

Original Article

Promoting Oral Health Awareness among 116 School Children in Eastern Bihar

Rathi Rela

Senior Resident, Patna Medical College & Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

ABSTRACT:

Background: The limited availability of oral health care services and the lack of knowledge on oral health in the population have been documented as contributing factors for poor oral health among preschool children. **Aims and Objectives:** This study was designed to evaluate the effect of an oral health education intervention, in Eastern Bihar school children. The objective was to improve the oral health status. **Materials and Methods:** 116 children were recruited using a convenience sampling technique. WHO criteria for detecting dental caries and treatment need were used. Loe's plaque index was used to determine the oral hygiene status of children. Mothers were educated on common oral health problems and causes among children. Preventive strategies and available oral health care services were introduced. Their knowledge of healthy food habits, of oral hygiene practices and of opportunities for self-referrals to oral health care facilities was enhanced during the intervention. Pre- and Post-Intervention clinical assessments were performed on children. **Results:** The prevalence of caries was reduced from a mean DMFT of 3.60 to 3.00 ($P<0.005$). The major change was a reduction in the number of non-cavitated lesions, where the mean was lowered to 1.83 from 2.11 ($P=0.04$). Children not in need of treatment for dental caries increased to more than half (69%) of the sample after 6 months, compared to 54% initially ($p=0.564$). The need for preventive care decreased from 41% to 19% ($p<0.005$). The same observation was made for treatment need, where the reduction was from 14.6% to 5.9% ($p=0.003$). Prevalence of plaque was reduced from 86% to 81% post intervention. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, utilizing non-dental personnel to deliver appropriate education messages can improve the oral health of preschool children.

Key words: Preschool children, Preschool Teachers, hygiene, Oral health.

Received: 17 September 2018

Revised: 18 November 2018

Accepted: 19 November 2018

Corresponding Author: Dr. Rathi Rela, Senior Resident, Patna Medical College & Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

This article may be cited as: Rela R. Promoting Oral Health Awareness among 116 School Children in Eastern Bihar. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2018;6(12):28-30.

INTRODUCTION

Primary prevention of oral diseases should be as early as possible. There is substantial evidence that the earlier the intervention, the more effective are the prevention efforts^{1,2}. Mothers play a key role in the development of the oral hygiene habits of their children so it is essential that parents must be having dental awareness.³ Thus teaching pregnant or lactating mothers on the importance of oral hygiene for both herself and her baby during the antenatal period, through auxiliaries or oral health care personnel, can establish sound oral hygiene practices later in childhood and adolescence⁴. The risk factors for the poor oral health of a child include mother's or the family's misconceptions, the level of knowledge and attitudes towards oral health³. Oral health promotion programs provided in the form of guidance and information can significantly reduce the incidence of Early Childhood Caries⁴. The oral health status of children, especially dental caries, is correlated with their parents dental and

oral health-related behaviors.⁵ According to National Oral Health Survey (NOHS) results in 2002/2003⁶ the prevalence of dental caries in deciduous teeth of 6-year-old children was 65.3% and the prevalence of active caries 63.5%. Only 1.8% of the 5-year-old children had been treated for caries teeth. Parents' perceptions about maintaining good oral health of children and the importance they place on the deciduous dentition largely determine the oral health status of children. In the urban setting the oral health knowledge of mothers is sound as their level of literacy is better and the availability and accessibility of oral health care services are substantial¹⁰. However, this cannot be said about the rural community⁸. Therefore this could be a contributing factor for the lower level of dental caries in the urban areas as compared to rural areas⁹. The aim of the present study was to test if we could improve the oral health of children by educating mothers.

METHODS

It was decided for the purpose of this study to use only the treatment need criteria for dental caries, as this is the most common oral health problem among preschool children. The study recruited 116 participants.

Study Design: Hospital based cross sectional study.

Study Subjects: Among total of 116 children enrolled in my study 55 were males and 61 was females.

Inclusion Criteria: Children with complete primary teeth eruption in age group 3-7 years.

Exclusion Criteria: Children above 8 years of age

Data Collection Tool: Started from July 2014 to July September 2014.

Statistical Analysis: The following formula was used to calculate the sample size, where α is the level of significance used for detecting a difference of the type I error- (0.05) and β is type II error 0.1 when power is set at 0.9.

$$n = P1 (100-P1) + P2 (100-P2) \times f (\alpha \beta) (P2-P1)^2$$

Methodology: Each child’s oral health was measured using dental caries and oral hygiene as indices. Dental caries was measured with the WHO standard criteria for detecting dental caries along with modifications suggested by the National Institute for Dental Research for detecting non-cavitated lesions⁹.

The most commonly used indices to measure oral hygiene status of children are either the Visible Plaque index (VPI) or the gingival bleeding index^{12, 14} and Partial mouth recording is considered to be adequate for surveys in which a degree of underestimation is an acceptable trade-off for lower costs¹⁵. To arrive at a VPI, the mean scores for the index teeth were calculated for each individual. Use of a mean value is debatable, as the different index classes are not equidistant. Training of mothers involved hands-on practical sessions with the PI and a dental public health specialist. Their knowledge and skills were developed using day to day case scenarios and role plays. They were taught how to examine the oral cavity under normal daylight conditions to detect dental caries and visible plaque.

RESULTS

Only 5% of mothers among the study group had university or other higher education qualifications.

