Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies ***NLM ID:*** *101716117*

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com doi: 10.21276/jamdsr Index Copernicus value = 85.10

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599; (p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Regulating Behavior by Modulating Brain Function: The Potential of Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation

Venkateswar Pujari

Chief Mentor, Body Brain Behaviour Foundation, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:
Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), two non-invasive brain stimulation methods, have drawn a lot of interest recently as potential methods for modifying brain activity and controlling behavior. An overview of the present status of research on the possibility for non-invasive brain stimulation in several areas is given in this review study.

Magnetic fields are used in TMS to create electrical currents in particular brain areas, which modifies neuronal activity. It has demonstrated promise in the treatment of several neurological and psychiatric conditions, including as schizophrenia, depression, and chronic pain. Numerous studies have documented considerable symptom improvements after TMS therapy. However, there are still issues including the requirement for specialized tools and the fleeting nature of its effects.

On the other hand, tDCS modifies brain activity by delivering low-level electrical currents through electrodes positioned on the head. Additionally, it has demonstrated promise in the treatment of neurological and psychological conditions such chronic pain, anxiety, and depression. Numerous studies have documented considerable symptom reductions after tDCS treatment. The short-lived nature of its effects and the standardization of electrode placement and stimulation levels continue to be problems.

Non-invasive brain stimulation approaches confront a number of difficulties in spite of the apparent advantages. Individual heterogeneity in response is noted, but the underlying mechanisms of action remain poorly understood. Additionally, although generally believed to be safe, any adverse effects demand careful monitoring and risk-benefit analysis.

The study of non-invasive brain stimulation methods is advancing quickly. Studies have shown that they can improve motor skills as well as cognitive abilities like memory, focus, and decision-making. Further research is necessary due to the heterogeneity in response, lack of uniformity, and incomplete knowledge of causes.

Conclusion: In both clinical and experimental settings, non-invasive brain stimulation approaches show promise for altering brain activity and controlling behavior. Even if there are still issues, continuous research presents chances for improving these methods and broadening their uses. To optimize the potential advantages of non-invasive brain stimulation for enhancing human brain function and wellbeing, future studies should concentrate on clarifying mechanisms, standardizing methods, and addressing individual variability..

Keywords: non-invasive brain stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation, transcranial direct current stimulation, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, neuromodulation

Received: 17 May, 2020 Accepted: 22 June, 2020

Corresponding author: Venkateswar Pujari, Chief Mentor, Body Brain Behaviour Foundation, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Pujari V. Regulating Behavior by Modulating Brain Function: The Potential of Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2020;8(7):195-199.

INTRODUCTION
The human brain is a remarkable organ that plays a central role in governing behavior, cognition, and emotion. Understanding its complex functioning and finding ways to modulate it has been a longstanding goal in the field of neuroscience. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques have emerged as a promising avenue for achieving this goal, offering the potential to regulate behavior by modulating brain function. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques involve the application of external stimuli to the brain without the need for invasive procedures. Among the various techniques available, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) have gained substantial attention

