

Original Research

Study of incidence, risk factors and management of catheter associated urinary tract infection

¹Varun Chandna, ²Sanjay Pandey, ³MK Maheshwari

¹Post-graduate student, ^{2,3}Professor, Department of Surgery, Subharti Medical College, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Aim and objectives: The present study was undertaken to assess the incidence, risk factors and management of catheter associated urinary tract infection. **Materials and method:** This cross-sectional study was conducted after clearance from Board of Studies and Ethical committee in the Department of general Surgery, Subharti University, Meerut (U.P.) during the period 2020-2022. Demographic and clinical data including age, gender, underlying systemic diseases including diabetes mellitus and cancer, immunosuppressive therapy, recent surgery and the indication for catheterization was collected and recorded. The data was entered into the Microsoft excel and the statistical analysis was performed by statistical software SPSS version 21.0. The Quantitative (Numerical variables) were present in the form of mean and SD and the Qualitative (Categorical variables) were present in the form of frequency and percentage. **Results:** Majority of the study population belonged to 61-70 years (28.0%) followed by 51-60 years (24.0%), 31-40 years (22.0%), above 70 years (7.0%) and 21-30 years (6.0%). The study population consisted of 36.0% males and 64.0% females. Diabetes (54.0%) and Hypertension (38.0%) were the commonly reported co-morbidities. CAUTI was Asymptomatic among 48.0% and Symptomatic among 52.0% subjects. Majority of the study population had growth of E. coli (62.0%) followed by Klebsiella (21.0%), Enterococcus (8.0%) and Pseudomonas (4.0%). Creatinine level > 1.35 was reported among 58.0% subjects. Duration of catheterization > 8 days among 39.0% subjects. **Conclusion:** Interventions such as incontinence care planning and hydration programs can reduce Urinary tract infections in this population and is important for overall well-being.

Keywords: Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection, Creatinine level, Urinary tract infection

Received: 18 March, 2022

Accepted: 21 April, 2022

Corresponding author: Varun Chandna, Post-graduate student, Department of Surgery, Subharti Medical College, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Chandna V, Pandey S, Maheshwari MK. Study of incidence, risk factors and management of catheter associated urinary tract infection. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2022;10(5):66-73.

INTRODUCTION

The definition of urinary tract infection (UTI) is an infection in any part of the urinary system, including kidney, ureter, bladder, or urethrae. Urinary tract infection is the presence of the microorganism in the urine.^[1] The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) simplified these criteria based on the growth of mycobacteria in the urine culture. UTI is determined when the urine culture had $\geq 10^5$ colonies forming unit (CFU)/ml urine with evidence of one or two species of microorganisms, and with or without clinical features. Hospitalised UTI developed in approximately 96.2% of patients with a history of catheter use (HELICS, 2005). Long term using catheter urine is the major risk factor to develop UTI as a nosocomial infection.^[2,3]

Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) is defined as the infection in patients who use urine catheter for a minimal of three days.^[4] Long term duration of urine catheter use become a predisposition factor for CAUTI event.^[5] CAUTI is defined by CDC as any urinary tract infection in a patient who had an indwelling catheter in place at the time of or within 48 hours before onset of infection with at least one of the following signs or symptoms: Fever ($>38^\circ\text{C}$), urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, costovertebral angle pain or tenderness, and a positive urine culture of $\geq 10^5$ colony-forming units/ml with no more than two species of microorganisms.^[6-8]

CAUTIs are the most common nosocomial infections, and account for 1 million cases per year in the United States.⁹ They are the most common cause

of secondary bloodstream infections. 3–10% of residents in long-term care facilities are managed with chronic indwelling catheters.^{10,11} The associated costs of preventable CAUTI are estimated to range from \$115 million to \$1.82 billion annually.¹²

The *National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN)* showed that CAUTI cases in ICU patients were more common in critical illness patients, due to the use of invasive equipment, like urine catheter, vein and artery catheter, an endotracheal tube.^[13] The use of urine catheter interrupts the innate immune defence mechanism system by affecting the mucous barrier, which has a function to prevent uropathogenic adhesion and its migration to vesica urinary.^[13,14]

