Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies

Journal home page:www.jamdsr.com doi:10.21276/jamdsr Index Copernicus value [ICV] =82.06

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599; (p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

To ascertain the significance of computed tomography (CT) in the assessment of acute cholecystitis

Mukesh Kumar

Associate Professor, Department of Radio Diagnosis, Venkateshwara Institute of Medical Sciences, Gajraula, Dist-Amroha, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Aim: To ascertain the significance of computed tomography (CT) in the assessment of acute cholecystitis. **Materials and Methods:**The research included data from individuals who were diagnosed with acute cholecystitis on Computed Tomography (CT) during the study period. The research used a sample size of 100. MDCT scanners (16 Slice Simens Healthcare systems) were used to acquire Computed Tomography (CT) pictures of cases. Subsequent contrast-enhanced pictures were acquired with the patient holding their breath briefly after receiving an intravenous injection of 2 mL/kg of nonionic iodinated contrast material at a rate of 2.5–2.8 mL/s using a power injector, 65 seconds beforehand. **Results:** A total of 100 participants, ranging in age from 20 to 80 years, were participated in this research. The most often reported symptoms are abdominal discomfort (84%), followed by nausea and vomiting (28%). Leukocytosis was seen in 65% of the individuals. The presence of pericholecystic inflammatory alterations was the most frequently seen CT sign, occurring in 83% of cases. Subsequently, there was an occurrence of gall bladder distention (72%), thickening of the gall bladder wall (71%), increased blood flow to the gall bladder mucosa (55%), and detection of gall stones (35%). Additionally, there was stretching of the gall bladder fundus (36%), an inflammatory response causing increased blood flow (35%), and the presence of fluid collections around the gall bladder (28%). Perforation and abscess development were the prevailing complications. **Conclusion:** Computed tomography (CT) is the preferred imaging technique for diagnosing acute cholecystitis and its related problems in the emergency room owing to its widespread accessibility. Computed Tomography (CT) has shown its significance as a crucial diagnostic technique in assessing abdominal discomfort.

Keywords: CT, Cholecystitis, Gall bladder distention, Wall thickening

Received: 21-10-2019 Accepted: 23-11-2019

Corresponding author: Mukesh Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Radio Diagnosis, Venkateshwara Institute of Medical Sciences, Gajraula, Dist-Amroha, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Kumar M. To ascertain the significance of computed tomography (CT) in the assessment of acute cholecystitis. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2019;7(12):328-330.

INTRODUCTION

Gallstone disease, namely cholelithiasis and acute cholecystitis (AC), is becoming a significant source of gastrointestinal pain and discomfort in emerging nations. The prevalence of this condition has been seen to be much higher, at around 7.4%, among the adult population residing in the cities of Chandigarh and New Delhi in North India. Notably, this incidence is seven times more common compared to the adult population in South India. Gallstones pose a substantial health concern in modern cultures as well, impacting around 10-15% of the adult population. This equates to around 20 to 25 million Americans who now have or will acquire gallstones. The United States has an annual incidence of around 220,000 cases of cholecystitis that need surgical intervention. Cholelithiasis, which refers to the presence of gallstones in the gallbladder, varies in frequency throughout Europe. In Italy, the incidence is 5.9%, whereas in Norway it is 21.9%. Cholelithiasis is well recognized as the main cause of cholecystitis, which is inflammation of the gallbladder[1-3]. Furthermore, cholecystitis is also one of the most frequent causes of hospitalizationand abdominal surgery. Gallstones are much more common in thefemale population (61%) compared to males (39%). The age groupmost affected is 45-60 years (38.5%) among females, and above 60years in males (20.8%). A relatively higher prevalence of 39% among males when compared to reports from past studies indicates a significant shift in the pattern of prevalence of gallstone disease.CT findings of AC include the presence of gallstones,