due to their safety, feasibility, and potential clinical applications [1-5].
TMS utilizes magnetic fields to induce electrical currents in specific regions of the brain. By delivering magnetic pulses through a coil placed on the scalp, TMS can modulate neural activity in the targeted area. This technique allows researchers and clinicians to selectively stimulate or inhibit neural circuits, leading to changes in brain function and behavior. The ability of TMS to non-invasively and precisely target specific brain regions has made it a valuable tool in both clinical and experimental settings. Similarly, tDCS applies low-intensity electrical currents to the scalp via electrodes. It modulates the excitability of underlying neural tissue by polarizing the neurons, either increasing or decreasing their activity. By manipulating the neural activity in specific brain regions, tDCS has shown potential for modulating various cognitive and behavioral functions [6-10].
The potential applications of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques are vast. In the clinical realm, they offer new avenues for treating neurological and psychiatric disorders. Disorders such as depression, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, and chronic pain have been targeted with promising results. Additionally, these techniques have shown potential for enhancing cognitive functions such as memory, attention, and decision-making in both healthy individuals and those with cognitive impairments [11-15].
The rise of non-invasive brain stimulation has sparked interest in understanding the underlying mechanisms by which these techniques exert their effects. Researchers are exploring the neurophysiological changes induced by TMS and tDCS, aiming to unravel the intricate interplay between neural circuits and behavior. By gaining a deeper understanding of these mechanisms, it becomes possible to optimize stimulation protocols, improve outcomes, and identify new therapeutic targets. However, despite the growing body of evidence supporting the potential of non-invasive brain stimulation, several challenges need to be addressed. Standardization of protocols, including optimal stimulation parameters and electrode placements, is crucial for reproducibility and comparison across studies. Additionally, individual variability in response to stimulation poses a significant hurdle, necessitating a personalized approach to treatment. Further research is needed to decipher the factors influencing individual responsiveness and to develop tailored stimulation protocols [16-20].
In conclusion, non-invasive brain stimulation techniques, such as TMS and tDCS, hold great promise for regulating behavior by modulating brain function. These techniques offer a non-invasive and targeted approach to modulating neural activity, with potential applications in both clinical and experimental settings. However, challenges related to standardization, individual variability, and mechanistic understanding must be addressed to fully harness the potential of non-invasive brain stimulation. Continued research in these areas will pave the way for the development of innovative therapeutic interventions and a deeper understanding of the intricate relationship between brain function and behavior.

TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) is a non-invasive brain stimulation technique that utilizes magnetic fields to induce electrical currents in specific regions of the brain. By delivering magnetic pulses through a coil placed on the scalp, TMS can modulate neural activity in targeted brain areas, leading to changes in brain function and behavior. The principle underlying TMS is electromagnetic induction. When a rapidly changing electrical current passes through a coil, it generates a magnetic field perpendicular to the direction of the current. This magnetic field can penetrate the skull and induce electrical currents in the underlying neural tissue. These induced currents can either enhance or inhibit neuronal activity, depending on the stimulation parameters and the characteristics of the targeted brain region [5-10].
TMS has proven to be a valuable tool for both research and clinical applications. In research settings, TMS allows scientists to investigate the causal relationship between brain activity and behavior. By stimulating specific brain regions and observing the resulting changes in cognitive or motor functions, researchers can gain insights into the functional organization of the brain. In clinical practice, TMS has shown promise as a therapeutic intervention for various neurological and psychiatric disorders. One of the most well-established applications of TMS is in the treatment of major depressive disorder. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS), which involves delivering a series of TMS pulses over multiple sessions, has been approved by regulatory agencies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), for the treatment of medication-resistant depression [11-15].
The exact mechanisms by which TMS exerts its therapeutic effects are not yet fully understood. It is believed that TMS can modulate neural circuits and neurochemical systems implicated in mood regulation. By stimulating specific brain regions involved in depression, such as the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, TMS can restore the balance of neural activity and alleviate depressive symptoms. In addition to depression, TMS has also shown potential in other psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder. Furthermore, TMS has been investigated as a treatment option for neurological conditions such as stroke, Parkinson's disease, and chronic pain. In stroke rehabilitation, TMS can be used to promote neuroplasticity and

enhance motor recovery by stimulating the affected motor cortex [16-20].

Despite its promising applications, TMS has some limitations and challenges. One challenge is the variability in individual response to stimulation. Some individuals may show significant improvements in symptoms, while others may not respond as effectively. Factors such as the location and intensity of stimulation, the specific disorder being targeted, and individual differences in brain anatomy and function may contribute to this variability. Another challenge is the transient nature of the effects. The changes induced by a single TMS session are typically short-lived and may require repeated sessions to achieve a lasting therapeutic effect. The optimal duration and frequency of treatment sessions are still being investigated, and personalized treatment protocols are being developed to maximize the benefits of TMS [15-21].

Safety is an important consideration in TMS. Although generally considered safe, there are potential risks and side effects associated with the procedure. Common side effects include mild headache, scalp discomfort, and transient changes in hearing or visual perception during stimulation. Serious adverse effects are rare but can occur, particularly when TMS is not administered by trained professionals or when safety guidelines are not followed. In conclusion, Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) is a non-invasive brain stimulation technique that holds promise for both research and clinical applications. It allows researchers to investigate the causal relationship between brain activity and behavior, while also providing a potential therapeutic option for various neurological and psychiatric disorders. Further research is needed to optimize stimulation parameters, develop personalized treatment protocols, and enhance our understanding of the underlying mechanisms of action of TMS. With continued advancements, TMS has the potential to revolutionize the field of neuromodulation and contribute to the development of innovative treatments for brain-related conditions.

