Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com doi: 10.21276/jamdsr Index Copernicus value = 85.10

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-95 (p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Evaluation of age wise periodontal health status in cleft alveolus patients: An original research

¹Hirdepal Singh Brar, ²Akshat Sharma, ³Priyata Ranjan, ⁴Bhupendra Singh Rathore, ⁵Abhimanyu Singh, ⁶Preetham Ravuri

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: Long term health of the stomatognathic system as well as esthetic aspects is the therapeutic goals in patients with oro facial clefts. The aim of this study was to assess and compare the age wise periodontal status of patients with cleft alveolus. We compared the values with the cleft lips, palate. Materials and Methods: The study group consisted of 80 cleft patients. Subjects were divided into three groups. Group 1: patients with cleft lip (CL), Group 2: subjects with cleft palate (CP) and Group 3: subjects with cleft lip alveolus and palate (CLAP). Community Periodontal Index for Treatment needs CPITN Index was recorded. Results: Among the 80 study subjects, 51 (63.8%) were males and 29 (36.2%) were females. Among the 26 study subjects with cleft lip, 10 (38.5%) had healthy periodontium, 4 (15.4%) had bleeding on probing and 12 (46.1%) had calculus. Mean number of sextants coded for healthy and bleeding was maximum among the subjects with cleft lip alveolus and palate. Prevalence of periodontal disease is high among patients with cleft lip, alveolus and palate (35%) than in Cleft lip (32.5%) and Cleft Palate (32.5%). Conclusion: Gingivitis and Calculus is predominantly high in patients with Cleft alveolus Palate and Cleft Lip respectively.

Key words: Cleft Alveolus, Periodontal Status, CPITN

Received: 15 July, 20120 Accepted: 19 August, 2020

Corresponding author: Priyata Ranjan, Assistant Professor, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Sasaram, Bihar, India

This article may be cited as: Brar HS, Sharma A, Ranjan P, Rathore BS, Singh A, Ravuri P. Evaluation of age wise periodontal health status in cleft alveolus patients: An original research. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2020;8(9): 278-281.

INTRODUCTION

Partial fusion of the maxillary processes during the 4th to 12th week of fetal life results in orofacial cleft. Monogenetic or polygenetic inheritance pattern is the most important etiological agent of genetic origin. The other factors contributing to orofacial cleft are alcohol, smoking, antibiotics and X-rays. The variation range from a cleft of lip, palate and alveolar process. Soft tissue deficiency, malformation of teeth and deficiency in jawbone volume are seen in the cleft site of most of the children [1].

The worldwide ratio of cleft lip to palate is 1:600.

Worldwide prevalence of cleft lip was 3.28 per 10,000. Worldwide prevalence of cleft lip and palate was 6.64 per 10,000. The prevalence of newborns is between 27000 to 30000 every year. The Native American tribes are of Montana, USA has the lowest incidence of 1:2076.[2-6]

The risk of developing carious lesions and periodontitis is more in children with cleft lip and palate increased in individuals with cleft lip and palate. High incidence of bleeding on probing and plaque in individuals with cleft lip, palate and alveolus (CLAP) was reported, after the analysis of

¹Consultant OMFS, Faridkot, Punjab, India;

²Consultant Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon, A.S Thakur Multispeciality Hospital, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India;

³Assistant Professor, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Sasaram, Bihar, India;

⁴Senior Lecturer, Dept of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopaedics, College of Dental Science & Hospital Rau, Indore, M.P., India;

⁵P.G student, Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Saraswati Dental College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India;

⁶Consultant Orthodontist & ZCH, Clove Dental, Visakhaptnam, AP., India

progression rate [7-10]. Cumulative periodontal destruction is more in teeth with long supra crestal connective tissue attachment and which is adjacent to the cleft.

Many epidemiological studies have proved that control subjects had good oral health status when compared to cleft subjects. There is no research about oral health status between different cleft types. Hence, the present study was undertaken to assess and compare the periodontal status of patients with cleft lip (CL), cleft palate (CP) and cleft lip, alveolus and palate (CLAP).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in 80 patients with age ranging from 6-18. Ethical approval was obtained from the Scientific Review Board and Institutional Human Ethical Committee. Informed consent was obtained from parents or guardian of study participants. They were divided into three groups: Group 1 consisted of 26 patients with Cleft Lip (CL), Group 2 consisted of 26 patients with Cleft palate (CP) and Group 3 consisted of 28 patients with Cleft Lip Alveolus and Palate (CLAP).

