

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

### A study on Pain management in patients undergoing radiation therapy for head and neck cancer

Simanta Kumar Behera

Assistant Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

#### ABSTRACT:

**Introduction:** The harmful effects of radiation therapy (RT) and chemotherapy can cause inflammation of the oral and oropharyngeal mucous membranes, which is known as oral mucositis. These therapies can be used singly or in combination. They might cause excruciating symptomatology. This article addresses the treatment of somatic pain brought on by radiation therapy (RT). **Materials and Methods:** Recruitment of patients for the study was based on referred patients from the oncology clinic to the PRC prior to RT. Registration of received referrals to the PRC varied from two weeks before RT and two weeks into the start of RT treatment. The intention was to recruit the patient for the study before pain arose related to RT and mucositis. Regarding the patients who underwent surgery before RT, all had recovered from wound and postoperative pain at inclusion in the study. **Results:** The mean age of the participants was 66 years. Most patients were men (61.2%) and a majority were smokers or former smokers (59%). The most common cancer site was the pharynx (40.9%) and the most commonly occurring cancer treatment was a combination of surgery and RT (41.1%). **Conclusion:** This real-life study indicates that severe RT-related pain in HNC patients is not a fatality.

**Keywords:** Oncology, mucositis, Postoperative pain, Radiation therapy

**Corresponding author:** Simanta Kumar Behera, Assistant Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

**This article may be cited as:** Behera SK. A study on Pain management in patients undergoing radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2016;4(4):316-319.

#### INTRODUCTION

The collection of disorders known as head and neck cancer is diverse in terms of occurrence, management, and prognosis.<sup>1</sup> Chemotherapy, radiotherapy (RT), and surgery are possible treatments for HNC, and they can be used in different combinations.<sup>2</sup> Two grey (Gy) per day, five days a week, for a total cumulative dose of 50–70 Gy is a typical RT protocol.<sup>2</sup> Patients receiving treatment for HNC experience a variety of psychological and physical symptoms that adversely affect day-to-day functioning, such as discomfort, xerostomia, depression, and swallowing difficulties.<sup>3</sup> The National Comprehensive Cancer Network Task Force reports that the most frequent physical side effects associated with cancer treatment include nausea, vomiting, and mucositis.<sup>4</sup> The harmful effects of radiation therapy (RT) and chemotherapy can cause inflammation of the oral and oropharyngeal mucous membranes, which is known as oral mucositis.<sup>5</sup> These therapies can be used singly or in combination. They might cause excruciating symptomatology. This article addresses the treatment of somatic pain brought on by chemotherapy or radiation therapy (RT).

It can be challenging to provide patients with HNC who have RT-related oral mucositis with sufficient pain management, according to previous research.<sup>6–11</sup> The Pain and Rehabilitation Centre (PRC), University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden has developed local guidelines for this patient population that follow the WHO ladder, which includes paracetamol, non-steroid anti-inflammatory

medications (NSAIDs), and opioid analgesics.<sup>12</sup> In addition, every HNC patient receives weekly oral health examinations from the hospital dentist and uses a daily mouthwash containing mycostatin and lidocaine hydrochloride. Gabapentinoids, such as pregabalin, can be used to provide adjuvant analgesia when the pain mechanism is thought to be neuropathic.<sup>11</sup> The adverse effects of opioid medication, including constipation, nausea, dry mouth, and decreased alertness, are discussed.<sup>9, 13</sup> When swallowing problems are present, fentanyl patches are typically administered to minimise adverse effects such as nausea and constipation.<sup>13</sup> and fentanyl patches are the most popular opioid option at PRC for this patient population.

In a cohort of patients with HNC having RT, this long-term study assessed the impact of the aforementioned local recommendations on clinical practice and looked at the connections between opioid dosages, oral mucositis, and pain.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The results showed no statistical difference between the control and intervention group regarding pain, QoL, and psychological aspects. Likewise, no significant difference was seen regarding gender and age between the groups.<sup>23</sup> Thus, in this paper, we could consider these two groups as a unified group to study and analyse the issue of the current study.

During 2016–2017, patients with HNC undergoing RT were recruited by the PRC. Recruitment of

patients for the study was based on referred patients from the oncology clinic to the PRC prior to RT. Registration of received referrals to the PRC varied from two weeks before RT and two weeks into the start of RT treatment. The intention was to recruit the patient for the study before pain arose related to RT and mucositis.

