Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies

NLM ID: 101716117

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com

doi: 10.21276/jamdsr

Index Copernicus value = 85.10

unia nome page. www.jamusi.com

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599;

(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Outcome of treatment of breast cancer surgery patients

Dr. Shashi Bhaskar Sharma¹, Dr. Ruchi Gupta²

^{1,2}Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Maharaja Agarsen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT:

Background: The present study was conducted to assess outcome of breast surgery patients. **Materials & Methods:** 90 patients who underwent breast surgery in last 2 years were included. Recurrence was defined as distant metastasis or loco-regional recurrence. Survival outcome was estimated. **Results:** Age group 20-40 years had 65 and 40-60 years had 25 patients. Type of surgery was breast conserving done in all 90 patients. Axillary lymph node dissection was done in 60 and sentinel lymph node biopsy in 30 patients. Chemotherapy was done in 56 and not done in 34 patients. Adjuvant radiotherapy was done in 62 and not done in 28, neoadjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 50, histologic grading was 1 in 26, 2 in 30, 3 in 24 and unknown in 10 patients. **Conclusion:** Maximum cases were seen in age group 20-40 years. Loco-regional metastasis was seen in 27 patients. Breast conserving surgery is the main treatment in breast cancer patients. **Key words:** Axillary lymph node dissection, Breast, chemotherapy

Received: 12 March, 2020

Accepted: 22 September, 2020

Corresponding author: Dr. Ruchi Gupta, Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Maharaja Agarsen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar, Haryana, India

This article may be cited as: Sharma SB, Gupta R. Outcome of treatment of breast cancer surgery patients. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2020;8(11):201-204.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common female malignancy and is the second common cause of cancer death in women. Due to its high incidence, breast cancer is also a major health issue for women with medical comorbidities.¹ The presence of co-morbidities pose can influence the decision on the use of the various treatment modalities. Furthermore, several studies have reported a significant increase in cancer mortality and post-treatment morbidity in cancer patients with preexisting health conditions.²

Surgical resection was one of the first effective treatments for breast cancer and continues to play a critical role in the treatment of this disease. A multidisciplinary approach is now standard of care, involving a coordinated effort with the surgeon working in concert with the medical and radiation oncologist to achieve the best possible outcome for each individual.³

Improvements in both the quality and quantity of life for victims of breast cancer can be attributed to the advances made in each of these disciplines. As with all cancers, earlier stage disease is more readily manageable than after significant advancement. It is these early-stage cancers in which the most significant improvements in the operative management has occurred.⁴

Researchers have suggested the lower rates of adjuvant therapy as well as the natural course of the pre-existing medical conditions was the potential link between the increased risk of death and the presence of co-morbidities. However, some reported that elderly patients with co-morbidities have worse survival outcome despite the similar rates of adjuvant therapy administration.⁵ The present study was conducted to assess outcome of breast surgery patients.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was conducted on 90 patients who underwent breast surgery in last 2 years. The study was approved from institutional ethical committee. All were informed regarding the study and their consent was obtained. Data such as name, age, gender etc. was recorded. Recurrence was defined as distant metastasis or locoregional recurrence. Survival outcome was estimated. Results were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant (P < 0.05).

RESULTS

Table I Distribution of patients based on age

| Age group (Years) | Number | P value |
|-------------------|--------|---------|
| 20-40 | 65 | 0.01 |
| 40-60 | 25 | |

Table I shows that age group 20-40 years had 65 and 40-60 years had 25 patients.

Table II Assessment of parameters

| Parameters | | Number | P value |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|
| | | | |
| Operation type | Breast conserving | 90 | - |
| | surgery | | |
| Operation type (axilla) | Axillary lymph node | 60 | 0.02 |
| | dissection | | |
| | Sentinel lymph node | 30 | |
| | biopsy | | |
| Chemotherapy | Done | 56 | 0.05 |
| | Not done | 34 | |
| Adjuvant radiotherapy | Done | 62 | 0.03 |
| | Not done | 28 | |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy | Done | 50 | 0.18 |
| | Not done | 40 | |
| Histologic grade | 1 | 26 | 0.17 |
| | 2 | 30 | |
| | 3 | 24 | |
| | Unknown | 10 | |

Table II shows that type of surgery was breast conserving done in all 90 patients. Axillary lymph node dissection was done in 60 and sentinel lymph node biopsy in 30 patients. Chemotherapy was done in 56 and not done in 34 patients. Adjuvant radiotherapy was done in 62 and not done in 28, neoadjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 50, histologic grading was 1in 26, 2 in 30, 3 in 24 and unknown in 10 patients. The difference was significant (P < 0.05).

Table III Outcome of treatment

| Outcome | Number | P value |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Death | 8 | 0.01 |
| distant metastasis + loco-regional | 27 | |

Table III, graph I shows that death occurred in 8 and distant and loco-regional metastasis was seen in 27 patients. The difference was significant (p < 0.05).