Sample size required for the study was calculated to be N=80. Subjects having purely congenital cleft lip/cleft palate and those having cleft lip, alveolus and palate that was not operated and the systemically healthy subjects were included.

The dental examinations were conducted in a dental chair using a mouth mirror and Community Periodontal Index (CPI) probe. Instruments used were sterilized using standard protocol. Only completely filled forms were considered for analysis.

Periodontal status examination was done according to CPITN Index (WHO 1978).

The data collected was analyzed and tested for significance using statistical software package, SPSS software for windows (version 17.0). Frequency tables were computed. ANOVA test was used to compare the mean scores of CPITN.

RESULTS

[Table 1] depicts the distribution of study subjects according to age and gender. Among the 80 study subjects, 51 (63.8%) were males and 29 (36.2%) were females.

[Table 2] depicts the subject wise distribution of periodontal status based on cleft types. Among the 26 study subjects with cleft lip, 4 (15.4%) had bleeding on probing and 12 (46.1%) had calculus. Among the 26 study subjects with cleft palate, 6 (23%) had bleeding on probing and 7 (27%) had calculus. Among the 28 study subjects with cleft lip alveolus and palate, 5 (17.8%) had bleeding on probing and 11 (39.2%) had calculus. [Table 3] depicts the sextant wise distribution of periodontal status between cleft types. Mean number of sextants coded for healthy and bleeding was maximum among the subjects with cleft palate. Mean number of sextants coded for calculus was maximum among the subjects with cleft lip alveolus and palate.

[Table 4] depicts the distribution of study subjects based on cleft types and treatment needs. Among the 80 study subjects, 15 (18.8%) needed oral hygiene instructions and 30 (37.5%) require oral hygiene instructions and oral prophylaxis.

Table 1: Distribution of the study subjects

		Group 1		Gro	up 2	Group 3		
Age	Gender	n	%	n	%	n	%	
	Male	4	22.2	6	35.2	2	12.5	
6-8 y	Female	1	12.5	1	11.1	1	8.3	
	Male	7	38.8	6	35.2	1	6.2	
9-11 y	Female	5	62.5	5	55.6	6	50	
	Male	3	16.8	5	29.6	6	37.5	
12-15 y	Female	0	0	1	11.1	1	8.3	
	Male	4	22.2	0	0	7	43.8	
16-18 y	Female	2	25	2	22.2	4	33.4	
	Male	18	22.5	17	21.3	16	20	
Total	Female	8	10	9	11.2	12	15	

Table 2: Distribution of periodontal status based on cleft types

		Periodontal status								Total		
	hea	lthy Bleeding		Calculus		Shallow pocket		Deep pocket				
Cleft types	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Group 1	10	38.5	4	15.4	12	46.1	0	0	0	0	26	100
Group 2	13	50	6	23	7	27	0	0	0	0	26	100
Group 3	12	43	5	17.8	11	39.2	0	0	0	0	28	100

Table 3: Sextant wise distribution of periodontal conditions based on cleft types

		Cleft types				
CPITN	Group 1	Group 1 Group 2		F -	df	p-
scores	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	value		value
Healthy	3.69 ± 2.15	4.58±1.77	4.00±2.09	0.018		0.982
Bleeding	0.77±0.99	0.88±1.13	0.64±0.44	2.236		0.114
Calculus	1.54±1.90	0.54±0.94	1.36±1.85	0.806	79	0.450

Table 4: Distribution of study subjects based on cleft types and treatment needs

	Individual Treatment Needs								
	TN 0		TN 1		TN 2		TN 3		
Cleft types	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Cleft lip	10	28.5	4	26.6	12	40	0		
Cleft palate	13	37.3	6	40.1	7	23.4	0		
Cleft lip alveolus and palate	12	34.2	5	33.3	11	36.6	0		
Total	35	43.7	15	18.8	30	37.5	0		

DISCUSSION

Poor periodontal health and oral cleanliness have been observed in children with OFC [11-14]. These results may be due to low physical abilities, consequent difficulties in tooth brushing, limited understanding on the importance of oral health management, difficulties in communicating oral health needs and fear of oral health procedures.[15] This cross sectional study was conducted among 80 patients with OFC of ages between 6-18 years. The periodontal status was recorded by using a CPITN

patients with OFC of ages between 6-18 years. The periodontal status was recorded by using a CPITN Index. The present study shows that the healthy periodontium was found to be 38.5% in Cleft Lip group, whereas in a study reported that the healthy periodontium was 28.8%. This difference can be attributed to the regular dental check-up and good oral hygiene practices for the children by the parents in the present study.