Estimated Sample Size	Number participated	
	N	%
Males	61	53.4
Females	55	46.6

Table 1 : Distribution of preschool children in the study

Prevalence	Pre Intervention	Post Intervention	Significance
Decayed tooth without cavitation	41.01%(45)	19.18%(21)	0.0001
Decayed tooth with cavitation	27.40%(30)	26.48%(29)	0.0001
Caries prevalence	68.49%(75)	45.66%(50)	0.008
Prevalence of Dental Plaque	86.30%(94)	80.82%(83)	0.0105

Table 2 Comparison of Dental Caries experience before and after intervention

VPI	Pre Intervention		Post Intervention		Significance
	N	%	N	%	
0	16	13.7	23	19.2	0.0001
0.1-0.9	25	19.2%	50	44.3	0.0001
1.00-2.00	55	47.9%	39	34.2	0.0001
>2.00	19	17.8%	4	2.3	0.0001

Table 3 Comparison of visible plaque score before and after intervention

Taken together these explain 0.67/1.53, ie 44% of the observed reduction in active carious lesions. The remaining over 50% of the reduction in cavitated carious lesions, and the reduction from 2.1 to 1.83 in mean scores for non-cavitated lesions, may be attributed to better oral health care within families, and this to our health promotion intervention.

DISCUSSION

Our results show after six months from the intervention, the prevalence of caries diminished from 68% to 46%. The main contributor to this reduction was a decline in decayed teeth without cavitation which was reduced substantially from 41% to 19% as indicated in Table 2. According to Haynes (2013), education on practical oral hygiene measures does have the potential to stop the disease process and actively promote re-mineralization of tooth structure¹⁷. The possible reasons for the drop in caries prevalence include i) The serious emphasis given to preventive measures for dental caries; regular and correct brushing with fluoridated toothpaste; and the information of oral health care services provided during the training after the pre-intervention results had been observed¹⁸. ii) The intervention being implemented during a school holiday period was likely to have had an impact since the children could more easily be taken to oral health care services.

When post-intervention data were considered for the identified categories of plaque score the number of children with a plaque score of "0" increased. Encouragingly, the number of children who had plaque scores more than 2.1 were very small opposed to that during the pre-intervention stage. This emphasizes that the availability of oral health services combined with the commitment provided by relevant oral health care personnel, coupled with the motivation of mothers, could create an environment that promotes the oral health of children. In summary, there was an obvious improvement in the oral health status and treatment need of children. The improvements were statistically significant and are attributed to the effects of the intervention targeting mothers of children. It highlights the potential that the above target groups have in promoting the oral health of children, with low-cost preventive strategies.

CONCLUSION

Oral health promotion is a new concept. Even with the unavoidable limitations, this study demonstrates a feasible and cost-effective strategy has been used to promote the oral health of children. Further, available oral health care facilities need to be consistent with the planned intervention otherwise disappointments experienced by the clients will negatively impact on. Incorporating such methods into the existing oral health care system will further enhance future interventions of this nature.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Information Series on School Health. Document 6; 1996. [<http://www.who.int/hpr/>] (Accessed 10.06.2014).
2. Harrison RL, Wong T: An oral health promotion program for an urban minority population of preschool children. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 2003, 31:392-99.
3. De Farias IA, De Araujo SGC, Ferreira MAF: A Health Education program for Brazilian Public School children: The Effects on Dental Health Practice and Oral Health Awareness. *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* 2009, 69 (4): 225-230.
4. Sri Wendari AH, Lambri SE, Van Palensteinhelderman WH: Effectiveness of Primary School-Based Oral Health Education in West Java, Indonesia. *International Dental Journal* 2008, 52:137-43.
5. Wenhall IML, Schroder U, Twetman S: Outcome of an oral health outreach program for preschool children in a low socio-economic multicultural area. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry* 2008, 18:84-90.
6. Rothman KJ, Greenland S: Precision and validity in epidemiological Studies. In *Modern Epidemiology*. 2nd edition. 1998:115-134.
7. Abramson JH: Survey methods in Community Medicine, Epidemiological Research, Programme Evaluation. *Clinical Trials*. Churchill Livingstone; 1999.
8. World Health Organization: *Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods*. 4th edition. Geneva; 1997.
9. Konthasinghe PP: An evaluation of the outreach programs and some related aspects of the school dental service in Rathnapura district. MD Thesis. University of Colombo, Sri Lanka; 1996.
10. Silness J, Loe H: Periodontal Disease in Pregnancy II. Correlation between Oral Hygiene and Periodontal Condition. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 1964, 22:121-135.
11. Manson JD, Eley BM: Epidemiology of periodontal disease (the size of the problem). In *Outline of Periodontics*. 3rd edition; 1995:105-113.
12. Lo ECM, Schwarz E, Wong MCM: Arresting dentine caries in Chinese preschool children. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry* 1998, 80: 253-260.
13. Goldberg GP, Matsson L, Anderson H: Partial recording of gingivitis and dental plaque in children of different ages and in young adults. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* 1985, 13:44-46.
14. Ramfjord SP, Emslie RD, Greene JC, Held AJ, Waerhaug J: Epidemiological studies of periodontal disease [<http://www.joponline.org/doi/pdf/10.1902/jop.1967.38.6.602>].
15. Department of Census and Statistics-Sri Lanka [<http://www.statistics.gov.lk>].
16. S Haynes: A perspective from the dental industry on minimum intervention dentistry. *Australian Dental Journal* 2013, 58 (1): 66-69.
17. Peterson PE, Kwan S: Evaluation of community-based oral health promotion and oral disease prevention-WHO recommendations for improved evidence in public health practice. *Community Dental Health* 2004(b), 21:319-329.
18. Kesavan R: Evaluation of an intervention to enhance the quality of ante-natal care in the preventive health sector, through implementation of the Total Quality Management strategy at a selected Medical Officer of Health Division. MD Thesis. University of Colombo, Sri Lanka; 2009.