The risk factors in the development of a CAUTI identified so far are female gender, obesity, immune deficiency, duration of catheter use, length of hospital stay, and unnecessary placement of urinary catheters.^[15] Duration of catheterization remains a significant factor in predicting CAUTI and each day of catheterization increases the risk of CAUTI by 3–10%.^[7] The most important predisposing factor for CAUTI is the insertion of the urinary catheter.^[16] Bacteriuria associated with duration of urinary catheter use is most commonly caused by a single pathogen, which is mostly a species of *Candida* or a gram negative enteric bacterium.^[17,18]

UTIs may be caused by both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as fungi. Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) is the most common pathogen for both non-complicated and complicated UTI, making up 75% and 65% of infections, respectively.² In complicated UTI, wherein CAUTIs make of the majority of cases, the overall most common causative organisms after UPEC include *Enterococcus* spp. (11%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (8%), *Candida* spp (7%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (3%), *Proteus mirabilis* (2%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (2%), and Group B *Streptococcus* (2%). The cornerstone for CAUTI is antibiotics. However, the abiotic surface of the catheter is subject to biofilm formation, and thus often resistant to antibiotic penetration.¹⁹

It is associated with major morbidity and can lead to genitourinary complications such as pyelonephritis, cystitis, prostatitis, epididymo-orchitis and other systemic complications such as vertebral osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, endocarditis, endophthalmitis and meningitis. 3% of all patients with catheter will develop bacteremia. Complications associated with CAUTI lead to prolonged hospital stay, and increased cost, morbidity and mortality.²⁰

CAUTI has been associated with a threefold increased risk of mortality in hospitals because of the inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents leading to the spread of antimicrobial resistance and the emergence of multidrug-resistant uropathogens.^[21]

Due to the limited literature available regarding the data related to CAUTI among our population. The present study was undertaken to assess the incidence, risk factors and management of catheter associated urinary tract infection.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This cross-sectional study was conducted after clearance from Board of Studies and Ethical committee in the Department of general Surgery, Subharti University, Meerut (U.P.) during the period 2020-2022.

SAMPLE SIZE

The study population has been calculated by using G-power with 80% of the power and 5% of the significance level. The total sample size was determined to be 100 patients.

STUDY POPULATION

The study subjects were chosen as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study included all patients Catheterised and previously Catheterised Patients admitted under Department of Surgery in Subharti Medical College. The study excluded age below 10 years and Pregnant females.

After approval from the Institutional Ethical committee all patients were selected as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. A detailed history, complete physical examination and routine & appropriate investigations were done for all patients.

Demographic and clinical data including age, gender, underlying systemic diseases including diabetes mellitus and cancer, immunosuppressive therapy, recent surgery and the indication for catheterization was collected and recorded.

SPECIMEN COLLECTION

Catheter urinary specimen was collected using aseptic precautions. Catheter was clamped for 30 minutes after which clamp was released gradually and the specimen of urine that flows out was collected in a sterile test tube and send to laboratory immediately.

Quantitative analysis for the growth and type of organisms were monitored at 24 and 48 hours. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was done using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data was entered into the Microsoft excel and the statistical analysis was performed by statistical software SPSS version 21.0. The Quantitative (Numerical variables) were present in the form of mean and SD and the Qualitative (Categorical variables) were present in the form of frequency and percentage.

RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of study population according to

		Frequency	Percent
Age groups	21-30 years	6	6.0%
	31-40 years	22	22.0%
	41-50 years	13	13.0%
	51-60 years	24	24.0%
	61-70 years	28	28.0%
	Above 70 years	7	7.0%
Gender	Male	36	36.0%
	Female	64	64.0%
Co-morbidity	Diabetes	54	54.0%
	Hypertension	38	38.0%

Majority of the study population belonged to 61-70 years (28.0%) followed by 51-60 years (24.0%), 31-40 years (22.0%), above 70 years (7.0%) and 21-30 years (6.0%). The study population consisted of 36 (36.0%) males and 64 (64.0%) females. Diabetes (54.0%) and Hypertension (38.0%) were the commonly reported co-morbidities.

