

Original Research

Comparison of effectiveness of three different desensitizing agents against hypersensitivity: A clinical study

Sonali Roy¹, Praveen Chandra², Archana Kumari³, Md. Asad Iqbal⁴

¹Senior Resident, Nalanda medical college and Hospital, Geetanjali Bhawan, Ram Lakhan Path, Near Manokammna Mandir, Ashok Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna, Bihar-800020;

²Director Geeta devi Multispeciality Dental Clinic, Geetanjali Bhawan, Ram Lakhan Path, Near Manokammna Mandir, Ashok Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna, Bihar-800020;

³Assistant professor, Vardhaman Institute of Medical Sciences, Pawapuri Nalanda (Bihar);

⁴Lecturer, Department of OMR, PDCH, Patna-4

ABSTRACT:

Aim: To compare the effectiveness of three different desensitizing agents containing potassium salt, natural ingredients, and 8% arginine in reducing dentin hypersensitivity (DH). **Materials and Methods:** A study was conducted on 90 adult patients for 4 weeks and 8 weeks suffering from hypersensitivity associated with cervical abrasion of two or more teeth anterior to the molars. Patients were divided into three toothpaste groups as follows: Group I: Potassium nitrate containing toothpaste, Group II: herbal desensitizing mouthwash containing natural ingredients, and Group III: 8% arginine containing toothpaste. Using air stimulus, the sensitivity scores were recorded using Schiff Sensitivity Scale (SSS) at baseline, immediately after application and after 8 weeks. **Statistical Analysis:** One-way ANOVA test significant. **Results:** Group III showed significantly better reduction in DH at all time intervals when compared with Group I. **Conclusion:** Desensitizing toothpaste containing 8% arginine was found to be the most effective in the reduction of DH after a single application up to a period of 8 weeks followed by herbal desensitizing mouthwash and potassium salt-containing toothpaste.

Key words: Arginine; dentin hypersensitivity; desensitizing toothpastes; herbal; potassium salt.

Received: 14 October, 2019

Revised: 13 November, 2019

Accepted: 25 November, 2019

Corresponding author: Dr. Praveen Chandra, Director Geeta devi Multispeciality Dental Clinic, Geetanjali Bhawan, Ram Lakhan Path, Near Manokammna Mandir, Ashok Nagar, Kankarbagh, Patna, Bihar-800020, India

This article may be cited as: Roy S, Chandra P, Kumari A, Iqbal MA. Comparison of effectiveness of three different desensitizing agents against hypersensitivity: A clinical study. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2019;7(12): 162-164.

INTRODUCTION

Dental hypersensitivity is an increasing problem in clinical dentistry. Dentine hypersensitivity (DH) is characterized by short, sharp pain arising from the exposed dentine in response to stimuli, typically thermal, evaporative, tactile, osmotic, or chemical, which cannot be ascribed to any other form of dental defect or pathology.^[1] Diagnosis of dental hypersensitivity is challenging and differential diagnosis diagnosis can be challenging, and the dental professional must perform differential diagnosis such as

cracked tooth, dental caries, or periodontal disease must be ruled out.^[2,3] Incidence of DH ranges from 4% to 74%. A slightly higher incidence has been reported in females. than in males. Canines and premolars are the most commonly affected teeth. Buccal aspect of the cervical area is the commonly affected site.^[4] Various treatment modalities are available for the management of DH such as desensitizing toothpastes, varnishes, fluoride iontophoresis, lasers, and remineralizing agents.^[5] Maximum desensitizing toothpastes available in market contains potassium salt which works by

penetrating the length of the dentin tubule, depolarizing the nerve hence interrupting the neural response to pain stimuli.^[6] Recent treatment for dentinal hypersensitivity includes use of Pro-arginine, consisting of 8% arginine, an amino acid found in saliva which in combination with calcium carbonate, is now available as a desensitizing paste for in-office application. This desensitizing technology mimics saliva's natural process of plugging and sealing open dentinal tubules.^[7] Desensitizing mouthwash are said to have better penetration on proximal surfaces. A growing interest in natural products, and studies have suggested that herbal desensitizing mouthwash may be effective as the conventionally formulated dentifrice in the management of dentinal hypersensitivity.^[8] HiOra-K (Himalaya Drug Company, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India) is a recently marketed herbal desensitizing mouthwash which claimed to give adequate relief of pain due to DH. It is also safer to use with less adverse effects.^[9,10] However the "Gold standard" treatment for Dentinal hypersensitivity still lacks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

This clinical trial was carried out at a single center. The sensitivity scores were recorded at baseline, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks. A total of 90 individuals were selected from the outpatient of the clinic.

