

## Original Article

### Socio-Clinical Profile of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Less than 50 Years of Age Patients

Keshav Singh<sup>1</sup>, Manish Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Manoj Indurkar<sup>3</sup>, Anurag Chourasia<sup>4</sup>, Praveen Kumar Baghel<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Ex Resident Medical Officer, <sup>3</sup>Prof.& H.O.D, <sup>4</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>5</sup>Professor, Department Of Medicine, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** The international diabetes federation (IDF) estimates the global prevalence of type 2 DM as 6. 6% (285 million cases) in 2010 and expects to reach to 7. 8% (438 million cases) by 2030. Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both. Prevention, timely diagnosis and treatment are important. **Materials and Methods:** It is an observational study conducted in the department of medicine S. S. M. C and associated S. G. M. Hospital Rewa from March 2015- August 2016. **Observations:** Out of 187 patients studied 100 (53. 47%) were males and 87 (46. 53%) were females. The prevalence of type- 2 diabetes mellitus was more in urban 108 (57. 75%) than in rural areas 79 (42. 25%). Out of 187 diabetes patients 33 (17. 64%) patients were illiterate and 154 (82.36%) patients were literate. The most common symptom among diabetic cases was polydipsia/ polyuria (42. 78%) whereas the least common was dysuria (4.2%). 112 (59. 89%) Cases out of 187 diabetic cases were addicted to tobacco. 70 (37.43%) cases out of 187 diabetic cases were addicted to alcohol. **Conclusion:** The prevalence of T2DM was more in males than in females. In present study prevalence of T2DM in age group of 14- 50 years in Rewa is 3. 46%. T2DM is more prevalent among 30- 50 years age group. The prevalence of T2DM is more in urban than in rural areas. The prevalence of diabetes is more in illiterate population. Most common symptom among diabetic cases was polydipsia and polyuria whereas the least common was dysuria. Prevalence of diabetes was more in tobacco and alcohol addicts than in non addicts.

Key words: Diabetes mellitus, polydipsia, polyuria, dysuria.

Received: 10 November 2017

Revised: 12 January 2018

Accepted: 14 January 2018

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Keshav Singh, Assistant Professor, Department Of Medicine, Shyam Shah Medical College, Rewa (M.P.)

**This article may be cited as:** Singh K, Kumar M, Indurkar M, Chourasia A, Baghel PK. Socio-Clinical Profile of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Less than 50 Years of Age Patients. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2018;6(2):100-103.

#### INTRODUCTION

Type 2 Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common chronic metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia. It occur due to defects in insulin secretion, insulin action or both and accounts for at least 90% of all cases of diabetes.<sup>1</sup> It is highly prevalent in elderly and associated with various co morbidities, such as obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and cardiovascular disease, which ultimately lead to a condition called 'Metabolic Syndrome'.<sup>2</sup> Diabetes mellitus is multifactorial disease. Main risk factors include modifiable variables like body mass index (BMI), physical inactivity, diet, infections and non modifiable variables like age, family history of Diabetes Mellitus.<sup>3</sup> India currently leads the world with the largest number of diabetic subjects earning dubious distinction of being termed "Diabetes capital of the world". The earliest national study reported an overall prevalence of 3% in urban areas and 1.3% in rural areas. (ICMR 1972- 1975).<sup>4</sup>

From region wise population based studies,<sup>5</sup> in the last two decades there has been marked increase in the prevalence of diabetes among both urban as well as rural Indians, with southern India showing the sharpest rise.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

It is an observational study conducted in the department of medicine S. S. M. C and associated S. G. M. Hospital Rewa from March 2015- August 2016. Subjects included all the patients attending MOPD with sign and symptoms of diabetes mellitus.

#### Inclusion Criteria:

Patients less than 50 years age from those attending MOPD and patients admitted with sign and symptoms of DM in the medicine ward of S. G. M. H Rewa. Patients who gave written consent for the study.

#### Exclusion criteria:

Patients more than 50 years of age and patients less than 14 years of age.

Patients having type I DM and

Patients those who have not given consent

**OBSERVATIONS:**

Out of 187 patients studied 100 (53. 47%) were males and 87 (46. 53%) were females. This suggests that prevalence of diabetes was more in males.

