ORIGINAL ARTICLE

To determine the Prevalence of Dry Eyes in Diabetic Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre

Neetu Gagneja

Assistant Professor, Department of Opthalmology, Muzaffarnagar Medical College, Muzaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Aim: To determine the Prevalence of Dry Eyes in Diabetic Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre. **Materials and methods:** A total of 100 diabetic patients, who reported to the department of ophthalmology were enrolled in the present study. Complete demographic details of all the patients were obtained. Recording visual acuity with snellen's chart followed by slit lamp biomicroscopic examination was done. Tear film break up time (TBUT) was done in all the patients by instilling a 2% fluorescein dye into the inferior conjuctival fornix and allowing blinking several times before stopping. A value less than 10 seconds was taken as abnormal. **Results:** In the present study, a total of 100 diabetic patients were analyzed. Mean age of the patients of the present study was 57.25 ± 3.69 years. 37 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 51 to 60 years. 34 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 61 to 70 years. In the present study, among diabetic patients, prevalence of dry eyes was found to be 56 percent. While correlating the prevalence of dry eyes with age-wise distribution of patients, non-significant results were obtained. However; significant results were obtained while correlating the prevalence of dry eyes, with duration of diabetes. **Conclusion**: It can be concluded that significant proportion of diabetic population is affected by dry eyes, with its prevalence being directly proportional to the duration of diabetes. **Keywords:** TBUT, Dry Eyes, Diabetic Patients

Corresponding author: Neetu Gagneja, Assistant Professor, Department of Opthalmology, Muzaffarnagar Medical College, Muzaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Gagneja N. To determine the Prevalence of Dry Eyes in Diabetic Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2016;4(4):328-330.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is frequently accompanied by microvascular complications like nephropathy, neuropathy and retinopathy. Neuropathy affects multiple systems, such as cardiovascular, genitourinary and gastrointestinal. The development of retinopathy is correlated with poor glycemic control and duration of diabetes[1-3].Dry eye (DE) is a multifactorial pathology characterized by a progressive dysfunction of the lacrimal and meibomian glands that typically lead to decreased aqueous tear production and increased tear evaporation, respectively[4-6]. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates that the global diabetes epidemic continues increasing. While diabetic retinopathy (DR) and diabetic cataracts are well-known complications, dry eye syndrome (DES), also referred to as keratoconjunctivitis sicca, is also common in the diabetic population. Studies have indicated 54% prevalence of asymptomatic and symptomatic DES, in diabetes. However, the relationship between diabetes and DES still remains unclear[5-7]. Hence; the present study was undertaken for assessing prevalence of dry eyes in diabetic patients.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology and it included assessment of prevalence of dry eyes in diabetic patients. Ethical approval was obtained from institutional ethical committee before the starting of the study. A total of 100 diabetic patients, who reported to the department of ophthalmology were enrolled in the present study. Complete demographic details of all the patients were obtained. Recording visual acuity with snellen's chart followed by slit lamp biomicroscopic examination was done. Tear film break up time (TBUT) was done in all the patients by instilling a 2% fluorescein dye into the inferior conjuctival fornix and allowing blinking several times before stopping. A value less than 10 seconds was taken as abnormal. All the result was recorded in Microsoft excel sheet and were analysed by SPSS 21.0 software. Chi- square test was used for assessment of level of significance.

RESULTS

In the present study, a total of 100 diabetic patients were analyzed. Mean age of the patients of the present study was 57.25 ± 3.69 years. 37 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 51 to 60 years. 34 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 61 to 70 years. Out of 100, 65 were males while the remaining 35 were females. In 48 percent of the patients, duration of diabetes was more than 10 years. In 27 percent of the patients, duration of diabetes was 5 to 10 years.

In the present study, among diabetic patients, prevalence of dry eyes was found to be 56 percent.

While correlating the prevalence of dry eyes with agewise distribution of patients, non-significant results were obtained. However; significant results were obtained while correlating the prevalence of dry eyes with duration of diabetes.

