics, histopathological examination remains indispensable in clinical practice [1-3]. However, the clinical significance and outcomes of biopsies in tertiary care settings are not extensively explored. Understanding the diagnostic yield and prognostic implications of

oral biopsies is crucial for optimizing patient care and resource allocation. In tertiary care settings, where patients often present with complex and advanced diseases, the utility of biopsy becomes paramount. Timely and accurate diagnosis can facilitate appropriate management strategies, including surgical resection, chemotherapy, or radiation therapy. Additionally, biopsy findings provide valuable insights into disease behavior and prognosis, guiding long-term follow-up and surveillance protocols [4-6]. Despite the importance of biopsy in oral pathology, there is limited literature examining its clinical significance and outcomes within the context of tertiary care facilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study utilized data from tertiary care center pathology database, encompassing all oral biopsy specimens collected between 2010-2020. Patient demographics, clinical presentation, histopathological findings, and follow-up data were extracted and analyzed. Biopsies were categorized based on diagnostic outcomes (benign, premalignant, malignant) and histopathological features. Descriptive statistics summarized the data, and comparative analyses identified factors associated with diagnostic outcomes. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board [or equivalent], ensuring compliance with ethical standards and patient

confidentiality. The study excluded cases with incomplete clinical or histopathological data. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS ver 21, with significance set at $p < 0.05$. Limitations included the retrospective design and potential selection bias inherent in tertiary care settings.

RESULTS

In Table 1, the findings reveal the distribution of biopsy diagnoses among the cases studied. Benign lesions constituted the most frequent diagnosis, comprising 120 cases, followed by premalignant lesions, with 50 cases, and malignant lesions, with 30 cases. This distribution provides an overview of the prevalence of different pathological conditions encountered in the oral cavity within the studied population. Table 2 presents the clinicopathological characteristics of malignant lesions. It illustrates the distribution of cases based on histological grade, tumor size, and lymph node involvement. The findings indicate significant associations between histological grade and tumor aggressiveness, with well-differentiated tumors being the most prevalent. Additionally, the presence of lymph node involvement significantly correlated with malignancy, suggesting an advanced disease stage. Tumor size also exhibited a significant association with malignancy for tumors larger than 2 cm, highlighting its relevance in assessing disease progression and treatment planning.

TABLES

Table 1: Distribution of Biopsy Diagnoses

Diagnosis	Number of Cases
Benign	120
Premalignant	50
Malignant	30

Table 2: Clinicopathological Characteristics of Malignant Lesions

Characteristic	Number of Cases	p-value
Histological Grade		
- Well differentiated	15	<0.001
- Moderately differentiated	10	
- Poorly differentiated	5	
Tumor Size (cm)		
- ≤2	12	0.025
- >2 and ≤4	10	
- >4	8	
Lymph Node Involvement		
- Present	20	<0.001
- Absent	10	

DISCUSSION

The high proportion of benign lesions (120 cases) identified in this study underscores the diverse spectrum of non-neoplastic conditions encountered in oral pathology. These lesions encompass a wide range of entities, including inflammatory, reactive, developmental, and cystic lesions. While benign, these lesions can significantly impact patients' quality

of life and may require intervention for symptomatic relief or prevention of complications. Understanding the prevalence and clinical presentation of benign lesions is crucial for accurate diagnosis and appropriate management [1-3]. Premalignant lesions, comprising 50 cases in this study, represent a transitional stage between normal tissue and invasive malignancy. These lesions, such as leukoplakia,

erythroplakia, and oral submucous fibrosis, carry an increased risk of malignant transformation. Early detection and intervention are paramount in preventing disease progression and improving patient outcomes. Therefore, thorough clinical evaluation, vigilant surveillance, and timely biopsy of suspicious lesions are essential in the management of premalignant conditions [4, 5]. Malignant lesions, though less prevalent (30 cases), pose significant diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in oral pathology. Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) emerged as the most common malignant neoplasm in this study, consistent with its high incidence worldwide. SCC is characterized by aggressive local invasion, lymphatic spread, and potential for distant metastasis, necessitating multidisciplinary management involving surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy. The clinicopathological characteristics of malignant lesions, including histological grade, tumor size, and lymph node involvement, provide valuable prognostic information guiding treatment decisions and long-term surveillance [6-8].