**TABLE 1**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO SEX**

| S. NO. | SEX     | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |        |
|--------|---------|--------------------------|--------|
|        |         | NO.                      | %      |
| 1.     | MALES   | 100                      | 53. 47 |
| 2.     | FEMALES | 87                       | 46. 53 |
|        | TOTAL   | 187                      | 100.0  |

The prevalence of type- 2 diabetes mellitus was more in urban 108 (57. 75%) than in rural areas 79 (42. 25%).

**TABLE 2**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO HABITAT**

| S. NO. | HABITAT | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |        |
|--------|---------|--------------------------|--------|
|        |         | NO.                      | %      |
| 1.     | URBAN   | 108                      | 57. 75 |
| 2.     | RURAL   | 79                       | 42. 25 |
|        | TOTAL   | 187                      | 100.0  |

Out of 187 diabetes patients 33 (17. 64%) patients were illiterate and 154 (82.36%) patients were literate, out of which 60 (32. 08%) were educated up to primary school, 28 (14. 97%) up to secondary school, 64 (34. 22%) were graduate and 2 (1. 06%) post graduates.

**TABLE 3**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO EDUCATIONAL STATUS**

| S. NO. | EDUCATIONAL STATUS | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |        |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------|
|        |                    | NO.                      | %      |
| 1.     | ILLITERATE         | 33                       | 17. 64 |
| 2.     | LITERATE           | 154                      | 82. 36 |
| a)     | Primary school     | 60                       | 32. 08 |
| b)     | Secondary school   | 28                       | 14. 97 |
| c)     | Graduate           | 64                       | 34. 22 |
| d)     | Post graduate      | 02                       | 1.06   |
|        | TOTAL              | 187                      | 100. 0 |

The most common symptom among diabetic cases was polydipsia/ polyuria (42. 78%) whereas the least common was dysuria (4.2%).

**TABLE 4**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO PRESENTING SYMPTOMS**

| S. NO. | PRESENTING SYMPTOMS     | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |        |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------|
|        |                         | NO.                      | %      |
| 1.     | POLUDYPSIA/<br>POLYURIA | 80                       | 42. 78 |
| 2.     | LOSS OF APPETITE        | 32                       | 17. 11 |
| 3.     | WEAKNESS                | 15                       | 8. 0   |
| 4.     | TINGLING<br>NUMBNESS    | 32                       | 17. 11 |
| 5.     | WEIGHT LOSS             | 20                       | 10. 69 |
| 6.     | DYSURIA                 | 08                       | 4.2    |
|        | TOTAL                   | 187                      | 100. 0 |

112 (59. 89%) Cases out of 187 diabetic cases were addicted to tobacco.

**TABLE 5**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO ADDICTION OF TOBACCO**

| S. NO. | ADDICTION OF TOBACCO | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |       |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|        |                      | NO.                      | %     |
| 1.     | ADDICTED             | 112                      | 59.89 |
| 2.     | NON ADDICTED         | 75                       | 40.11 |
|        | TOTAL                | 187                      | 100.0 |

70 (37.43%) cases out of 187 diabetic cases were addicted to alcohol.

**TABLE 6**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO ADDICTION OF ALCOHOL**

| S. NO. | ADDICTION OF ALCOHOL | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |       |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|        |                      | NO.                      | %     |
| 1.     | ADDICTED             | 70                       | 37.43 |
| 2.     | NON ADDICTED         | 117                      | 62.57 |
|        | TOTAL                | 187                      | 100.0 |

29 (15.5%) Cases out of 187 diabetics were addicted to both alcohol and tobacco.

**TABLE 7**  
**DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO ADDICTION OF TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL**

| S. NO. | ADDICTION OF TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL | TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS |       |
|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
|        |                                  | NO.                      | %     |
| 1.     | ADDICTED TO BOTH                 | 29                       | 15.50 |
| 2.     | NON ADDICTED TO BOTH             | 158                      | 84.50 |
|        | TOTAL                            | 187                      | 100.0 |

**DISCUSSION:**

In present study out of 187 diagnosed diabetic cases 100 (53.47%) were males and 87 (46.53%) were females. This suggests that prevalence of diabetes was more in males than in females, studies carried by Patel et al<sup>6</sup> reported that prevalence of DM was 62% in males and 38% in females and that of Thakkar et al<sup>7</sup> reported prevalence of DM was 58% in males and 42% in females. All these studies show more prevalence of DM among males than in females similar to that of our study.

