

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**To evaluate the bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity of surgical site wound infection**¹Parul Singhal, ²Motilal¹Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Venkateshwara Institute of Medical Sciences, Gajraula, Uttar Pradesh, India;²Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Sakshi Medical College & Hospital, Guna, Madhya Pradesh, India**ABSTRACT:**

Aim: The aim of the present study to determine the bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity of surgical site wound infection. **Methods:** Using sterile cotton swabs, two pus swabs/ wound swabs were collected aseptically from each patient suspected of having SSI. Gram stained preparations were made from one swab for provisional diagnosis. The other swab was inoculated on nutrient agar, 5% sheep blood agar (BA) and MacConkey agar (MA) plates and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours before being reported as sterile. Growth on culture plates was identified by its colony characters and the battery of standard biochemical tests. All the isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility by Kirby Bauer disk diffusion technique on Muller Hinton Agar. **Results:** Out of 410 samples, 200 samples were culture positive (48.78%). Among 200 positive samples 109 (54.5%) were males. Maximum no. of culture positive samples in age 20-30 years (33.5%) followed by 30-40 (16.5 %) and then followed by 40-50 (14.5%) of age group respectively. Out of 200 culture positive samples *S.aureus* (26.5%) was the most common pathogen isolated followed by *Escherichia coli*. (22.5%), *Citrobacter spp.* (15.5%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9.5%) respectively. Among gram negative bacilli, *E.coli* was most sensitive to Imipenem 88.89% followed by Amikacin (77.77%) and Piperacillin Tazobactam (73.33%) whereas for *Citrobacter spp.*, Imipenem (74.19%) followed by Gentamicin (45.16%), Ciprofloxacin (41.93%) was the drug of choice then for *Klebsiella spp.*, Imipenem (76.47%) followed by Gentamicin (47.05%), Amikacin (47.05%) was the drug of choice. For *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Imipenem (68.42%) followed by Piperacillin Tazobactam (63.16%), Gentamicin (57.89%) was the drug of choice and for *Enterobacter spp.*, Imipenem (76.92%) followed by Amikacin (53.84%), Piperacillin Tazobactam (53.84%) showed maximum sensitivity. Among gram positive organism, *S.aureus* showed maximum antibiotic sensitivity to Linezolid (96.22%) followed by Vancomycin (94.33%), Amikacin (83.02%) whereas *CONS* was sensitive to Linezolid (93.33%) followed by Vancomycin (86.67%), and Gentamicin (80%). **Conclusion:** We conclude that despite of modern surgical techniques and antimicrobial availability and use, SSIs are common among patients undergoing surgeries. Bacterial resistance is a serious threat for treating infections and exists for more commonly available and used antimicrobials.

Keywords: Bacteriological, Antibiotic, Surgical site, wound, infection

Corresponding Author: Motilal, Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Sakshi Medical College & Hospital, Guna, Madhya Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Singhal P, Motilal. To evaluate the bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity of surgical site wound infection. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2016;4(6):445-449.

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infections (SSI), one of the most common causes of nosocomial infections are a common complication associated with surgery, with a reported incidence rates of 2-20%.¹ They are responsible for increasing the treatment cost, length of hospital stay and significant morbidity and mortality. Despite the technical advances in infection control and surgical practices, SSI still continue to be a major problem, even in hospitals with most modern facilities.² These infections are usually caused by exogenous and/ or endogenous micro organisms that enter the operative wound either during the surgery (primary infection) or after the surgery (secondary infection). Primary infections are usually more serious, appearing within five to seven days of surgery.³ Majority of SSIs are uncomplicated involving only skin and subcutaneous tissue but sometimes can progress to necrotizing infections. The usual presentation of infected surgical wound can be

characterized by pain, tenderness, warmth, erythema, swelling and pus formation.^{4,5} A number of patient related factors (old age, nutritional status, pre existing infection, co-morbid illness) and procedure related factors (poor surgical technique, prolonged duration of surgery, pre operative part preparation, inadequate sterilization of surgical instruments) can influence the risk of SSIs significantly.² In addition to these risk factors, the virulence and the invasiveness of the organism involved, physiological state of the wound tissue and the immunological integrity of the host are also the important factors that determine whether infection occurs or not.⁶ Surveillance data suggest that the types of causative organisms associated with SSI have not significantly changed over the past 10-15 years; however, the proportion of different types of causative organisms has changed. Antimicrobial-resistant organisms are causing an increasing proportion of SSIs, and there has been a rise in the number of infections caused by

atypical bacterial and fungal organisms. These changing proportions have been attributed to the increasing acuity of surgical patients, the increase in the number of immunocompromised patients, and the increasing use of broad-spectrum antibiotics.⁷ Over the past many years, there has been a huge increase in the number of SSI cases as reported by hospitals and it has been observed that many of the cases which were deemed serious were caused by gram negative organisms. Furthermore, the irrational use of high dose broad spectrum antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance has further accelerated this scenario. In developing countries like India, where hospitals have inadequate infrastructure, poor infection control practices, overcrowded wards and practice of irrational use of antimicrobials, the problem of SSIs gets more convoluted. The aim of the present study is to identify bacterial etiology of surgical site infections and their antibiogram to find drug useful for empirical treatment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Total 410 patients with SSIs either sex or any age, who had surgical wound pus, discharge, or signs of sepsis were include in this study. Patients with cellulitis and suture abscess were exclude from this study.