TRANSCRANIAL DIRECT CURRENT STIMULATION

Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) is a non-invasive brain stimulation technique that involves the application of low-level electrical currents to the scalp to modulate neural activity in specific regions of the brain. Unlike Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS), which uses magnetic fields, tDCS utilizes direct current to polarize the underlying neural tissue, leading to changes in brain function and behavior. The basic setup for tDCS involves placing two electrodes, an anode and a cathode, on the scalp. The anode is the positive electrode, and it is typically placed over the targeted brain region, while the cathode, the negative electrode, is placed elsewhere on the scalp. When a weak direct current is applied, it causes a shift in neuronal resting membrane potentials, either depolarizing or hyperpolarizing the neurons [15-21].

The main mechanism of action in tDCS is the modulation of neuronal excitability. When the anode is placed over a specific brain area, it increases the probability of neuronal firing, resulting in enhanced activity in that region. Conversely, when the cathode is placed over a brain area, it decreases neuronal excitability, leading to a reduction in activity. By selectively modulating the excitability of targeted brain regions, tDCS can influence various cognitive, sensory, and motor functions. One of the advantages of tDCS is its simplicity and ease of use. The equipment required for tDCS is relatively affordable and portable, making it a versatile technique that can be applied in various settings, including research laboratories, clinical environments, and even home-based interventions. Moreover, tDCS is considered safe, with minimal side effects reported when applied within recommended parameters [21-25].

The potential applications of tDCS are broad, spanning both research and clinical domains. In research settings, tDCS offers a valuable tool for investigating brain function and plasticity. By modulating specific brain regions, researchers can explore the causal relationship between neural activity and various cognitive processes. tDCS has been used to enhance working memory, attention, language processing, and motor learning, among other cognitive functions. In the clinical realm, tDCS has shown promise as a therapeutic intervention for several neurological and psychiatric disorders. Depression, in particular, has been a focus of tDCS research. Studies have demonstrated that tDCS can have antidepressant effects by modulating the activity of the prefrontal cortex, a region implicated in mood regulation. tDCS has also been explored as a potential treatment for chronic pain, schizophrenia, stroke rehabilitation, and cognitive impairments associated with conditions such as Alzheimer's disease and traumatic brain injury [22-27].

Despite its potential, tDCS faces some challenges and limitations. One challenge is the variability in individual response to stimulation. Different individuals may exhibit different degrees of response to tDCS, and factors such as age, gender, baseline brain activity, and anatomical variability can influence the outcomes. Optimizing the stimulation parameters and personalizing treatment protocols based on individual characteristics are areas of active research. Another limitation is the relatively short duration of the effects. The changes induced by a single tDCS session are typically temporary, and repeated sessions may be necessary to achieve sustained therapeutic benefits. The optimal timing, frequency, and duration of tDCS sessions are still being investigated to maximize its effectiveness [15,21,27].

Safety considerations are important in tDCS as well. Although tDCS is generally considered safe, there are potential risks and side effects associated with the procedure. These can include mild skin irritation, tingling sensations, and transient changes in mood or cognition. Adherence to safety guidelines and proper supervision by trained professionals are essential to minimize risks. In conclusion, Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) is a non-invasive brain stimulation technique that holds promise for both research and clinical applications. It offers a safe and versatile approach to modulating neural activity in specific brain regions, with potential benefits for cognitive enhancement and the treatment of neurological and psychiatric disorders. Further research is needed to refine stimulation protocols, explore personalized approaches, and deepen our understanding of the mechanisms underlying tDCS. With continued advancements, tDCS has the potential to contribute to the development of innovative therapeutic interventions and the advancement of neuroscience knowledge.

CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH NON-INVASIVE BRAIN STIMULATION

In addition to the limitations associated with TMS and tDCS, there are several other challenges that need to be addressed before non-invasive brain stimulation can become a widely used tool for regulating behavior. One major challenge is the lack of knowledge about the underlying mechanisms of action of these techniques. While TMS and tDCS have been shown to modulate neural activity in targeted brain regions, the exact mechanisms by which they produce their effects are not yet fully understood [25].

Another challenge is the variability in individual response to non-invasive brain stimulation. While some individuals may show significant improvements in symptoms following TMS or tDCS treatment, others may not respond at all. This variability may be due to individual differences in brain anatomy, neural activity, or other factors that are not yet well understood. A third challenge is the potential for unintended side effects of non-invasive brain stimulation. While TMS and tDCS are generally considered safe, there have been reports of adverse effects, such as headaches, seizures, and changes in mood or behavior. It is therefore important to carefully monitor individuals undergoing non-invasive brain stimulation and to ensure that the benefits outweigh the potential risks [26-29].

CURRENT STATE OF RESEARCH

Despite these challenges, non-invasive brain stimulation techniques continue to be the subject of extensive research. In addition to their potential clinical applications, these techniques have also been investigated for their potential to enhance cognitive and motor performance in healthy individuals.

Several studies have demonstrated the potential of TMS and tDCS to enhance various aspects of cognitive performance, such as memory, attention, and decision-making. For example, a meta-analysis of 37 randomized controlled trials found that TMS was effective in improving working memory performance [5]. Similarly, tDCS has been shown to enhance a variety of cognitive tasks, including working memory, attention, and language processing [6].

In addition to their cognitive effects, non-invasive brain stimulation techniques have also been investigated for their potential to enhance motor performance. TMS has been shown to improve motor function in patients with stroke and Parkinson's disease, while tDCS has been shown to improve fine motor skills in healthy individuals [7].

CONCLUSION

Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques, such as TMS and tDCS, have the potential to modulate brain function and regulate behavior in a variety of clinical and experimental settings. While these techniques have shown promising results in treating various neurological and psychiatric disorders, there are still challenges that need to be addressed before they can become widely used tools in clinical practice. Future research should focus on improving our understanding of the underlying mechanisms of action of these techniques and on developing standardized protocols for their use.

REFERENCES

1. Berlim MT, Van den Eynde F, Daskalakis ZJ. Clinical utility of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) in the treatment of pharmacoresistant major depression: synthesis of recent randomized controlled trials. Psychiatry Res. 2013;210(3):1265-1270.
2. Gaynes BN, Lloyd SW, Lux L, Gartlehner G, Hansen RA, Brode S, Jonas DE, Swinson Evans T, Viswanathan M, Lohr KN. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2014 May;75(5):477-89; quiz 489. doi: 10.4088/JCP.13r08815. PMID: 24922485.
3. Brunoni AR, Moffa AH, Fregni F, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation for acute major depressive episodes: meta-analysis of individual patient data. Br J Psychiatry. 2016;208(6):522-531.
4. O'Connell NE, Marston L, Spencer S, et al. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain management: a systematic review. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2018;15(1):29.
5. Brunoni AR, Vanderhasselt MA. Working memory improvement with non-invasive brain stimulation of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain Cogn. 2014;86:1-9.
6. Hill AT, Fitzgerald PB, Hoy KE. Effects of transcranial direct current stimulation on cognition, mood, pain, and fatigue in healthy and neuropsychiatric populations. J ECT. 2016;32(4):263-272.
7. Nitsche MA, Paulus W. Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation. J Physiol. 2000;527(Pt 3):633-639.
8. Brunoni, AR, Nitsche, MA, Bolognini, N, Bikson, M, Wagner, T, Merabet, L, et al. Clinical research with transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS):