Regarding the patients who underwent surgery before RT, all had recovered from wound and postoperative pain at inclusion in the study. That is, according to the local guideline at the local oncology clinic, 6–8 weeks would pass before the start of radiation therapy. Patients became eligible for PRC after referral from the oncologist as expected pain in connection with RT often requires structured pain treatment.

In connection with the first appointment with the responsible research nurses (RN) at PRC, which took place within 1–

2 weeks after start of RT, all eligible patients received written and oral information as well as offer to participate in the study. Eligible patients were 18 years old or older, able to read, write, and understand Swedish, and scheduled to receive curative RT for HNC. Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

Assessment of oral mucositis: Oral mucositis was diagnosed according to WHO mucositis index score, as evaluated weekly by a specialist dentist at the University Hospital. The WHO mu-

citis index measures the severity of mucositis on a five-point scale ranging from 0 (normal) to 4 (severe). The result was documented in the medical record. Once a week, two RN reviewed the degree of mucositis in the medical record.

## STATISTICAL METHODS

Data were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive data are presented as median (minimum–maximum). For inferential statistics, non-parametric tests were used: (1) for comparisons between two independent groups, the Mann–Whitney U test or, for categorical data, the Chi-square test; (2) for correlations between two variables, Variables with  $p(\text{corr})$  values  $>0.5$  were considered “significant”.

## RESULTS

The analysis included 83 consecutive cases of patients with various HNC (Table 1, see “Total” column). The mean age of the participants was 66 years. Most patients were men (61.2%) and a majority were smokers or former smokers (59%). The most common cancer site was the pharynx (40.9%) and the most commonly occurring cancer treatment was a combination of surgery and RT (41.1%).

All participants were scheduled to receive RT for their cancer, with a minimum prescribed radiation dose of 50 Gy and a maximum dose of 68 Gy (10 Gy per week).

**Table 1: Socio-demographic, clinical, and treatment data of 83 patients with HNC and comparison between pain and mucositis groups.**

Variables	Total	In-depth analysis at the cumulative dose of RT 51–60 Gray			
		Mild pain group <sup>a</sup>	Moderate-to-severe pain group <sup>b</sup>	Grade of mucositis <sup>c</sup> 0–1	Grade of mucositis <sup>c</sup> 2–4
Participants, n	83	52	31	34	49
Age in years					
Median (min–max)	68 (37–87)	68 (43–87)	67 (58–80)	65 (49–79)	68 (37–87)
Sex, n (%)					
Female	34 (40.9)	22 (64.7)	12 (35.2)	14 (41.1)	20 (58.8)
Male	49 (59.0)	30 (61.2)	19 (38.7)	20 (40.8)	29 (59.1)
Smoking habits, n (%)					
Non-smokers	32 (38.5)	22 (68.7)	10 (31.2)	12 (37.5)	20 (62.5)
Smokers	17 (20.4)	10 (58.8)	7 (41.1)	5 (29.4)	12 (70.5)
Ex-smokers	34 (40.9)	20 (58.8)	14 (41.1)	17 (50)	17 (50)
Cancer site, n (%)					
Oralcavity	19 (22)	11 (57)	8 (43)	8 (36)	11 (64)
Pharynx	31 (41)	19 (62)	12 (38)	7 (15)	24 (85)
Larynx	11 (10)	7 (83)	4 (17)	4 (67)	5 (33)
Others	22 (27)	15 (76)	7 (24)	13 (65)	9 (35)
Cancer treatment, n (%)					
RT only	11 (13.2)	9 (81.8)	2 (18.1)	5 (45.4)	6 (54.5)
RT with chemotherapy	16 (19.2)	10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)	3 (18.7)	13 (81.2)
RT and surgery	27 (32.5)	17 (62.9)	10 (37)	14 (51.8)	13 (48.1)
RT with chemotherapy and surgery	9 (10.8)	6 (66.6)	3 (33.3)	2 (22.9)	7 (77.7)
Cumulative dose of radiotherapy, gray, median, (min–max)	69 (51–69)	69 (51–69)	69 (51–69)	69 (51–69)	69 (51–69)