gallbladder distension with diffuse wall thickening, increase in wall enhancement and oedema of pericholecystic fat. Studies show that among these findings the most common are: wall thickening (59%), pericholecystic fat oedema (52%), gallbladder distension (41%), and pericholecystic fluid (31%). One of the main limitations to the CT evaluation of AC is the decreased sensitivity in comparison to the US for detecting cholelithiasis. Mixed gallstones containing cholesterol and gallbladder pigments have similar attenuation values to the biliary salts present within the gallbladder lumen, therefore, limiting CT visualization.Complications of cholecystitis have generally decreased due to earlier diagnosis and treatment. It remains necessary to learn to recognize the presence of CT in AC given the potential high morbidity, and possible mortality from associated complications[4-6].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research included data from individuals who were diagnosed with acute cholecystitis on Computed Tomography (CT) over the specified study period. A total of 100 participants were included in the sample for this investigation. The diagnosis of cholecystitis was validated using histology. Individuals without a confirmed diagnosis were excluded from the research. MDCT scanners (16 Slice Simens Healthcare systems) were used to acquire Computed Tomography (CT) images of the cases. Following the intravenous delivery of 2 mL/kg of nonionic iodinated contrast material at a rate of 2.5–2.8 mL/s using a power injector, further contrast-enhanced pictures were acquired while the patient held their breath for a brief period of time after 65 seconds. Computed

Tomography CT parameters used were:

1. Slice thickness, 5 mm;
2. Tube voltage, 120 kV;
3. Tube current-exposure 80-700 mAs.

All images were reviewed on "Zillion" Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS) Computed Tomography CT signs for acute cholecystitis applied for study.

A. Gall bladder distention: gall bladder measured more than 8 cm in the long axis.
B. Wall thickening: more than 0.3 cm in the non-collapsed gall bladder.
C. Reactive hyperemia (presence of increased enhancement of the hepatic parenchyma adjacent to gall bladder fossa, visualized in a dedicated liver window).
D. Positive Tensile fundus sign (absence of flattening of the gall bladder fundus by contact with the anterior abdominal wall).
E. Positive pericholecystic inflammatory changes (Stranding of adjacent mesenteric fat or visualization of fluid).

Results were calculated in Microsoft Excel sheet and analyzed using SPSS software.

RESULTS

A total of 100 participants, ranging in age from 20 to 80 years, were participated in this research. The most often reported symptoms are abdominal discomfort (84%), followed by nausea and vomiting (28%). Leukocytosis was seen in 65% of the individuals. The presence of pericholecystic inflammatory alterations was the most prevalent CT feature, occurring in 83% of cases. Subsequently, there was an occurrence of gall bladder distention in 72% of cases, wall thickening in 71% of cases, augmentation of gall bladder mucosa in 55% of cases, visibility of gall stones in 35% of cases, tensile gall bladder fundus in 36% of cases, reactive hyperemia in 35% of cases, and Penicholecystic fluid collections in 28% of cases. Perforation and abscess development were the most prevalent complications.

Table 1: Age Distribution

Age Group	Number	Percentage
31-40	14	14
41-50	20	20
51-60	21	21
61-70	34	34
71-80	11	11

Table 2: CT Observations

CT Observations	Percentage
Pericholecystic inflammatory changes	83%
Gallbladder distention	72%
Wall thickening	71%
Enhancement of gallbladder mucosa	55%
Visualization of gallstones	35%
Tensile gallbladder fundus	36%
Reactive hyperemia	35%
Penicholecystic fluid collections	28%