Using sterile cotton swabs, two pus swabs/ wound swabs were collected aseptically from each patient suspected of having SSI. Gram stained preparations were made from one swab for provisional diagnosis. The other swab was inoculated on nutrient agar, 5% sheep blood agar (BA) and MacConkey agar (MA) plates and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours before being reported as sterile. Growth on culture plates was identified by its colony characters and the battery of standard biochemical tests.^{8,9} All the isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility by Kirby Bauer disk diffusion technique on Muller Hinton Agar and results were interpreted in accordance with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.¹⁰ Antibiotics used for susceptibility testing were: Amikacin, Ampicillin / Sulbactam, Ceftriaxone, Ciprofloxacin, Gentamicin, Piperacillin-Tazobactam, Imipenem, Azithromycin, Vancomycin, Linezolid, Ofloxacin, Cefoxitin.

Methicillin resistance was detected by taking cefoxitin (30µg) as a surrogate marker and was confirmed by using PBP2a latex agglutination test, *Staphylococcus aureus*-ATCC 25923, *Escherichia coli*- ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used as control strains for AST. All dehydrated media, reagents and antibiotic discs were procured from Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.

Statistical Analysis: Data was entered in Microsoft excel spreadsheet and analysed using appropriate statistical software application.

RESULTS

Out of 410 samples, 200 samples were culture positive (48.78%) (Table 1). Among 200 positive samples 109 (54.5%) were males (Table 1). The age wise distribution of the gender has been shown in the (Table 2) with maximum no. of culture positive samples in age 20-30 years (33.5%) followed by 30-40 (16.5 %) and then followed by 40-50 (14.5%) of age group respectively. Out of 200 culture positive samples *S.aureus* (26.5%) was the most common pathogen isolated followed by *Escherichia coli*. (22.5%), *Citrobacter spp.* (15.5%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9.5%) respectively (Table 3). Among gram negative bacilli, *E.coli* was most sensitive to Imipenem (88.89%) followed by Amikacin (77.77%) and Piperacillin Tazobactam (73.33%) whereas for *Citrobacter spp.*, Imipenem (74.19%) followed by Gentamicin (45.16%), Ciprofloxacin (41.93%) was the drug of choice then for *Klebsiella spp.*, Imipenem (76.47%) followed by Gentamicin (47.05%), Amikacin (47.05%) was the drug of choice. For *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Imipenem (68.42%) followed by Piperacillin Tazobactam (63.16%), Gentamicin (57.89%) was the drug of choice and for *Enterobacter spp.*, Imipenem (76.92%) followed by Amikacin (53.84%), Piperacillin Tazobactam (53.84%) showed maximum sensitivity (Table 4). Among gram positive organism, *S.aureus* showed maximum antibiotic sensitivity to Linezolid (96.22%) followed by Vancomycin (94.33%), Amikacin (83.02%) whereas *CONS* was sensitive to Linezolid (93.33%) followed by Vancomycin (86.67%), and Gentamicin (80%) (Table 5).

Table-1: Gender wise distribution of Culture positive Patients

Gender	No of patients
Male	109 (54.5%)
Female	91 (45.5%)

Table-2: Age wise Distribution of Culture Positive Patients

Age in year	Culture Positive
Below 20	28 (14)
20-30	67 (33.5)
30-40	33(16.5)
40-50	29 (14.5)
50-60	23(11.5)
Above 60	20 (10)

Table-3: Distribution of Organisms Causing Surgical Site Infection

Organism	No. of isolates (%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	53(26.5)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	45 (22.5)
<i>Citrobacter spp.</i>	31(15.5)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	19(9.5)
<i>Klebsiella spp.</i>	17 (8.5)
CONS	15 (7.5)
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i>	13(6.5)
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i>	4 (2)
<i>Proteus spp.</i>	3 (1.5)
Total	200