Opioid use yes/no (%)					
Yes (%)	59 (71)	35 (59.3)	24 (40.6)	20 (33.8)	39 (66.1)
No (%)	24 (28.9)	17 (70.8)	7 (29.1)	14 (58.3)	10 (41.6)
Opioid use (mg/day) <sup>d</sup> , median (min–max)	85 (0–431)	61 (0–431)	121 (0–401)	23 (0–150)	121 (0–431)
Concomitant opioid and NSAID use					
Yes (%)	36 (43.3)	21 (58.3)	15 (41.6)	13 (36.1)	23 (63.8)
No (%)	47 (56.6)	31 (65.9)	16 (34)	21 (44.6)	26 (55.3)
Acetaminophen use					
Yes (%)	63 (75.9)	40 (63.4)	23 (36.5)	23 (36.5)	40 (63.4)
No (%)	20 (24)	12 (60)	8 (40)	11 (55)	9 (45)

n, total number of participants; RT, radiotherapy; Ex-smokers, former smokers; OM, oral mucositis; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. <sup>a</sup>Mild pain group = function-related pain (Oral Cancer Pain Questionnaire), numerical rating scale (NRS)  $\leq 4$  at measurement point (MP) 52–61. <sup>b</sup>Moderate-to-severe pain group = function-related pain, NRS  $\geq 5$  at MP 52–61. <sup>c</sup>Grade of mucositis at MP 52–61. <sup>d</sup>Opioid use at MP 52–61.

## DISCUSSION

In addition to focusing on MP 51–60, which was the time point of maximum opioid doses, highest grade of mucositis, and highest pain intensities, we also examined the temporal dynamics involved (longitudinal features).

One of the study's benefits, from a pathophysiological perspective, is that the data was organised based on the cumulative radiation dosage (Gy) rather than the duration (weeks) in which the patients were included. We were able to connect the real radiation dose to the onset of mucositis thanks to this tactic. This study discovered, as anticipated, that radiation dose was associated with the development of mucositis and discomfort in individuals with HNC during RT.

Following local standards for pain management at PRC, the majority of patients (67% at MP 51–60) reported only mild discomfort (NRS 0–4). This result is in line with a previous study that also found that patients with HNC receiving RT experienced minor pain;<sup>14</sup> However, the study did not disclose the tactics employed to alleviate pain, therefore from a pharmacological perspective, its conclusions cannot be applied to guide clinical practise. Therefore, for most HNC patients using RT, sufficient pain management can be achieved. This is not a surprising conclusion given the numerous studies that have demonstrated how challenging it is to treat this patient group's pain.<sup>15, 16</sup> Within two weeks prior to and up to two weeks following RT, every patient was sent to the PRC. Since early intervention was made possible by this early surveillance method, results were likely impacted. Early pain management—that is, giving cancer patients preventive medication during the post-operative phase—has reportedly been linked to better pain control.<sup>17</sup>

As of right now, the literature does not provide enough data to recommend a particular pharmaceutical approach to treating pain in patients with head and neck cancer. Right now, the WHO pain ladder should be followed by these patients, with some additional advice to utilise local medications (such mouthwash containing lidocaine).<sup>18–20</sup> This is

consistent with PRC local recommendations, and one of the study's strengths is that it outlines the results of using this method in clinical settings. The majority of patients with HNC had similar gender, age, and smoking habits, which implies good validity.

Nonetheless, there are clear restrictions. Because of the small sample size ( $n=83$ ) in this uncontrolled investigation, it's possible that the results are not fully representative of populations of individuals with HNC, which restricts how broadly these findings can be applied. In such a tiny sample, detailed subgroup analysis is also challenging. Furthermore, there is a chance that the patients who consented to take part were the healthiest ones (risk of selection bias), which could also have an impact on the external validity. Notably, the patients also experience other stresses that were not measured in the study, such as nausea and vomiting, which can have an impact on the patients' reporting of pain.<sup>3,4</sup> Moreover, pregabalin was only used to treat 16% of the patients. For this patient population, randomised controlled trials evaluating adjuvant pregabalin would be beneficial.

## CONCLUSION

This real-life study indicates that severe RT-related pain in HNC patients is not a fatality. However, further studies are needed to develop better pain treatment strategies for those patients who do develop severe oral mucositis-related pain despite adequate opioid treatment.