DISCUSSION

Imaging plays a crucial role in assessing acute cholecystitis. Although Cholescintigraphy and ultrasound have been widely accepted as effective methods for detecting acute cholecystitis, with sensitivities of up to 92% and 80% respectively, Computed Tomography (CT) is still not fully validated as an imaging technique for suspected instances of acute cholecystitis. Certain individuals with acute cholecystitis may not exhibit the typical indications and symptoms. Additionally, because to the extensive range of potential diagnoses, Computed Tomography (CT) scans are often used to identify Intraabdominal abscess or other indications of inflammation inside the abdomen. Our research found that pericholecystic inflammation and stranding were the most often seen findings (83%). However, these findings have limited significance as indicators of cholecystitis. The existence of cholecystitis might be indicated by the continued accumulation of fat around the gallbladder. While it is often assumed to indicate the presence of edema, it might also be attributed to inflammation, bile, or congested blood vessels[8]. The second most common finding was Gallbladder distension (72%), and it was more common in patients with calculus cholecystitis.[8]But this finding is contrary to the findings of Yokoe M et al., who found that gallbladder distension had a poor correlation with calculus cholecystitis. The next common finding was gallbladder wall thickening (71%). But gallbladder wall thickening is a nonspecific finding and may occur in a variety of conditions including hepatitis, hypoproteinemia. Furthermore, the normal gallbladder wall may appear spuriously thickened if the Gallbladder is collapsed[6]. Penicholecystic fluid collections (28%) may represent either localized peritonitis or micro-perforation. In the study by An C et al. of complicated cholecystitis, they found pericholecystic fluid collections with evidence of perforation at the surgery[8]. Gall bladder distention, increased wall thickness and mucosal hyperenhancement followed in order after pericholecystic inflammatory changes, similar to signs previously reported in published literature. A least common finding in this study was reactive hyperemia of liver parenchyma with previous literature suggesting that there is little importance of reactive hepatic hyperemia in the diagnosis of acute cholecystitis. Computed Tomography CT scanning is widely accepted as a modality of choice in evaluating complications of cholecystitis such as gangrenous and emphysematous cholecystitis, gall bladder perforation, abscess formation and gall stone ileus. Although Computed Tomography CT yet has not surpassed the established diagnostic abilities of ultrasound, a detailed understanding of its signs is essential for improving the confidence of both radiologists as well as referring physicians in the use of this modality. Limitations of this study include cases were also diagnosed on histopathology. Hence theremay be a chance of false positives findings of Computed Tomography CT. Further work needs to be done in this topic for better understanding of Computed Tomography CT as an imaging modality for acute cholecystitis.

CONCLUSION

CT is the preferred imaging method for diagnosing acute cholecystitis and its related consequences in the emergency room since it is widely accessible. Computed Tomography (CT) has shown its significance as a crucial diagnostic technique in assessing abdominal discomfort. An assessment of CT indicators in diagnosing acute cholecystitis can enhance diagnostic certainty in acute cholecystitis and aid in distinguishing it from other conditions. CT is valuable for assessing the complications associated with acute cholecystitis, including emphysematous cholecystitis, gangrenous cholecystitis, hemorrhage, and gallstone ileus.

REFERENCES

1. Stinton, L. M.,and Shaffer, E. A. Epidemiology of gallbladder disease: cholelithiasis and cancer. Gut and liver, 2012;6(2):172.
2. Pinto, A., Reginelli, A., Cagini, L., Coppolino, F., Ianora, A. A.S.,Bracale, R.,and Romano, L.Accuracy of ultrasonography in the diagnosis.2013:23
3. Tsai J, Sulkowski JP, Cooper JN, Mattei P, Deans KJ, Minneci PC.Sensitivity and predictive value of ultrasound in pediatric cholecystitis. J Surg Res. 2013;184:378–382.
4. Shakespear, J. S., Shaaban, A. M., and Rezvani, M. CT findings of acute cholecystitis and its complications. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2010;194(6): 1523-1529.
5. Leschka, S., Alkadhi, H., Wildermuth, S., and Marincek, B. Multi-detector computed tomography of acute abdomen. European radiology, 2005;15(12):2435- 2447.
6. Kiewiet JJ, Leeuwenburgh MM, Bipat S, Bossuyt PM, Stoker J, Boermeester MA. A systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic performance of imaging in acute cholecystitis. Radiology. 2012;264:708–720.
7. Yokoe M, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Solomkin JS, Mayumi T, Gomi H, et al.. New diagnostic criteria and severity assessment of acute cholecystitis in revised Tokyo Guidelines. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2012;19(5):578- 85. (Guideline).
8. An, C., Park, S., Ko, S., Park, M. S., Kim, M. J., and Kim, K. W. Usefulness of the tensile gallbladder fundus sign in the diagnosis of early acute cholecystitis. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2013(2), 340-346.