Inclusion criteria

All healthy male and female subjects were 20-70 years of age with at least two sensitive permanent tooth surfaces (buccal/facial aspects of incisors, canines, or pre-molars)

Exclusion criteria

- Patients who have undergone active periodontal treatment within last 6 months
- Pregnant or lactating females
- Deleterious habits such as smoking and/or alcohol consumption
- Use of antibiotics within 6 months before the study
- Systemic disease.
- Patients with deep carious teeth, defective restoration, any pathological lesion, mobile teeth, cracked enamel, orthodontic appliances

Tactile sensitivity assessment:

Tactile sensitivity was assessed by using a blunt probe used under slight manual pressure in the mesiodistal direction on the hypersensitive areas of the tooth.

Air blast sensitivity assessment:

Air blast sensitivity was assessed by directing a 1–2 s blast of air perpendicular to the exposed dentin onto the buccal surface of sensitive tooth from a distance of 1 cm using air component of an air–water syringe.

Adjacent proximal teeth were shielded from air blast through the placement of two fingers. Sensitivity was assessed by airblast sensitivity, using SSS described as follows:

- 0= Subject does not respond to air stimulus
- 1= Subject responds to air stimulus but does not request discontinuation of stimulus
- 2= Subject responds to air stimulus and request discontinuation or moves from stimulus
- 3= Subject responds to air stimulus, considers stimulus to be painful, and requests discontinuation of the stimulus.

Patients who qualified the tactile as well as the air blast sensitivity assessment were selected and randomly assigned to the three study groups, 30 patients in each group with two teeth per patient to be considered in the study.

Group I: Desensitizing paste containing potassium salt (Senquel-F toothpaste) (*n* = 30 teeth)

Group II: Herbal desensitizing mouthwash containing suryakshara, palakya, lavanga, and triphala (HiOra-K, Himalaya Herbal Healthcare) (*n* = 30 teeth)

Group III: Desensitizing paste containing 8% arginine (Colgate Sensitive Pro-Relief™, Colgate-Palmolive [India] Ltd., Mumbai, India) (*n* = 30 teeth)

Method of application:

Using a disposable applicator tip, pea-sized amount of the toothpaste was applied over the isolated hypersensitive area of the tooth for 5 s, and a rotary polishing cup at moderate-to-high speed was used to polish the paste over this surface for 1 min. For the mouth rinse group then rinsed with 10 ml mouth rinse for 1 minute and expectorated.

All participants of the study were instructed to report after four weeks and eight weeks for the first follow up. SSS was evaluated at each visit. Statistical analysis was done by One way Anova.

RESULTS:

There was a significant difference in mean for Group I which was 1.1667±1.0532, in comparison to mean of Group II which was 0.6667±1.0283, and mean of Group III, which was 0.4±0.8137 (Table 1,2)

TABLE 1: Schiff Sensitivity Score

SSCORE	GROUP I	GROUP II	GROUP III
0	10	19	23
1	9	5	3
2	7	3	3
3	4	3	1

TABLE 2: Comparison of Schiff sensitivity scale score

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Between treatment	9.0889	2	4.5444	4.81959
Within treatment	82.0333	87	0.9429	
Total	91.1222	89		

DISCUSSION

Dentinal hypersensitivity mainly results due to exposure of dentinal tubules by either removal of the enamel from the crown of the tooth or denudation of the root surface by the loss of cementum and overlying periodontal tissues, which can be treated by two major suppressive mechanisms: sealing (blocking) of the dentinal tubule opening or dampening neural impulses.^[11] The ideal material for the treatment of DH should be mild on pulp, painless, easy to apply, rapidly acting, long-term effective, and consistent.^[12] Desensitizing toothpastes widely available in market, but most adequate material to be used is not known well.