Table 2: Distribution of study population according to

	Frequency	Percent
Output monitoring	33	33.0%
Urinary retention	30	30.0%
Incontinence	8	8.0%
Fever	28	28.0%
LUTS	12	12.0%

Output monitoring, Urinary retention and Incontinence was reported among 33.0%, 30.0% and 8.0% respectively. Fever was reported among 28.0% and LUTS among 12.0% subjects.

Table 3: Distribution of study population according to

CAUTI	Frequency	Percent
Asymptomatic	48	48.0%
Symptomatic	52	52.0%

CAUTI was Asymptomatic among 48.0% and Symptomatic among 52.0% subjects.

Table 4: Distribution of study population according to

Micro-organism	Frequency	Percent
No growth	5	5.0%
E. coli	62	62.0%
Enterococcus	8	8.0%
Klebseilla	21	21.0%
Psuedomonas	4	4.0%

As per culture, no growth was reported among 5.0%, E. coli among 62.0%, Enterococcus among 8.0%, Klebseilla among 21.0% and Psuedomonas among 4.0% samples.

Table 5: Distribution of study population according to

		Frequency	Percent
Creatinine level	≤ 1.35	42	42.0%
	> 1.35	58	58.0%
Duration of catheterization	≤ 8 days	61	61.0%
	> 8 days	39	39.0%

Creatinine level > 1.35 was reported among 58.0% subjects. Duration of catheterization > 8 days among 39.0% subjects.

DISCUSSION

Indwelling urinary catheters are a routine in most urological patients. As with any medical innovation the benefits of the catheters must be weighed against its potential adverse effects. The most common adverse effect being CAUTI. CAUTI is the most

common nosocomial infection which constitutes a major source of nosocomial septicemia and related mortality in acute care hospitals. The decreased rate of CAUTI in ICU might be due to the increased aseptic environment than non-ICU. A similar study conducted by Zahranet al.^[22] on the comparison of

the incidence rate of CAUTI among ICU and non ICU patients had found that the incidence rate is more in non ICU.

INCIDENCE

The incidence of catheter-associated tract infection was 35% in our study. *Hariatiet al.*^[23] found that approximately 43.90% of patients had catheter-associated tract infection. *Kakariaet al.*^[24] found that incidence of urinary tract infection in ICU was found as 30.71%. In medicine and gynecology ward, 33.33% and 30% respectively. Overall, the incidence of CAUTI was 31%. Study by Lu CC et al.,^[25] Taiwan in has found overall incidence of UTI 57% in catheterized patients. *Danchaivijitr S et al.*,^[26] found that incidence of CAUTI was 73.3% in their study. *Billote-Domingo K et al.*,^[27] reported 51.4% incidence of urinary tract infection in catheterized patients.

AGE

In present study, majority of the study population belonged to 61-70 years (28.0%) followed by 51-60 years (24.0%), 31-40 years (22.0%), above 70 years (7.0%) and 21-30 years (6.0%).

Anggiet al.^[28] found that a significant relationship was obtained between CAUTI infection with age as a risk factor, with the most research subjects being the age group $21 \geq 60$ years. The subject who aged ≤ 50 years has two times risk than a subject who age more than 50 years. *Ketenet al.*^[29] stated that the mean age of the patients was 64.9 years and 54.5% patients were 65 years old or older.

Khan et al.^[16] showed that the maximum incidence of CAUTI in the age group of 51-70 years i.e. (70.58%) and was least in age group of 11-30 years (54.65%). CAUTI was more common after the age of 50 years (70.27%). After multivariate analysis, age factor was significantly associated with the incidence of CAUTI. The incidence of CAUTI cases increases with the age of the patient. This might be due to the age-associated changes in immune function, exposure to nosocomial pathogens, and increased number of comorbidities in the elderly. *Garibaldiet al.*^[30] noted that patients over the age of 50 years had approximately a two-fold incidence of bacteriuria and they concluded that advanced age is responsible for the high prevalence of catheter-associated bacteriuria. Similarly, *Kulkarniet al.*^[31] found that patients aged 40 years and more were having more risk of developing CAUTI than those who were aged < 40 years.