Graph I Outcome of treatment



DISCUSSION

Optimal management of a patient with breast cancer includes establishing a pathologic diagnosis prior to any definitive operative intervention.⁶ Formal surgical excision in the operating room is rarely required to establish the diagnosis of breast cancer, as there are many alternative techniques to obtain tissue for diagnosis. For example, much pathologic information can be gained from small, 1-2 mm "core" samples, allowing precise recommendations for treatment.⁷ The diagnosis of breast cancer is confirmed by histological evaluation, and the tumor is assessed for grade as well as human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), estrogen, and progesterone receptor status. This information is critical for optimal decision making regarding treatment options, most importantly allowing for coordination of care for those patients that will benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to operative intervention.⁸ The present study was conducted to assess outcome of breast surgery patients. In present study we found that age group 20-40 years had 65 and 40-60 years had 25 patients. Han et al⁹ found that there were 1,792 (71.6%), 665 (26.6%), and 44 (1.8%) patients in ASA I. II. and III. respectively. Total 95 (3.8%) deaths and 269 (10.8%) recurrences (loco-regional and distant) occurred during the median follow-up period of 71 months. Patients with high comorbidity showed significantly higher rate of deaths (51 (2.8%), 38 (5.7%) and 6 (13.6%) deaths in ASA I, II and III group, respectively, p<0.001). The ASA 3 patients also showed significantly higher rate of breast

cancer recurrence when compared to other groups (180 (10.0%), 80 (12.0%) and 9 (20.5%) in ASA I, II, and III, respectively, p = 0.041). Significantly fewer patients in the high co-morbidity group received adjuvant therapies (77 (4.3%), 44 (6.6%) and 8 (18.2%) in ASA I, II, and III, respectively, p<0.001). The increased recurrence of breast cancer in the high morbidity group was mostly seen in patients who did not receive adjuvant therapies. The incidence of serious adverse effect during the adjuvant therapy did not differ according to the co-morbidity conditions.

We found that type of surgery was breast conserving done in all 90 patients. Axillary lymph node dissection was done in 60 and sentinel lymph node biopsy in 30 patients. Chemotherapy was done in 56 and not done in 34 patients. Adjuvant radiotherapy was done in 62 and not done in 28, neoadjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 50, histologic grading was 1 in 26, 2 in 30, 3 in 24 and unknown in 10 patients. Land et al¹⁰ have reported that the patients with higher degree of comorbidity had significantly lower rates of recurrences despite their increased risk of deaths.

We found that death occurred in 8 and distant and locoregional metastasis was seen in 27 patients. Gold et al¹¹, on the other hand, have shown that omission of appropriate adjuvant therapies can worsen the treatment outcome even in early breast cancer patients. Locoregional (operative) control of breast cancer remains the mainstay of treatment. Surgical treatment should allow the patient to be involved in the decisionmaking process, with the surgeon providing information about all surgical options available. Definitive surgical management typically involves breast conservation (BCT) or mastectomy. Local excision alone is at times acceptable, usually in the setting of elderly or otherwise debilitated patients without adjuvant radiation. This decision must be carefully weighed and based on evaluation of tumor aggressiveness and comorbid conditions of the patient.

The shortcoming of the study is small sample size.

CONCLUSION

Authors found that Breast conserving surgery is the main treatment in breast cancer patients. Maximum cases were seen in age group 20-40 years. Locoregional metastasis was seen in 27 patients.

REFERENCES

- Sogaard M, Thomsen RW, Bossen KS, Sorensen HT, Norgaard M. The impact of comorbidity on cancer survival: a review. Clin Epidemiol 2013; 5: 3–29.
- Yancik R, Ganz PA, Varricchio CG, Conley B. Perspectives on comorbidity and cancer in older patients: approaches to expand the knowledge base. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 1147–1151.
- 3. Dehal A, Abbas A, Johna S. Comorbidity and outcomes after surgery among women with breast cancer: analysis of nationwide in-patient sample database. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013; 139: 469–476.
- 4. Kiderlen M, de Glas NA, Bastiaannet E, van de Water W, de Craen AJ, Guicherit OR et al. Impact of comorbidity on outcome of older breast cancer patients: a FOCUS

cohort study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2014; 145: 185-192.

- Chao C, Page JH, Yang SJ, Rodriguez R, Huynh J, Chia VM. History of chronic comorbidity and risk of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in cancer patients not receiving G-CSF prophylaxis. Ann Oncol 2014; 25: 1821–1829.
- Ahern TP, Lash TL, Thwin SS, Silliman RA. Impact of acquired comorbidities on all-cause mortality rates among older breast cancer survivors. Med Care 2009; 47: 73–79.
- Berglund A, Wigertz A, Adolfsson J, Ahlgren J, Fornander T, Wärnberg F et al. Impact of comorbidity on management and mortality in women diagnosed with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012; 135: 281– 289.
- Han J, Lee HB, Lee ES, Kang YJ, Kim Y, Choi J, Rhu J, Shin HC, Han W, Noh DY, Moon HG. The treatment outcomes and the use of adjuvant therapies in breast cancer patients with severe co-morbidities. PloS one. 2017 Mar 21;12(3):e0173721.
- Land LH, Dalton SO, Jensen MB, Ewertz M. Impact of comorbidity on mortality: a cohort study of 62,591 Danish women diagnosed with early breast cancer, 1990–2008. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012; 131: 1013–1020.
- Gold HT, Do HT, Dick AW. Correlates and effect of suboptimal radiotherapy in women with ductal carcinoma in situ or early invasive breast cancer. Cancer 2008; 113: 3108–3115.
- 11. Houterman S, Janssen-Heijnen ML, Verheij CD, Louwman WJ, Vreugdenhil G, van der Sangen MJ et al. Comorbidity has negligible impact on treatment and complications but influences survival in breast cancer patients. Br J Cancer 2004; 90: 2332–2337.