Table-4: In-Vitro Antibiotic Sensitivity in Isolated Gram Negative Bacteria

Drugs	<i>Escherichia coli</i> (%)(n=45)	<i>Citrobacter spp.</i> (%) (n=31)	<i>Klebsiella</i> <i>spp.</i> (%) (n=17)	<i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>aeruginosa</i> (%) (n=19)	<i>Enterobacter</i> <i>spp.</i> (%) (n=13)
	S	S	S	S	S
Gentamicin	30 (66.67)	14(45.16)	8 (47.05)	11 (57.89)	5(38.46)
Ciprofloxacin	11. (24.44)	13 (41.93)	6(35.29)	10 (52.63)	6(46.15)
Piperacillin/ Tazobactam	33 (73.33)	10 (32.25)	5 (29.41)	12 (63.16)	7 (53.84)
Amikacin	35 (77.77)	13 (41.93)	8 (47.05)	11 (57.89)	7(53.84)
Ampicillin/ Sulbactam	14 (31.11)	7(22.5)	4 (23.53)	5 (26.31)	3 (23.07)
Impinem	40 (88.89)	23 (74.19)	13 (76.47)	13 (68.42)	10 (76.92)
Ceftriaxone	10 (22.22)	8 (25.80)	3 (17.64)	8 (42.10)	3 (23.08)

Table-5: In-Vitro Antibiotic Sensitivity in Isolated Gram Positive Bacteria

Drugs	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (%) (n=53)	CONS (%) (n=15)
	S	S
Azithromycin	32(60.38)	9 (60)
Vancomycin	50 (94.33)	13(86.67)
Linezolid	51 (96.22)	14 (93.33)
Gentamicin	42 (79.24)	12 (80)
Ofloxacin	43 (81.13)	11 (73.33)
Cefoxitin	36 (67.92)	8 (53.33)
Amikacin	44 (83.02)	10(66.67)

DISCUSSION

Wound Infections are the most commonly reported entity following surgical procedures from the hospitals. Regardless of the current advances in surgical procedures, availability of broad spectrum antibiotics, clean and safe wound management practices and modern hospital management systems, SSIs still remain a challenge for practicing surgeons and health care personnel's. Moreover, the patients undergoing surgery have an extra threat of microbial colonies circulating in the hospital environment which may make them susceptible to SSIs. The burden of antimicrobial resistance adds to the burden. Most of the SSIs are hospital acquired and vary from one health care facility to another.

In the present study the Culture positive SSI rate was 48.78%. Whereas various other studies from India have shown the rate of SSI to vary from 6.1% to 38.7%.¹¹⁻¹⁴ The main Reason behind may be due to the

lack of attention towards the infection control measures, inappropriate hand hygiene practices and overcrowded hospitals. In our study, it was observed that rate of infection was higher in male patients (54.5%). The results were similar to a study by Fletcher N et al, who reported that (74.6%) males were more commonly affected than females (25.5%).¹⁵ In contrast to our study Aggarwal A et al reveals that 20% Females shows almost equal distribution of 19% of males.¹⁶

The findings in the study revealed that maximum culture positivity of the patients were with the age group 20-30 (33.5%) years followed by 30-40 (16.5%) years . Similar results was showed by Aggarwal A who concluded that maximum no of SSI was in 16-45years of age group (24%) patient. This may be due to heavy work load, stress at this age group and less number of patients.¹⁶ *S.aureus* (26.5%) was the most common pathogen isolated

followed by *E.coli* (22.5%). This result is consistent with reports from other studies.^{12,17} *S. aureus* infection is most likely associated with endogenous source as it is a member of the skin and nasal flora and also with contamination from environment, surgical instruments or from hands of health care workers.¹⁵

In the present study among gram negative organism, *E.coli* was most sensitive to Imipenem (88.89%) followed by Amikacin (77.77%) and Piperacillin Tazobactam (73.33%). The findings are consistent with the previous study conducted by Isibor OJ et al who also showed that *E. coli* showed high sensitivity to Imipenem.¹⁸ In this study *Citrobacter spp.*, Imipenem (74.19%) followed by Gentamicin (45.16%), Ciprofloxacin (41.93%) was the drug of choice then for *Klebsiella spp.*, Imipenem (76.47%) followed by Gentamicin (47.05%), Amikacin (47.05%) was the drug of choice. The findings are consistent with the study conducted by Sonawane J et al who also showed that *Citrobacter* and *Klebsiella* showed high sensitivity to Imipenem.¹⁹

