# Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies NLM ID: 101716117

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com

doi: 10.21276/jamdsr

.....

Index Copernicus value = 85.10

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599;

(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

# **Original Research**

# Determination of RIPASA score in patients with acute appendicitis

<sup>1</sup>Umrao Singh, <sup>2</sup>Alok Chandra Tripathi

<sup>1,2</sup>Consultant surgeon, District Combined Hospital, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** Acute appendicitis is one of the most common and oldest surgical emergencies with an approximate estimated life time prevalence of approximately 8%. The present study was conducted to determine RIPASA score in patients with acute appendicitis. **Materials & Methods:** 80 patients (males- 45, females-35) with acute pancreatitis of both genders were evaluated using the RIPASA scoring system which has eight variables. Patients were divided into 4 ways depending on score such as <5, 5-7, 7.5-11.5 and >12. **Results:** RIPASA score in male and female was <5 seen in 10% and 12%, 5-7 in 10% and 16%, 7.5-11.5 in 60% and 40% and >12 in 20% and 32% respectively. The difference was significant (P< 0.05). **Conclusion:** RIPASA score is a better, easy, safe, and non-invasive diagnostic tool for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. **Key words:** acute appendicitis, RIPASA, surgical emergencies

Received: 24 April, 2021

Accepted: 28 May, 2021

**Corresponding author:** Alok Chandra Tripathi, Consultant surgeon, District Combined Hospital, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Singh U, Tripathi AC. Determination of RIPASA score in patients with acute appendicitis. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2021;9(6):234-237.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Acute appendicitis is one of the most common and oldest surgical emergencies with an approximate estimated life time prevalence of approximately 8% with peak incidence in age group 10–30 years.<sup>1</sup> Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical emergencies encountered in every surgeon's life with a life-time prevalence approximately 8%. Even in the present scenario of recently developed new diagnostic techniques accurate diagnosis of acute appendicitis and decreasing the burden of negative appendectomy rate remains a challenge for surgeons.<sup>2</sup> Various scoring systems have been developed to assist diagnosis of acute appendicitis. These scores combine clinical history and physical examination with few laboratory parameters.<sup>3</sup>

The Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha appendicitis (RIPASA) scoring system is relatively new. It was developed in 2010 at the RIPAS Hospital of Brunei and has improved sensitivity (98%) and specificity (83%). Score interpretation suggests 4 management groups: a) < 5 points (unlikely, patient observation) b) 5-7 points (low probability, emergency room observation, abdominal ultrasound), c) 7.5-11.5 points (high probability, surgical evaluation and preparation for appendectomy), and d) > 12 points (appendicitis diagnosis, appendectomy).<sup>4</sup>

Different scoring systems have been created to increase the diagnostic accuracy of appendicitis that are low-cost, non-invasive, and easy to use or reproduce.4,5 They assign numerical values to define signs and symptoms. Clinical signs of abdominal pathology (type, pain location and migration, temperature, signs of peritoneal irritation, nausea, and vomiting, among others) and laboratory findings are generally used.<sup>5</sup> The present study was conducted to determine RIPASA score in patients with acute appendicitis.

#### **MATERIALS & METHODS**

The present study was conducted among 80 patients (males- 45, females-35) with acute pancreatitis of both genders. All were informed regarding the study and written consent was obtained.

Data such as name, age, gender etc. was recorded. A thorough clinical examination was performed. Investigations such as urine routine, X-ray abdomen/chest, USG abdomen, and CT scan was performed. Patients were evaluated using the RIPASA scoring system which has eight variables. Patients were divided into 4 ways depending on score such as <5, 5-7, 7.5-11.5 and >12. Results of the study was clubbed and assessed statistically. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

# RESULTS Table I RIPASA <u>score sheet</u>

Parameters	Variables	Score	
Gender	Female	0.5	
	Male		
	<39.9	1	
	>40	0.5	
Symptom	RIF pain	0.5	
	Pain migration to RIF	1	
	Anorexia	1	
	Nausea and vomiting	1	
	Duration of symptom <48 hours	1	
	Duration of symptom>48 hours	0.5	
Signs	RIF tenderness	1	
	Guarding	2	
	Rebound tenderness	1	
	Rovsing sign		
	Fever >37 degree	1	
Investigation Raised TLC		1	
	Negative urine analysis	1	
Additional score	Additional score Foreign national registration identity card		
	Maximum score		

Table I shows that total maximum score for patients found to be 17.5.