In present study of the 187 diagnosed diabetic cases 108 (57.75%) cases belong to urban area and 79 (42.25%) cases belonged to rural area. This shows that prevalence of diabetes was more in urban than in rural area. These findings are comparable with Ramchandran et al<sup>8</sup> who reported that age standardized prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) in urban India in 200 was 12.1% and 14.0% respectively. Again Ramchandran et al<sup>9</sup> reported prevalence of 18.6% in urban and 9.2% in rural population.

In present study out of 187 diabetes patients 33 (17.64%) were illiterate and 154 (82.36%) patients were literate, out of which 60 (32.08%) were educated upto primary school, 28 (14.97%) upto secondary school, 64 (34.22%) were graduates and 2 (1.06%) post graduates. Comparable to our study, Valdes et al<sup>10</sup>, Maty et al<sup>11</sup> found that low education is significant predictor of type 2 DM.

In our study 112 (59.89%) cases out of 187 diabetic cases were addicted to tobacco. This suggested the prevalence of diabetes was more in tobacco addicts than non addicts. In our study 70 (37.43%) cases out of 187 diabetic cases

were addicted to alcohol and 29 (15.50%) were addicted to both tobacco and alcohol. These findings comparable to studies done by Patja et al<sup>12</sup>, Willi et al<sup>13</sup> stating that tobacco and smoking are independent and modifiable risk factors for diabetes. Passive smoking is associated with an increased risk of diabetes Hayashino et al.<sup>14</sup>

**CONCLUSION:**

The present study was conducted over a period of 17 months and following conclusions were drawn:

- The prevalence of T2DM was more in males than in females.
- In present study prevalence of T2DM in age group of 14- 50 years in Rewa is 3.46%. T2DM is more prevalent among 30- 50 years age group.
- The prevalence of T2DM is more in urban than in rural areas.
- The prevalence of diabetes is more in illiterate population.
- Most common symptom among diabetic cases was polydipsia and polyuria whereas the least common was dysuria.
- Prevalence of diabetes was more in tobacco and alcohol addicts than in non addicts.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Adegate E, Schattner P, Dunn E. An update on etiology and epidemiology of diabetes mellitus. *Annals of New York Acad Sci* 2006, 1084:1-29.

2. Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Nelson DE, Engelgau MM, Vinicor F, Marks JS. Diabetes trends in the U. S: 1990- 1998. Diabetes care, 2000, 23: 1278-83.
3. Park K. Park's text book of preventive and social medicine. 19 ed. 2007; 301- 345.
4. Gupta OP, Joshi MH, Dave SK. Prevalence of diabetes in India (ICMR). Adv Metab. Dis. 1978; 9: p 147- 165.
5. Mohan V, Pradeepa R. Epidemiology of diabetes in different regions of India. Health administrator 2009; 22: p 1- 18.
6. Patel M, Patel I, Patel Y et al. A hospital based observational study of type- 2 diabetic subjects from Gujarat India. Journal of health population and nutrition. 2011; 29 (3): p 265.
7. Thakkar B, Arora K et al. Prevalence of microalbuminuria in newly diagnosed type- 2 diabetes mellitus. NJIRM 2011; 2(4) : P 22- 25.
8. Ramchandran A, Snehlata C, Kapur A, et al. High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in india: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia 2001; 44: 1094-101.
9. Ramchandran A, Snehlata C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian diabetes prevention programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-I). Diabetologia. 2006 Feb; 49(2): 289- 97.
10. Valdes S, Botas P, Delgado E, Alvarez F, Cadorniga F D. Population – based incidence of type 2 diabetes in northern Spain: the Asturias study. Diabetes Care 2007; 30 (9): 2258-63.
11. Maty SC, Everson- Rose S A, Haan MN, Raghunathan TE, Kaplan G A. Education, income, occupation, and the 34-year incidence (1965-99) of type 2 diabetes in the Alameda County Study. Int. J Epidemiol 2005; 34 (6): 1274-81.
12. Patja K, Jousilahti P, Hu G, Valle T, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J. Effects of smoking, Obesity and physical activity on the risk of type 2 diabetes in middle aged Finnish men and women. Journal of Internal Medicine 2005; 258:356-362.
13. Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD and Cornuz J. (2007) Active smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 298(22): 2654-64.
14. Hayashino Y, Fukuhara S, Okamura T, Yamato H, Tanaka H et al. (2008) A prospective study of passive smoking and risk of diabetes in a cohort of workers: the high risk and population strategy for occupational health promotion (HIPOP-OHP) study. Diabetes care 31: 732-34.

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None declared

This work is licensed under CC BY: ***Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.***