The number of CAUTI cases increases with the age of the patient. Out of 44 CAUTI cases, the maximum incidence was from >40 years age group. Those aged more than 40 years were having the risk of developing CAUTI more than who were aged less than 40 years. This is comparable to studies with similar predominance of CAUTI in >40 years age

like N Bhatia et al.,^[32] Joon Ho Lee et al.^[33] and Jaggi N et al.^[34]

GENDER

In current study, the study population consisted of 36.0% males and 64.0% females. *Khan et al.*^[16] showed that the incidence of CAUTI among males and females was 53.125% and 69.44% respectively. *Ketenet al.*^[29] reported that 48.5% patients were female. *Kakariaet al.*^[24] found that 43.54% were male patients and 56.46% were female. This shows higher incidence of CAUTI among female patients. This indicates that females are more susceptible to Cauti than male. Higher incidence of Cauti in female patients is comparable with the study conducted by *Danchaivijitr S et al* and *Billote-Domingo K et al.*^[26,27]

This increased risk in women is likely to be due to easier access of the perineal flora to the bladder along the outside of the catheter as it traverses the shorter female urethra. In addition, a woman's urethra is closer to anus. This makes it easier for bacteria to spread into her urethra and cause an infection. Contrasting to our study, *Kulkarni et al.*^[31] stated that the occurrence of CAUTI was more among male patients (68.18%) compared to females (31.81%). Male predominance has been shown in the studies by *Bhatia et al.*,^[32] *Joon Ho Lee et al.*,^[33] *Jaggi N et al.*^[34]

COMORBIDITIES

In present study, Diabetes (54.0%) and Hypertension (38.0%) were the commonly reported comorbidities. Diabetic Mellitus was as an independent factor for catheter-associated urinary tract infection and has been shown in another study.^[35] *Hariatiet al.*^[23] found that diabetes mellitus patient had 8.92 times risk have catheter-associated urinary tract infection. The Diabetic Mellitus patient has a risk suffer from catheter-associated urinary tract infection because of autonomy neuropathy.^[36]

This problem can cause incomplete bladder emptying and cause microorganism colonisation. Furthermore, the diabetic Mellitus patient has pancreatic beta cells damage or do not produce enough insulin and cause hyperglycaemia. If there is a hyperglycaemia condition, the kidneys cannot reabsorb glucose. The glucose levels will be high in the urine. The glucosuria influences leukocyte function and performs as a growth medium of pathogenic microorganisms. The poor control and decreasing immune system become a risk factor of diabetic mellitus patients to suffering from catheter-associated urinary tract infection.^[36]

Job et al.^[37] found that patients with DM had a 4.83 times more risk of developing CAUTI than those without DM. Various impairments in the immune system, poor metabolic control, and incomplete bladder emptying due to autonomic neuropathy may all contribute to the enhanced risk of CAUTI in these

patients. Sugar in the urine also promotes bacterial growth. This finding is comparable with the study conducted by *Kulkarniet al.*^[31] and *Plattet al.*^[38] and noted an increased risk of acquiring infection among individuals with diabetics.

Diabetics were consistently found to be associated with increased risk of CAUTI in study by *Gillen et al.*^[35] The possible explanation is that diabetics have an increased colonization of organisms in their perineum and urine in diabetics also supports the growth of microorganisms. Altered host immunity in diabetics may also play a role though yet to be investigated.

DURATION OF CAUTI

In present study, Duration of catheterization > 8 days among 39.0% subjects. Previous studies have identified certain risk factors that were significantly associated with CAUTI.^[39] The duration of catheterization is the dominant risk factor for CAUTI; up to 95% of UTIs in the ICU are associated with an indwelling urinary catheter. Bacteriuria, the precursor to CAUTI, develops quickly at an average daily rate of 3-10% per day of catheterization. Almost 26% patients with a catheter in place for 2-10 days develop bacteriuria, and virtually all patients catheterized for 1 month develop bacteriuria. Hence, catheterization for greater than 1 month is generally the definition for long-term catheterization.^[14]