### Table II The RIPASA score distribution of patients

<b>RIPASA score</b>	Male	Female	P value
<5	10%	12%	0.80
5-7	10%	16%	0.74
7.5-11.5	60%	40%	0.05
>12	20%	32%	0.15

Table II, graph I shows that RIPASA score in male and female was <5 seen in 10% and 12%, 5-7 in 10% and 16%, 7.5-11.5 in 60% and 40% and >12 in 20% and 32% respectively. The difference was significant (P< 0.05).

Graph I The RIPASA score distribution of patients



## DISCUSSION

Acute appendicitis is the first cause of surgical emergencies worldwide, with an incidence of 1.17 to 1.9 per 1,000 inhabitants per year and a lifetime risk of presenting with it of 8.6% in men and 6.7% in women.<sup>6</sup> The most common age range is 25-35 years of age. Despite its being a common health problem, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is still difficult to make, especially in young persons, the elderly, and in reproductive-age women. Various genitourinary or gynecologic inflammatory conditions can present with signs and symptoms similar to those of acute appendicitis. Diagnosis is based purely on the clinical history and physical examination, combined with the results of laboratory studies, such as a high white cell count.<sup>7</sup> Late appendectomy to improve diagnostic accuracy increases the risk for appendicular perforation and sepsis, augmenting morbidity and mortality (surgical site infection 8-15%, perforation 5-40%, abscesses 2-6%, sepsis and death 0.5-5%). In contrast, premature diagnosis of appendicitis leads to reduced diagnostic accuracy with a consequent rise in negative or unnecessary appendectomies that have been reported at approximately 20-40%. Ultrasound and tomography imaging can improve diagnostic accuracy, but are expensive and not always available at healthcare centers.8 The present study was conducted to determine RIPASA score in patients with acute appendicitis.

In present study, total maximum score for patients found to be 17.5. Singh et al<sup>9</sup> included 200 patients presented to emergency or surgical OPD with right iliac fossa pain and suspected to have acute appendicitis. RIPASA score calculated but appendectomy done on the basis of clinical protocol assessment and hospital and histopathological correlation done with a score. A score of 7.5 is cut off threshold, results compared with previous studies. In our study of 200 patients, M:F ratio of 1.56:1. Sensitivity of the RIPASA score was 95.89% with specificity 75.92% and diagnostic accuracy of 90.5%, expected and observed rate of negative appendectomy were 8.5 and 12.35%, respectively. So there is net reduction in negative appendectomy rate by 3.85%. RIPASA score at a cutoff value of 7.5 is easier, cheap, and better diagnostic tool in equivocal case of right iliac fossa pain in Indian scenario of limited availability of recent diagnostic tool in remote areas and affordability of these tool in the available set up, simultaneously, it also helps to reduce negative appendectomy rates.

We found that RIPASA score in male and female was <5 seen in 10% and 12%, 5-7 in 10% and 16%, 7.5-11.5 in 60% and 40% and >12 in 20% and 32% respectively. Díaz-Barrientos et al<sup>10</sup> found that the RIPASA score with 8.5 as the optimal cutoff value: ROC curve (area .595), sensitivity (93.3%), specificity (8.3%), PPV (91.8%), NPV (10.1%). Modified Alvarado score with 6 as the optimal cutoff value: ROC curve (area .719), sensitivity (75%),