Table 1: Age-wise distribution of patients

Age group (years)	Number	%
40 to 50	15	15
51 to 60	37	37
61 to 70	34	34
More than 70	14	14
Total	100	100
Mean age	57.25±3	.69

Table 2: Duration of diabetes

Duration of diabetes	Number	%
Less than 5 years	27	27
5 to 10 year	25	25
More than 10 years	48	48
Total	100	100

Table 3: Prevalence of dry eyes according to tear film break up time

Tear Film Break Up Time	Range/ Score	n	%	
Positive	<10 seconds	56	56	
Negative	≥10 seconds	44	44	

Table 4: Correlation of dry eyes with age

Age group	Dry eyes		p- value
(years)	Present=56	Absent=44	
40 to 50	9	6	0.21
51 to 60	21	16	
61 to 70	18	16	
More than 70	8	6	

Table 5: Correlation of dry eyes with duration of diabetes

Duration of diabetes	Dry eyes		p- value
	Present=56	Absent=44	
Less than 5 years	12	15	0.001
5 to 10 year	15	10	
More than 10	29	19	

DISCUSSION

Diabetic patients with dry eye may have the same symptoms as DES without diabetes. The symptoms consist of a gritty sensation, soreness, decreased visual acuity, photophobia, itching, decreased goblet cell density and corneal sensitivity, and tearing and pain concomitant with abnormalities in TUBUT, Schirmer's test, and corneal staining. More severe cases may be complicated by corneal lesions, conjunctivitis, keratopathy, and inflammation[5,6]. Dry eye is an important contributor to these problems. Dry eye syndrome has many causes. One of the most common reasons for dryness is aging process. The mechanism responsible for dry eyes is unclear, but autonomic dysfunction may be responsible. Aldose reductase, the first enzyme of the sorbitol pathway, may also be involved. The oral administration of aldose reductase inhibitors has been shown to improve the tear dynamics significantly. Dry eye disease is a

frequent cause of ocular irritation for which patients seek ophthalmic care. In recent years dry eye is considered as an extremely common condition that causes varying degrees of ocular discomfort and disability[5]. Hence; the present study was undertaken for assessing prevalence of dry eyes in diabetic patients. In the present study, a total of 100 diabetic patients were analyzed. Mean age of the patients of the present study was 57.25 ± 3.69 years. 37 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 51 to 60 years. 34 percent of the patients belonged to the age group of 61 to 70 years. Out of 100, 65 were males while the remaining 35 were females. In 48 percent of the patients, duration of diabetes was more than 10 years. In 27 percent of the patients, duration of diabetes was less than 5 years. In 25 percent of the patients, duration of diabetes was 5 to 10 years. Chalmers RL et al determined the prevalence of dry

Chalmers RL et al determined the prevalence of dry eye in ophthalmology out-patients at a Tertiary Care Hospital and its association with various clinicoepidemiological factors. The mean age of the study population was 58.6 years. The overall prevalence of dry eye was found to be 56%. An association was found between dry eye prevalence and outdoor workers, participants working indoor using air conditioners, housewives, diabetics, patients who have undergone previous ocular surgery and those with meibomian gland dysfunction. Dry eye is a very common condition with a high prevalence among the elderly[8]. Abetz L et al determined the diagnostic performance of tear osmolarity in diagnosis of dry eye disease by using tear lab osmolarity system in people with type 2 diabetes, and to compare it with common diagnostic tests already available in clinical practice. Two hundreds forty three people with type 2 diabetes were included. Tear osmolarity was measured with the tear osmolarity system. The 308 mOsm/L cutoff was used to diagnose dry eye disease. The prevalence of dry eye disease detected by the tear osmolarity test was 27.7%. It was as follows for the other common diagnostic tests: OSDI (17.7%), Schirmer I test (33%), TFBUT (41%), Rose Bengal (11%), and Fluorescein staining (4%). Tear osmolarity could be considered as the best single test for detection of dry eye disease in people with type2 diabetes[9]. In the present study, among diabetic patients, prevalence of dry eyes was found to be 56 percent. While correlating the prevalence of dry eyes with age-wise distribution of patients, non-significant results were obtained. However; significant results were obtained while correlating the prevalence of dry eyes with duration of diabetes. Wang J et al compared the dry eve symptoms and signs between diabetics and nondiabetics and tear functions between diabetic subjects with and without dry eye. 88 subjects were found to report diabetes mellitus. A group of 88, age and gender matched, control subjects were included for this comparison study. The percentage of dry eye symptoms was higher in diabetic subjects (15.9%) compared with non-diabetic subjects (13.6%; p<0.001). The percentage of dry eye symptoms was also higher in diabetics with dry eye (63%) than in diabetics without dry eye (36.9%; p<0.001). Tear break up time was significantly shorter in diabetics with dry eye compared to diabetics without dry eye[10]. Guillon M et al determined the risk factors and treatment of dry eye disease in type 1 diabetes before any ocular surface or corneal complication occurs. Hundred confirmed cases of type 1 diabetes were included in the study by non-probability convenience sampling. Tear film breakup time and schrimer test were carried out to determine dry eye disease. Out of hundred patients, 71 (71%) were found to have dry eyes (P<0.001). The mean age of the subject in this study was 50.97 years (range 30-70 years). Old age was related to high risk of dry eye disease. There was no big difference in the incidence of dry eyes in males and females. Long duration was found to be related with increased occurrence of dry