It has been shown in another study.^[40] The odds of the duration of catheterisation 32.85 higher for a patient who inserted a catheter for five days or more. The length the catheter insertion, the more susceptible to infection.^[41] Patients who insert the indwelling catheter have a risk to growth bacteriuria.^[42] The catheter urine will form a biofilm. Bacteria can enter after catheter insertion or after three days.^[43] Biofilm development occurs when cells (planktonic) contact with the surface of the catheter with the thin film.^[14]

Anggiet al.^[28] found that a significant relationship was obtained between CAUTI infection with duration of the catheter as a risk factor with the most research in 3-6 days of duration. The subject who uses a catheter for > 6 days has two times the possibility of CAUTI infected than a subject who uses a catheter for ≤ 6 days. The frequency of CAUTI infection with the urine culture examination found that 24 subjects (44.4%) of the 54 subjects studied.

Job et al.^[37] stated that the outcomes of CAUTI increased with the duration of hospitalization and recovery of patients whereas a similar study conducted by *Zahrnet al.*^[22] found that the outcomes of CAUTI were complete recovery among 98.5% of patients. *Ketenet al.*^[29] observed that the duration of catheterization was 1-7 days for 44.8% cases, 8-30 days for 46% cases. More than 50% of the most common CAUTIs were developed within the first 30 days after the beginning of the hospitalization.

Leelakrishna and Karthik.^[20] also revealed the same result. The longer the catheter is placed, the higher is the incidence of urinary tract infection. The incidence of bacteriuria in catheterized patients is directly related to the duration of catheterization; the daily rate of acquiring bacteriuria is approximately 3-10%. Duration of catheterization was found to be a very significant risk factor with an odds ratio of 2.56.

MICRO-ORGANISMS

In present study, *E. coli* was reported among 62.0% samples, *Enterococcus* among 8.0%, *Klebsiella* among 21.0% and *Pseudomonas* among 4.0% samples. *Enterobacteriaceae* are the most common pathogens associated with CAUTI, but in the ICU setting, *Candida sp* (18%), *Enterococcus sp* (10%), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9%) become more prevalent.^[44] In data reported from the CDC's NHSN, 24.8% of all *Escherichia coli* isolates from patients with CAUTIs were resistant to fluoroquinolones.^[13] Many members of *Enterobacteriaceae* produced extended-spectrum β-lactamases; 21.2% of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 5.5% of *E. coli* isolates from patients with CAUTIs were resistant to ceftriaxone or ceftazidime. Even more concerning is that during this same time, 10.1% of all *K. pneumoniae* isolates from patients with CAUTIs were resistant to carbapenems.^[45]

In most of the studies done in UTI till today, the most common organism isolated is *E. coli*. However, there is a reduction in the frequency of *E. coli* (although it remains the usual cause) in patients with indwelling catheters. *Job et al.*^[37] found that the most common isolate was *E. coli* followed by *Klebsiella* in ICU as well as non-ICU. This observation seems to agree with several other studies with *E. coli* isolation rate ranged from 22.0-40.47%.^[17]

Kakariaet al.^[24] found that *E. coli* (38.71%) was found the most common isolate among all microorganisms isolated. *Laupland K et al.*^[46] found *E. coli* (23%), *Billote-Domingo K et al.*^[27] found *E. coli* (22.30%) and *Danchaivijitr S et al.*^[26] has found *E. coli* (15.10%). After *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas* (20.97%) was second most common isolate. Study by *Laupland K et al.*^[46] also shows *Pseudomonas* as second most common uropathogen with isolation rate 10% and 13% respectively. Isolation rate of *Enterococcus*, in the studies by *Billote-Domingo K et al.* and *Danchaivijitr S et al.*^[26,27] was 7.40% and 12.60% respectively.

Microbiological profile in the study by *Leelakrishna and Karthik.*^[20] revealed that *Escherichia coli* and other entero pathogens to be the most common pathogens. This has also been reported in various other studies.^[48,49] This study did not study the organisms infecting the urinary tract from extra luminal mechanisms wherein gram positive *Cocci* like *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus* were more common.