specificity (41.6%), PPV (93.7%), NPV (12.5%). Pasumarthi et al<sup>11</sup> performed a analysis of 116 cases admitted with RIF pain during a 2 years period was performed. Patients between 15-60 years were scored as per Alvarado and RIPASA scoring system. Histopathological reports of the cases were collected and compared with the scores. ROC curve area analysis was performed to examine diagnostic accuracy of RIPASA and ALVARADO scores. The sensitivity of ALVARADO score is estimated to be 52.08 for a cut off of 6. The specificity is 80%, positive predictive value is 92.59, negative predictive value is 25.81. The Diagnostic accuracy of ALVARADO scoring is found to be 56.9. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive values of RIPASA scoring system are 75%, 65%, 91.14%, 35.14%. The diagnostic accuracy of RIPASA score is 73.28.

Shuaib A et al<sup>12</sup> included patients with clinically suspected acute appendicitis were classified according to both Alvarado and RIPASA scoring systems before undergoing surgery. Histopathological examination of the removed appendix was taken as the gold standard for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Among (90%) patients had histologically confirmed appendicitis. With the cut-off value greater than 7.5 for RIPASA score; sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, diagnostic accuracy were 88.2%, 14.5%, 73.1%, 32% and 68% respectively. With the cut-off value greater than 7 for Alvarado score, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, diagnostic accuracy and negative appendectomy rates were 51.2%, 80 %, 91 %, 29%, and 57%, respectively. 87.5% of patients were correctly stratified by RIPASA under higher probability group while only 45% were classified by Alvarado as high probability.

# CONCLUSION

Authors found that RIPASA score is a better, easy, safe, and non-invasive diagnostic tool for diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

#### REFERENCES

- Chong CF, Adi MI, Thien A, et al. Development of the RIPASA score: A new appendicitis scoring system for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Singapore Med J. 2010;51:220-5.
- 2. Sammalkorpi HE, Mentula P, Leppäniemi A. A new adult appendicitis score improves diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitisa prospective study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2014;14:114.
- Butt MQ, Chatha SS, Ghumman AQ, et al. RIPASA Score: A new diagnostic score for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2014;24:894-7.
- Erdem H, C,etinkünar S, Das, K, et al. Alvarado, Eskelinen, Ohhmann and Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Appendicitis scores for diagnosis of acute apendicitis. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:9057-62.
- 5. Wani MM, Yousaf MN, Khan MA, et al. Usefulness of the Alvarado scoring system with respect to age, sex

and time of presentation, with regression analysis of individual parameters. Internet J Surg. 2007;11:276-80.

- Shrivastava UK, Gupta A, Sharma D. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Trop Gastroenterol. 2004;25:184-6.
- Gómez S, Ayala M, Khan I, et al. Application of Alvarado scoring system in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2005;17:41---4.
- Owen TD, Williams H, Stiff G, et al. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in acute appendicitis. J R Soc Med. 1992;85:87---8. 11. Saidi HS, Chavda SK. Use of modified Alvarado Score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. East Afr Med J. 2003;80:411-4.
- 9. Singh A, Parihar US, Kumawat G, Samota R, Choudhary R. To determine validation of RIPASA score in diagnosis of suspected acute appendicitis and histopathological correlation with applicability to

Indian population: a single institute study. Indian Journal of Surgery. 2018 Apr;80(2):113-7.

- 10. Díaz-Barrientos CZ, Aquino-González A, Heredia-Montaño M, Navarro-Tovar F, Pineda-Espinosa MA, de Santillana IE. The RIPASA score for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis: A comparison with the modified Alvarado score. Revista de Gastroenterología de México (English Edition). 2018 Apr 1;83(2):112-6.
- Pasumarthi V, Madhu CP. A comparative study of RIPASA score and ALVARADO score in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. International Surgery Journal. 2018 Feb 26;5(3):796-801.
- Shuaib A, Shuaib A, Fakhra Z, Marafi B, Alsharaf K, Behbehani A. Evaluation of modified Alvarado scoring system and RIPASA scoring system as diagnostic tools of acute appendicitis. World journal of emergency medicine. 2017;8(4):276.