eyes (P<0.001). They recommended having periodic ophthalmic examination for type 1 diabetic patients[11].

CONCLUSION

From the above results, it can be concluded that significant proportion of diabetic population is affected by dry eyes, with its prevalence being directly proportional to the duration of diabetes.

REFERENCES

- Manaviat MR, Rashidi M, Afkhami-Ardekani M, Shoja MR. Prevalence of dry eye syndrome and diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients. BMC Ophthalmol. 2008;8:article 10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2415-8-10, PMID 18513455.
- Gayton JL. Etiology, prevalence, and treatment of dry eye disease. Clin Ophthalmol. 2009;3:405-12. doi: 10.2147/opth.s5555, PMID 19688028.
- Goebbels M. Tear secretion and tear film function in insulin dependent diabetics. Br J Ophthalmol. 2000;84(1):19-21. doi: 10.1136/bjo.84.1.19, PMID 10611093.
- Denoyer A, Rabut G, Baudouin C. Tear film aberration dynamics and vision-related quality of life in patients with dry eye disease. Ophthalmology. 2012;119(9):1811-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.03.004, PMID 22591770.
- 5. Seifart U, Strempel I. The dry eye and diabetes mellitus. Ophthalmologe. 1994;91(2):235-9. PMID 8012143.
- Afonso AA, Monroy D, Stern ME, Feuer WJ, Tseng SC, Pflugfelder SC. Correlation of tear fluorescein clearance and Schirmer test scores with ocular irritation symptoms. Ophthalmology. 1999;106(4):803-10. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90170-7, PMID 10201606.
- Torkildsen G. The effects of lubricant eye drops on visual function as measured by the Inter-blink interval Visual Acuity Decay test. Clin Ophthalmol. 2009;3:501-6. doi: 10.2147/opth.s6225, PMID 19789659.
- Chalmers RL, Begley CG, Caffery B. Validation of the 5-Item Dry Eye Questionnaire (DEQ-5): discrimination across self-assessed severity and aqueous tear deficient dry eye diagnoses. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2010;33(2):55-60. doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2009.12.010, PMID 20093066.
- Abetz L, Rajagopalan K, Mertzanis P, Begley C, Barnes R, Chalmers R, et al. Development and validation of the impact of dry eye on everyday life (IDEEL) questionnaire, a patient-reported outcomes (PRO) measure for the assessment of the burden of dry eye on patients. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2011;9:111. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-9-111, PMID 22152125.
- Wang J, Palakuru JR, Aquavella JV. Correlations among upper and lower tear menisci, noninvasive tear break-up time, and the Schirmer test. Am J Ophthalmol. 2008;145(5):795-800. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2007.12.035, PMID 18328997.
- Guillon M, Maïssa C. Tear film evaporation effect of age and gender. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2010;33(4):171-5. doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2010.03.002, PMID 20382067.