## REFERENCES

1. Swedish Head and Neck Cancer Register S, Regional Cancer Centre. Swedish head and neck cancer register, SweHNCr; 2016. Available from: [http://www.cancercentrum.se/globalassets/cancerdiagnoser/huvud-och-hals/kvalitetsregister/arsrapport-swehncr-2015\\_161020\\_slutversion.pdf](http://www.cancercentrum.se/globalassets/cancerdiagnoser/huvud-och-hals/kvalitetsregister/arsrapport-swehncr-2015_161020_slutversion.pdf) [Accessed 4 Sep 2017].
2. Epstein JB, Thariat J, Bensadoun RJ, Barasch A, Murphy BA, Kolnick L, et al. Oral complications of cancer and cancer therapy: from cancer treatment to survivorship. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2012;62:400–22.
3. Babin E, Sigston E, Hitier M, Dehesdin D, Marie JP, Chouss

- yO. Quality of life in head and neck cancer patients: predictive factors, functional and psychosocial outcome. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2008;265:265–70.
4. Bensinger W, Schubert M, Ang KK, Brizel D, Brown E, Eilers JG, et al. NCCN Task Force Report. Prevention and management of mucositis in cancer care. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2008;6(1 Suppl):S1–21; quiz S2–4.
  5. Sonis ST. Oral mucositis. *Anti Canc Drugs* 2011;22:607–12.
  6. Epstein JB, Wilkie DJ, Fischer DJ, Kim YO, Villines D. Neuropathic and nociceptive pain in head and neck cancer patients receiving radiation therapy. *Head Neck Oncol* 2009;1:26.
  7. Elting LS, Keefe DM, Sonis ST, Garden AS, Spijkervet FK, Barasch A, et al. Patient-reported measurements of oral mucositis in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy: demonstration of increased frequency, severity, resistance to palliation, and impact on quality of life. *Cancer* 2008;113:2704–13.
  8. Ling IS, Larsson B. Individualized pharmacological treatment of oral mucositis pain in patients with head and neck cancer receiving radiotherapy. *Support Care Canc* 2011;19:1343–50.
  9. Raber-Durlacher JE, Elad S, Barasch A. Oral mucositis. *Oral Oncol* 2010;46:452–6.
  10. Lalla RV, Sonis ST, Peterson DE. Management of oral mucositis in patients who have cancer. *Dent Clin* 2008;52:61.
  11. Jiang J, Li Y, Shen Q, Rong X, Huang X, Li H, et al. Effect of pregabalin on radiotherapy-related neuropathic pain in patients with head and neck cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2019;37:135–43.
  12. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for the pharmacological and radiotherapeutic management of cancer pain in adults and adolescents. World Health Organization; 2018.
  13. Portenoy RK. Treatment of cancer pain. *Lancet* 2011;377:2236–47.
  14. Astrup GL, Rustøen T, Miasowski C, Paul SM, Bjordal K. Changes in and predictors of pain characteristics in patients with head and neck cancer undergoing radiotherapy. *Pain* 2015;156:967–79.
  15. Lewis S, Salins N, Kadam A, Rao R. Distress screening using distress thermometer in head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy and evaluation of causal factors predicting occurrence of distress. *Indian J Palliat Care* 2013;19:88–92.
  16. Wong PC, Dodd MJ, Miasowski C, Paul SM, Bank KA, Shiba GH, et al. Mucositis pain induced by radiation therapy: prevalence, severity, and use of self-care behaviors. *J Pain Symptom Manag* 2006;32:27–37.
  17. El-Aqoul A, Obaid A, Yacoub E, Al-Najar M, Ramadan M, Darawad M. Factors associated with inadequate pain control among postoperative patients with cancer. *Pain Manag Nurs* 2018;19:130–8.
  18. Mirabile A, Airolidi M, Ripamonti C, Bolner A, Murphy B, Russi E, et al. Pain management in head and neck cancer patients undergoing chemo-radiotherapy: clinical practical recommendations. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2016;99:100–6.
  19. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for the pharmacological and radiotherapeutic management of cancer pain in adults and adolescents. World Health Organization; 2018.
  20. Fallon M, Giusti R, Aielli F, Hoskin P, Rolke R, Sharma M, et al. Management of cancer pain in adult patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29(4 Suppl):iv166–91.