We observed *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Imipenem (68.42%) followed by Piperacillin Tazobactam (63.16%), Gentamicin (57.89%) was the drug of choice. Similar results were shown by Jyoti Sonawane et al.¹⁹ Imipenem, Piperacillin/ Tazobactam, Gentamicin and Amikacin were found to be more efficient antibiotics against gram negative bacilli. Similar results were observed by Isibor OJ et al who showed that Amikacin, Imipenem, Piperacillin/ Tazobactam, were found to be more efficient antibiotics against gram negative bacilli.¹⁸ Among gram positive organism, *S.aureus* showed maximum antibiotic sensitivity to Linezolid (96.22%) followed by Vancomycin (94.33%), Amikacin (83.02%). This was in consistent with the study by Kownhar H et al., who also concluded that *S. aureus* was sensitive to Vancomycin (100%), Linezolid (100%).²⁰ Linezolid and Vancomycin were found to be more efficient antibiotics against gram positive cocci. This finding was in tandem with the study conducted by Fletcher N et al., 2015, who also reported that Vancomycin and Linezolid found to be more efficient antibiotics against gram positive cocci.¹⁵

CONCLUSION

We conclude that despite of modern surgical techniques and antimicrobial availability and use, SSIs are common among patients undergoing surgeries. Bacterial resistance is a serious threat for treating infections and exists for more commonly available and used antimicrobials.

REFERENCE

1. Hohmann C, Eickhoff C, Radziwill R, Schulz M. Adherence to guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery patients in German hospitals: a multicentre evaluation involving pharmacy interns. *Infection*. 2012;40(2):131-37.

2. Owens CD, Stoessel K. Surgical site infections: epidemiology, microbiology and prevention. *J Hosp Infect*. 2008;70(Suppl 2): 3-10.
3. Pradhan GB, Agrawal J. Comparative study of post operative wound infection following emergency lower segment caesarean section with and without the topical use of fusidic acid. *Nepal Med Coll J*. 2009;11(3):189-91.
4. Ahmed MI. Prevalence of nosocomial wound infection among postoperative patients and antibiotics patterns at teaching hospital in Sudan. *N Am J Med Sci*. 2012;4(1):29-34.
5. Mulu W, Kibru G, Beyene G, Datie M. Postoperative nosocomial infections and antimicrobial resistance patterns of bacterial isolates among patients admitted at FelegeHiwot Referral Hospital, Bahirdar, Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Sci*. 2012;22(1):7-18.
6. Masaadeh HA, Jaran AS. Incident of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in post-operative wound infection. *Am J Infect Dis*. 2009;5:1-6.
7. Eagye KJ, Kim A, Laohavaleeson S, Kuti JL, Nicolau DP. Surgical site infections: does inadequate antibiotic therapy affect patient outcomes? *SurgInfect (Larchmt)* 2009;10(4):323-31.
8. MacFaddin J. *Biochemical Tests for Identification of Medical Bacteria*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1976.
9. Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS. *Bailey and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology*. 10th ed. St. Louis, Missouri, USA: Mosby Inc.; 1998
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*. 2007;1(1).M2 A9. Pennsylvania, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute.
11. Malik S, Gupta A, Singh PK, Agarwal J, Singh M. Antibigram of aerobic bacterial isolates from postoperative wound infections at a tertiary care hospital in India. *Journal of Infectious Diseases Antimicrobial Agents*. 2011;28:45-51.
12. Lilani SP, Jangale N, Chowdhary A, Daver GB. Surgical site infection in clean and clean-contaminated cases. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2005 ;23(4):249-52.
13. Sohn AH, Parvez FM, Vu T, Hai HH, Bich NN, Le Thu TA. Prevalence of surgical-site infections and patterns of antimicrobial use in a large tertiary-care hospital in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2002;23(7):382-87.
14. Chakaraborty SP, Mahapatra SK, Bal M, Roy S. Isolation and identification of [14] vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from postoperative pus sample. *Al Ameen J Med Sci*. 2011; 4(2):152-68.
15. Fletcher N, Sofianos D, Berkes MB, Obremsky WT. Prevention of perioperative infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2007;89(7):1605-18
16. Aggarwal A, Khanna S, Arora U, Devi P. Correlation of beta-lactamase production/methicillin resistance and phage pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Indian J Med Sci*. 2001;55(5):253-56.
17. Mulu W, Kibru G, Beyene G, Damtie M. Postoperative nosocomial infections and antimicrobial resistance pattern of bacteria isolates among patients admitted at Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahirdar, Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences*. 2012; 22(1):7-18.
18. Isibor OJ, Oseni A, Eyaufe A. Incidence of aerobic bacteria and *Candida albicans* in postoperative wound infections. *Afr J microbial Res*. 2008;2:288-91.

19. Sonawane J, Kamath N, Swaminathan R, Dosani K. Bacteriological profile of Surgical Site Infections and their Antibigrams in A Tertiary Care Hospital Navi Mumbai. *Bombay Hospital Journal*.2010;52(3).
20. Kownhar H, Shankar EM, Vignesh R, Sekar R, Velu V, Rao UA. High isolation rate of *Staphylococcus aureus* from surgical site infections in an Indian hospital. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008;61:758–60.