In a study from Turkey by *Inanet al.*,^[50] the most frequently isolated causative agents were *Candida spp.* in 37.1% of the UTIs, *E.coli* in 21.1% of the UTIs and *Pseudomonas spp.* in 16.5% of the UTIs. *Gikas et al.* revealed that the most frequent agent was *P. aeruginosa* (30.6%).^[51,52]

Kulkarni et al.^[31] observed that *E.coli* was the commonest isolate (47.36%), *Klebsiella* species (19.2%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14.10%). Comparable to study conducted by *Bagchi et al.*,^[51] *Dogru et al.*,^[52] *N Bhatia et al.*,^[32] and *Dimri Sanjeev et al.*^[53] *Klebsiella* associated CAUTI was as the second most common organism in studies done by *N Bhatia et al.*,^[32] *Dimrisanjeev et al.*^[53] and *Bagchi et al.*^[51] respectively. *Pseudomonas* was seen as the third most commonly found isolate in our study which is comparable to findings seen in studies done by *N Bhatia et al.*,^[32] *Dimrisanjeev et al.*^[53] and *Bagchi et al.*^[51]

The prevention of CA-UTI in long term care facilities addresses primarily residents with a chronic indwelling catheter. There should be frequent, systematic review of any resident with a chronic indwelling catheter to determine whether the catheter remains necessary. Bacteriuria in these residents is not avoidable. Interventions should focus on removing the catheter, whenever feasible, minimizing catheter trauma, and early identification of catheter obstruction. Chronic indwelling catheters should not be changed routinely. They should be replaced only if there is obstruction or other malfunction, or prior to initiating antimicrobial therapy when symptomatic urinary infection is treated.^[54]

CONCLUSION

Interventions that motivate catheter avoidance and catheter removal to prevent CAUTI in acute care and hospital settings are supported by the strongest available evidence, although the strength of available evidence is currently less in the nursing home setting. Although the evidence is not as robust, interventions such as incontinence care planning and hydration programs can reduce UTI in this population and is important for overall well-being.

REFERENCES

- Sukandar E. Buku Ajar Ilmu Penyakit Dalam Jilid I. Jakarta: Balai Penerbit FK UI, 2006:564-8.
- Agodi A, Barchitta M. Epidemiology and Control of Urinary Tract Infections in Intensive Care Patients. In: Nikibakhsh, A. (ed.) Clinical Management of Complicated Urinary Tract Infection. InTech, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.5772/23113>
- Meddings J, Saint S. Disrupting the life cycle of the urinary kateter. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52:1291-3.
- Parida S, Mishra SK. Urinary tract infections in the critical care unit: A brief review. Indian journal of critical care medicine: peer-reviewed, official publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. 2013 Nov;17(6):370-4.
- Assanga PA, Omondi LA, Inyama H K. Evaluation of the Utilization of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection Bundle among Critical Care Nurses - Kenyatta National Hospital. American Journal of Nursing Science. 2016; 5(5):201-212.
- Verma S, Naik SA, Deepak TS. Etiology and risk factors of catheter associated urinary tract infections in ICU patients. IP Int J Med Microbiol Trop Dis 2017;3:65-70.
- Burton DC, Edwards JR, Srinivasan A, et al. Trends in catheter-associated urinary tract infections in adult intensive care units-United States, 1990-2007. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32:748-56.
- Chang R, Greene MT, Chenoweth CE, et al. Epidemiology of hospital-acquired urinary-tract-related bloodstream infection at a university hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2011;32:1127-9.
- Foxman B. The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nat Rev Urol. 2010;7(12):653-660.
- Crnich CJ, Drinka P. Medical device-associated infections in the long-term care setting. Infect Dis Clin. 2012;26(1):143-164.
- Nicolle LE. Catheter associated urinary tract infections. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2014;3(1):1-8.
- Umscheid CA, Mitchell MD, Doshi JA, Agarwal R, Williams K, Brennan PJ. Estimating the proportion of healthcare-associated infections that are reasonably preventable and the related mortality and costs. Infect Cont Hosp Epidemiol. 2011;32(2):101-14.
- Singh S, Chaturvedi R, Garg SM, Datta R, Kumar A. Incidence of healthcare associated infection in the surgical ICU of a tertiary care hospital. Medical journal armed forces India. 2013; 69(2):124-9.
- Chenoweth C, Saint S. Preventing Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections in the Intensive Care Unit. Crit Care Clin. 2013;29:19-32.
- Mohammadzadeh M, Behnaz F. Incidence and risk factors of catheter associated urinary tract infection in Yazd-Iran. Int J UrolNurs. 2012;6:60-5.
- Khan MY, Venkateshwarlu C, Sreenivas G, Rahul P. Study of incidence and risk factors of urinary tract infection in catheterized patients admitted at tertiary care hospital, Nizamabad, Telangana State, India. Int Arch Integr Med 2016;3:83-92.
- Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) [Internet]. Guideline for prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections 2009 [cited 2022 may 15]. Available from <http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTIguideline2009final.pdf>.
- Falkiner FR. The insertion and management of indwelling urethral catheters--minimizing the risk of infection. J Hosp Infect. 1993;25(2):79-90.
- Avalos Vizcarra I, Hosseini V, Kollmannsberger P, et al. How type 1 fimbriae help *Escherichia coli* to evade extracellular antibiotics. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):1-13.
- Leelakrishna P, Karthik RB. A study of risk factors for catheter associated urinary tract infection. Int J Adv Med 2018;5:334-9.
- Mojtahedzadeh M, Panahi Y, Fazeli MR, Najafi A, Pazouki M, Navehsi BM, et al. Intensive care unit-acquired urinary tract infections in patients admitted with sepsis: Etiology, risk factors, and patterns of antimicrobial resistance. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12:312-8.
- Zahran FE, Alhommos AK, Elkohafy SA, Ibrahim A, Mohamed GK, Alhommos AK. Catheter associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) in Medical Ward, and