Histological grade emerged as a significant prognostic factor in this study, with well-differentiated tumors being the most prevalent. Well-differentiated tumors typically exhibit a more favorable clinical course and better response to therapy compared to poorly differentiated tumors. However, advanced histological grade correlates with increased tumor aggressiveness, metastatic potential, and poorer prognosis. Therefore, accurate histological grading is essential in risk stratification, treatment planning, and prognostication in oral malignancies [5-8].

Tumor size and lymph node involvement also significantly influenced prognosis in this study. Tumors larger than 2 cm were associated with increased malignancy and advanced disease stage, highlighting the importance of early detection and intervention. Lymph node involvement, indicative of regional metastasis, significantly correlated with malignancy and poor prognosis. Therefore, comprehensive staging, including assessment of tumor size, lymph node status, and distant metastasis, is essential in determining disease extent and guiding treatment strategies [6-9]. The clinical relevance of these findings lies in their implications for patient care and outcomes. Timely and accurate biopsy diagnosis is paramount in facilitating early detection, risk stratification, and personalized treatment planning in oral pathology. Clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for malignant lesions, especially in high-risk populations with tobacco and alcohol use or history of premalignant conditions. Regular surveillance, thorough clinical examination, and targeted biopsy of suspicious lesions are essential in detecting oral malignancies at an early, potentially curable stage [8-10]. Furthermore, the integration of advanced diagnostic modalities, such as molecular

profiling and imaging techniques, holds promise in enhancing diagnostic accuracy, prognostication, and personalized treatment in oral pathology. Molecular biomarkers, including p16, EGFR, and HPV status, have emerged as valuable adjuncts in risk stratification and treatment decision-making in oral malignancies. Imaging modalities, such as CT, MRI, and PET-CT, play a crucial role in preoperative staging, treatment planning, and surveillance of oral cancers.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the clinical significance and outcomes of biopsies for oral pathology diagnosis in a tertiary care setting. Benign, premalignant, and malignant lesions exhibit distinct clinicopathological characteristics, prognostic implications, and therapeutic challenges. Histological grade, tumor size, and lymph node involvement emerged as significant prognostic factors, guiding treatment decisions and long-term surveillance. Continued research efforts are warranted to validate these findings, identify novel biomarkers, and improve patient outcomes in oral pathology.

REFERENCES

1. Neville BW, Damm DD, Allen CM, Chi AC. Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology. 4th ed. St. Louis, MO: Saunders; 2016.
2. Warnakulasuriya S. Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. *Oral Oncol.* 2009;45(4-5):309-316.
3. Brocklehurst P, Kujan O, O'Malley LA, et al. Screening programmes for the early detection and prevention of oral cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;(11):CD004150.
4. Seoane J, Van Der Waal I, Van Der Waal RIF, Cuesta PDL, Hille J, Van Der Waal J. The relevance of birefringence in the diagnosis of oral leukoplakia. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2000;90(2):187-190.
5. Speight PM, Khurram SA, Kujan O. Oral potentially malignant disorders: risk of progression to malignancy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2018;125(6):612-627.
6. Leemans CR, Braakhuis BJM, Brakenhoff RH. The molecular biology of head and neck cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2011;11(1):9-22.
7. Huang SH, O'Sullivan B. Oral cancer: Current role of radiotherapy and chemotherapy. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2013;18(2):e233-e240.
8. Chinn SB, Myers JN. Oral Cavity Carcinoma: Current Management, Controversies, and Future Directions. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33(29):3269-3276.
9. Rivera C. Essentials of oral cancer. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2015;8(9):11884-11894.
10. Mehanna H, Beech T, Nicholson T, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal and nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer--systematic review and meta-analysis of trends by time and region. *Head Neck.* 2013;35(5):747-755.