In the present study gingival bleeding was observed in 15.4% in Cleft Lip, 23% in Cleft Palate whereas in a study by Magdarena Stec-Slonicz et al., [7] in German population 53% in Cleft Lip and 13% in Cleft Palate. This difference in the prevalence of gingivitis of Cleft Lip patients among present study was lower than the study by Magdarena Stec-Slonicz et al., [7] due to better oral hygiene of the population in the present study. The mean number of sextants coded for healthy and bleeding was found to be maximum among the patients with Cleft Palate and calculus was found to be maximum among the subjects with Cleft Lip Alveolus and Palate was found to be not statistically significant. We found out, among the 80 study subjects 15 (18.8%) needed oral hygiene instructions, 30 (37.5%) require oral hygiene instructions and oral prophylaxis whereas 35 (43.7%) did not require treatment.

Poor oral hygiene makes intensive efforts necessary to improve hygiene and prevent further pocketing. Thus the cleft patients must themselves be held for adequate oral hygiene and future state of their teeth. Further studies required for comparison between types of cleft and non-cleft children in larger population to evaluate the oral health status in cleft

patients.

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of periodontal disease is high among patients with cleft lip, alveolus and palate (35%) than in Cleft lip (32.5%) and Cleft Palate (32.5%). Prevalence of cleft lip and/or palate was found to be high in males (63.8%) compared to females (36.2%). Gingivitis is predominantly high in patients with Cleft Palate. Calculus is predominantly high in patients with Cleft Lip.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This Project is supported by Deanship of Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at Dar Al Uloom University Riyadh. The author extends her appreciation to the Deanship of Post Graduate and Scientific Research at Dar Al Uloom University for funding this work

REFERENCES

- Vinita Boloor, Biju Thomas. Comparison of periodontal status among patients with cleft lip, cleft palate, and cleft lip along with a cleft in palate and alveolus. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2010;14(3):168-72
- Mossey P, Little J. Addressing the challenges of cleft lip and palate research in India. *Indian J Plast Surg*. 2009;42(suppl):9-18.
- 3. Prevalence at birth of cleft lip with or without cleft palate: Data from the International Perinatal Database of Typical Oral Clefts (IPDTOC). *Cleft Palate Craniofac J.* 2011;48:66-81.
- Smith WP. Cleft lip and Palate. In: Russell RC, Williams NS, Bulstrode CJ, editors. Bailey and Love's Short practice of surgery. 23rd ed. London: Arnold Publishers; 2000. pp. 586-87.
- Shaw W. Global strategies to reduce the health care burden of craniofacial anomalies: report of WHO meetings on International Collaborative Research on craniofacial anomalies. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 2004;41:238–43.
- Calzolari E. Epidemiology of cleft palate in Europe implications for genetic research. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J.* 2004;41:244–49.

- Magdalena Stec, Joanna Szczepan ska, Jacek Pypec, Ursula Hirschfelder. Periodontal Status and Oral Hygiene in Two Populations of Cleft Patients. Cleft Palate Craniofac J. 2007;44 (1);73-78.
- Samuel Berkowitz. Cleft lip and palate perspectives in management vol 1, Singular Pub. Group, 1996:219-22.
- 9. Quirynen M, Soete DM, Steenberghe DV. The intraoral translocation of periodontopathogens jeopardises the outcome of periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2001;28:499-507.
- Bragger U, Schurch E, Salvi G, Wyttenbach TV, Lang NP. Periodontal conditions in adult patients with cleft lip, alveolus and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 1992;29: 179-85.
- Uma Sudhakar, Vijayalakshmi R, Ramesh Babu M, Anitha V, Bhavana J. Periodontal status of cleft lip and palate patients- A case series. *Journal of the Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry*. 2009;13:99-104.
- 12. Gunter Schultes, Alexander Gaggl, Hans Karcher. Comparison periodontal disease in patients with cleft of palate and patients with unilateral clefts of lip, palate and alveolus. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J*. 1999;36(4):322-27.
- 13. Tahir Paul, Ron S Brandt. Oral and dental health status of children with cleft lip and/ or palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J.* 1998;35(4):329-32.
- WHO. Epidemiology, etiology and prevention of periodontal disease. WHO Tech Rep. 1978; 621.
- 15. Caring for a vulnerable population: Who will take responsibility for those getting a raw deal from Scott A, March L, Stokes ML. A survey of oral health in a population of adults with developmental disabilities: Comparison with a national oral health survey of the general population. *Aust Dent J.* 1998;43:257-61.