- ICU KFHH during year 2017. *Int J Adv Res* 2018;6:997-1011.
23. Hariati H, Suza DE, Tarigan R. Risk Factors Analysis for Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Medan, Indonesia. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2019 Sep 12;7(19):3189-3194.
 24. Kakaria BA, Ashish K, Tushar R. Study of incidence and risk factors of urinary tract infection in catheterised patients admitted at tertiary care. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2018;6:1730-3.
 25. Lu C. The Incidence of Urinary Tract Infection in Patients with a Chronic Indwelling Urethral Foley Catheter. *Incont Pelvic Floor Dysfunction*. 2007;2:67-8.
 26. Danchaivijitr S, Dhiraputra C, Cherdrungsi R, Jintanothaitavorn D, Srihapol N. Catheter-associated urinary tract infection. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2005 Dec;88 Suppl10:S26-30.
 27. Billote-Domingo K, Mendoza MT, Torres T. Catheter-related urinary tract infections: incidence, risk factors and microbiologic profile. *Phil J Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1999;28(4):133-8.
 28. Anggi A, Wijaya DW, Ramayani OR. Risk Factors for Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection and Uropathogen Bacterial Profile in the Intensive Care Unit in Hospitals in Medan, Indonesia. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci*. 2019 Oct 14;7(20):3488-3492.
 29. Keten D, Aktas F, GuzelTunccan O, Dizbay M, Kalkanci A, Biter G, Keten HS. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections in intensive care units at a university hospital in Turkey. *Bosn J Basic Med Sci*. 2014 Nov 14;14(4):227-33.
 30. Garibaldi RA, Burke JP, Dickman ML, Smith CB. Factors predisposing to bacteriuria during indwelling urethral catheterization. *N Engl J Med* 1974;291:215-9.
 31. Kulkarni GS, Talib SH, Naik M, Kale A. Profile of Urinary Tract Infection in Indwelling Catheterized Patients. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences*. 2014 April;13(4):132-38.
 32. Bhatia N, Daga MK, Garg S, Prakash SK: Urinary catheterization in medical wards *J Glob Infect Dis*. 2010;2(2):83-90.
 33. Joon Ho Lee, Sun Wook Kim, Byung Il Yoon, U-Syn Ha, Dong Wan Sohn, Yong-Hyun. Factors That Affect Nosocomial Catheter- Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Intensive Care Units: 2-Year Experience at a Single Center. *ChoKorean J Urol* 2013; 54:59-65.
 34. Jaggi N, Sissodia P. Multimodal supervision programme to reduce catheter associated urinary tract infections and its analysis to enable focus on labour and cost effective infection control measures in a tertiary care hospital in India. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2012;6(8):1372-6.
 35. Gillen JR, Isbell JM, Michaels AD, Lau CL, Sawyer RG. Risk Factors for Urinary Tract Infections in Cardiac Surgical Patients. *Surgical Infection*. 2015; 16(5):504-8.
 36. Nitzan O, Elias M, Chazan B, Saliba W. Urinary tract infections in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus : a review of prevalence, diagnosis, and management. *Diabetes MetabSyndrObes*. 2015; 129-36. <https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S51792> PMID:25759592 PMCID:PMC4346284
 37. Job M, Monachan M, Scaria R, Babu M. Comparative study of incidence rates and antibiogram of catheter-associated urinary tract infections in intensive care unit versus Non-Intensive Care Unit at a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res*. 2021;14(6):167-72.