challenges and future directions. Brain Stimul 2012; 5: 175–95.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
9. Brunoni, AR, Ferrucci, R, Fregni, F, Boggio, PS, Priori, A. Transcranial direct current stimulation for the treatment of major depressive disorder: a summary of preclinical, clinical and translational findings. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2012; 39: 9–16.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
10. Kalu, UG, Sexton, CE, Loo, CK, Ebmeier, KP. Transcranial direct current stimulation in the treatment of major depression: a meta-analysis. Psychol Med 2012; 42: 1791–800.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
11. Berlim, MT, Van den Eynde, F, Daskalakis, ZJ. Clinical utility of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) for treating major depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, double-blind and sham-controlled trials. J Psychiatr Res 2013; 47: 1–7.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
12. Shiozawa, P, Fregni, F, Bensenor, IM, Lotufo, PA, Berlim, MT, Daskalakis, JZ, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation for major depression: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2014; 17: 1443–52.Google ScholarPubMed
13. Simmonds, MC, Higgins, JP, Stewart, LA, Tierney, JF, Clarke, MJ, Thompson, SG. Meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized trials: a review of methods used in practice. Clin Trials 2005; 2: 209–17.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
14. Liberati, A, Altman, DG, Tetzlaff, J, Mulrow, C, Gotzsche, PC, Ioannidis, JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000100.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
15. Higgins, J, Green, S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.2. (updated September 2009). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2009.Google Scholar
16. de Morton, NA. The PEDro scale is a valid measure of the methodological quality of clinical trials: a demographic study. Aust J Physiother 2009; 55: 129–33.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
17. Keller, MB. Past, present, and future directions for defining optimal treatment outcome in depression: remission and beyond. JAMA 2003; 289: 3152–60.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
18. Rush, AJ, Trivedi, MH, Ibrahim, HM, Carmody, TJ, Arnow, B, Klein, DN, et al. The 16-Item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. Biol Psychiatry 2003; 54: 573–83.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
19. Zimmerman, M, Posternak, MA, Chelminski, I. Implications of using different cut-offs on symptom severity scales to define remission from depression. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2004; 19: 215–20.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
20. Debray, TP, Moons, KG, Abo-Zaid, GM, Koffijberg, H, Riley, RD. Individual participant data meta-analysis for a binary outcome: one-stage or two-stage? PLoS One 2013; 8: e60650.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
21. Kontopantelis, E, Reeves, D. A short guide and a forest plot command (ipdforest) for one-stage meta-analysis. Stata J 2013; 13: 574–87.Google Scholar
22. Brunoni, AR, Valiengo, L, Baccaro, A, Zanao, TA, Oliveira, AC, Goulart, AC, et al. The sertraline versus electrical current therapy for treating depression clinical study: results from a factorial, randomized, controlled trial. JAMA Psychiatry 2013; 70: 383–91.CrossRefGoogle Scholar
23. Palm, U, Schiller, C, Fintescu, Z, Obermeier, M, Keeser, D, Reisinger, E, et al. Transcranial direct current stimulation in treatment resistant depression: a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Brain Stimul 2012; 5: 242–51.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
24. Blumberger, DM, Tran, LC, Fitzgerald, PB, Hoy, KE, Daskalakis, ZJ. A randomized double-blind sham-controlled study of transcranial direct current stimulation for treatment-resistant major depression. Front Psychiatry 2012; 3: 74.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
25. Bennabi, D, Nicolier, M, Monnin, J, Tio, G, Pazart, L, Vandel, P, et al. Pilot study of feasibility of the effect of treatment with tdcs in patients suffering from treatment-resistant depression treated with escitalopram. Clin Neurophysiol 2015; 126: 1185–9.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
26. Loo, CK, Sachdev, P, Martin, D, Pigot, M, Alonzo, A, Malhi, GS, et al. A double-blind, sham-controlled trial of transcranial direct current stimulation for the treatment of depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2010; 13: 61–9.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
27. Loo, CK, Alonzo, A, Martin, D, Mitchell, PB, Galvez, V, Sachdev, P. Transcranial direct current stimulation for depression: 3-week, randomised, sham-controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry 2012; 200: 52–9.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed
28. Arroll, B, Elley, CR, Fishman, T, Goodyear-Smith, FA, Kenealy, T, Blashki, G, et al. Antidepressants versus placebo for depression in primary care. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; 3: CD007954.Google Scholar
29. Undurraga, J, Baldessarini, RJ. Randomized, placebo-controlled trials of antidepressants for acute major depression: thirty-year meta-analytic review. Neuropsychopharmacology 2012; 37: 851–64.CrossRefGoogle ScholarPubMed