In this study, the stimuli used was evaporative, as recommended by Holland *et al.*^[13] Group III; toothpaste containing 8% arginine (was found to be most effective in the reduction of DH followed by herbal mouthwash; Group II and potassium salt (Group I) toothpastes at all time intervals. The result of this study is similar to the study done by Elias Boneta *et al.* which showed significant desensitizing efficacy of 8% arginine toothpaste over potassium salt-containing toothpaste.^[14] The explanation to this finding may be due to the presence of arginine and calcium carbonate which interact at physiological pH and bind to negatively charged dentin surface to form a calcium-rich layer that naturally plugs and seals patent dentinal tubules. This plug is resistant to normal pulpal pressure and acid challenge, thereby reducing dentin flow and DH.^[15]

In this study, herbal desensitizing mouthwash (Group II) was more effective in reducing DH than potassium nitrate-containing toothpaste (Group I). This finding may be attributed to the presence of natural ingredients such as suryakshara, palakya, lavanga, and triphala. Suryakshara is a naturally derived potassium nitrate which desensitizes dental nerves. Palakya (spinach) contains natural oxalates which help in the formation of phyto complexes and occlude the exposed dentinal tubules. Lavanga (clove) and triphala control pain due to the obtundant action of eugenol. These herbs altogether could be exhibiting a synergistic effect in reducing pain due to DH. Various treatment modalities such as laser therapy and iontophoresis are also used for the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity but have many disadvantages such as being expensive, complex, and questionable long-term effectiveness.

CONCLUSION:

Under the limitations of the study, 8% arginine-containing toothpaste was found to be most effective followed by herbal mouthwash and potassium salt-containing toothpastes.

REFERENCES:

- Chen CL, Parolia A, Pau A, Celerino de Moraes Porto IC. Comparative evaluation of the effectiveness of desensitizing agents in dentine tubule occlusion using scanning electron microscopy. *Aust Dent J* 2015;60:65-72.
- Addy M. Dentine hypersensitivity: New perspectives on an old problem. *Int Dent J* 2002;52:367-75.
- Pashley DH, Tay FR, Haywood VB, Collins MC, Drisko CL. Dentin hypersensitivity. Consensus based recommendations for the diagnosis and management of hypersensitivity. *Inside Dent* 2008;4:1-35
- Sonawane MR, Shah MU, Doshi YS, Bajaj M, Raghvendra NM, Shah AU. Comparison of clinical efficacy of three commercially available desensitizing mouthwashes in the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. *I J Pre Clin Dent Res* 2015;2:20-5.
- Gillam DG, Tang JY, Mordan NJ, Newman HN. The effects of a novel bioglass dentifrice on dentine sensitivity: A SEM investigation. *J Oral Rehab* 2002;29:305-13.
- Bartold PM. Dentinal hypersensitivity: A review. *Aust Dent J* 2006;51:212-8.
- Schiff T, Delgado E, Zhang YP, Cummins D, DeVizio W, Mateo LR, *et al.* Clinical evaluation of the efficacy of an in-office desensitizing paste containing 8% arginine and calcium carbonate in providing instant and lasting relief of dentin hypersensitivity. *Am J Dent* 2009;22:8A-15A.
- Kumari M, Naik SB, Rao NS, Martande SS, Pradeep AR. Clinical efficacy of a herbal dentifrice on dentinal hypersensitivity: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Aust Dent J* 2013;58:483-90
- Bansal D, Mahajan M. Comparative evaluation of effectiveness of three desensitizing tooth pastes for relief in the dentinal hypersensitivity. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2017;8:195-9.
- Sukumaran VG, Vivekanandan P, Amutha D. An open clinical study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of HiOra-K toothpaste in the management of sensitive tooth. *Antiseptic* 2010;107:379-82
- Shiva Prasad BM, Padmavati P, Nehal N. Chair side application of NovaMin for the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity- A novel technique; *J Clin Diag Res* 2014;8:ZC05-8.
- Bansal D, Mahajan M. Comparative evaluation of effectiveness of three desensitizing tooth pastes for relief in the dentinal hypersensitivity. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2017;8:195-9.
- Holland GR, Narhi MN, Addy M, Gangarosa L, Orchardson R. Guidelines for the design and conduct of clinical trials on dentine hypersensitivity. *J Clin Periodontol* 1997;24:808-13.
- Elias Boneta AR, Ramirez K, Naboja J, Mateo LR, Stewart B, Panagokos F, *et al.* Efficacy in reducing dentine hypersensitivity of a regimen using a toothpaste containing 8% arginine and calcium carbonate, a mouthwash containing 0.8% arginine, pyrophosphate and PVM/MA copolymer and a toothbrush compared to potassium and negative control regimens: An eight-week randomized clinical trial. *J Dent* 2013;41 Suppl 1:S42-9.
- Kleinberg I. SensiStat. A new saliva-based composition for simple and effective treatment of dentinal sensitivity pain. *Dent Today* 2002;21:42-7.