 38. Platt R, Polk BF, Murdock B, Rosner B. Mortality associated with nosocomial urinary-tract infection. *N Engl J Med* 1982;307:637-42.
 39. Riley DK, Classen DC, Stevens LE, Burke JP. A large randomized clinical trial of a silver-impregnated urinary catheter: Lack of efficacy and staphylococcal superinfection. *Am J Med*. 1995;98:349-56.
 40. Meddings J, Saint S, McMahon LF. Hospital-acquired catheter-associated urinary tract infection: documentation and coding issues may reduce financial impact of Medicare's new payment policy. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*2010;31:627-33.
 41. Fakhri MG, Watson SR, Greene T, et al. Reducing inappropriate urinary catheter use: a statewide effort. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:255-60.
 42. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA hand hygiene task force. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America/Association for Professionals in Infection Control/ Infectious Diseases Society of America. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2002;51(RR-16):1-45.
 43. Dellit TH, Owens RC, McGowan JE Jr, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America guidelines for developing an institutional program to enhance antimicrobial stewardship. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44:159-77.
 44. Chenoweth CE, Saint S. Urinary tract infections. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2011;25:103-17.
 45. Hidron AI, Edwards JR, Patel J, et al. NHSN annual update: antimicrobial resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: annual summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2006-2007. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*2008;29:996-1011.
 46. Laupland KB, Bagshaw SM, Gregson DB, Kirkpatrick AW. Intensive care unit-acquired urinary tract infections in a regional critical care system. *Critical Care*. 2005;9:R60-5.
 47. Burke JP, Riley DK. Nosocomial urinary tract infection. In: Mayhall CG, editor. *Hospital epidemiology and infection control*. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1996:139-153.
 48. Warren JW. Catheter-associated urinary tract infections. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 1997;11:609-22.
 49. Inan D, Saba R, Yalcin AN, Yilmaz M, Ongut G, Ramazanoglu A, et al. Device-associated nosocomial infection rates in Turkish medical-surgical intensive care units. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2006;27(4):343-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/503344>.
 50. Gikas A, Roubelaki M, Bagatzouni-Pieridou D, Alexandrou M, Zinieri V, Dimitriadis I, et al. Device-associated infections in the intensive care units of Cyprus: results of the first national incidence study. *Infection* 2010;38(3):165-71.
 51. Bagchi I, Jaitly NK, Thombare VR Microbiological Evaluation of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *PJSR*.2015;8(2):23-29.

52. Dogru A, Sargin F, Celik M, Sagiroglu AE, Goksel MM, Sayhan H. The rate of device-associated nosocomial infections in a medical surgical intensive care unit of a training and research hospital in Turkey: one-year outcomes. *Jpn J Infect Dis.* 2010 Mar;63(2):95-8.
53. Dimri Sanjeev, Sharma Hemant, Datta S K, Gupta Deepak :A Study of Urinary Tract Infections in Patients with Catheter in Tertiary Care Hospital in Western Uttar Pradesh *International Journal of Contemporary Surgery.* 2013;1(2):83-9.
54. Nicolle LE. Catheter associated urinary tract infections. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2014 Jul 25;3:23.